Editorial

Staying the course is required of us all, through relentless disruption and change, be it Brexit, Covid 19, the current geo-political instability and inflationary environment, or indeed the growing cost-of-living crisis. Yet, how is the Real Estate Industry responding throughout all of this? Are we agents for positive long-term change in society? How are we looking out for the people we work with and share our lives with (diversity)?; how are we being good stewards of our planet and natural habitat (environment)?; how are we shaping and curating the places around us (community)?; how are we fostering and promoting new ideas that will help the next generation (innovation)?

The pace of change sees no abating, as the economy, local business and personal lives are deeply impacted by what we are experiencing on so many levels. In answer to these questions, our CULS membership actively responds with a serious of thoughtful and personal contributions. In this edition of the CULS Magazine, we canvass a wide range of fields and topics, including amongst others the drive towards greater environmental sustainability; the volatility and opportunity in global capital markets; the changes afoot within the UK planning system, and the real estate classes of Life Sciences and PRS (Private Rented Sector).

If there is one aspect of business and personal life that has stood out to me in recent times as a response to the question, it is that ‘how’ we do business is as important if not more important than ‘what’ we do in business. The way in which we respond to moments of crisis is often what stands the test of time and builds long-lasting relationships. My hope is that as we read and reflect on the stories and content presented in the 2022 edition, we notice the balance of how and what. Perhaps we can each consider how our own personal response to change, however small or great, seen or unseen, grand and simple, is able to positively impact the people and places around us, one person and community at a time.

As ever, the production of this magazine would not be possible without the generous financial support of our sponsors, which for 2022 include Howard Group, Maples Teesdale, Taylor Wessing, Brydell Partners and Longfellow Real Estate Partners. Special thanks also go to four of our Honorary Vice Presidents for their contributions this year, including Liz Peace CBE, Roger Madelin CBE, Jeremy Newsum, and Spencer de Grey CBE RA. Finally, a big thank you on behalf of the wider CULS membership to Dan Nicholson our new incoming President, Ian Marcus our outgoing President, Ali Young our Society Secretary, and the many CULS Forum leaders.

If you have any suggestions for future content and events, wish to be involved with CULS in any way, or keen to sponsor CULS, then please visit www.culandsoc.com or contact us on info@culandsoc.com.

Werner Baumker
Group Director - Property, Howard Group
PhD (Cantab), MPhil, BSc (Hons),
Wolfson College (2005)
CULS Hon. Press Secretary
w.baumker@howard-ventures.com

Magazine Sponsors

Cambridge University Land Society would like to thank the following for their generous sponsorship and support of the 2022 CULS magazine.

In keeping with the drive towards environmental sustainability and responsibility across real estate, we have ensured that the printing process of this edition has a reduced carbon footprint. The Premier Paper Group has partnered with the Woodland Trust to create an initiative called Carbon Capture, and funds raised go to the planting and restoring of Natural Woodland within the UK and thus reducing CO₂. For more information on the initiative please go to www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/partnerships/our-partners/premier-paper.

If you wish to sponsor CULS in future, do please contact us by email on info@culandsoc.com or contact any of the CULS Committee members.

For more information go to our website www.culandsoc.com or follow us on:

Published by Robyn Collyer
Designed by Rob Briggs
Tatham’s Publishing Ltd.
robyncollyer@tathamsltd.com
Past President’s Report - CULS AGM

Chair, has achieved phenomenal success over its short life – all in no small part due to Ian, who has been exactly the President needed through such trying times.

Going forward, what do I hope to achieve over the period in which I have been so honored with the Presidency? Firstly, resolution of the most pressing issue facing the Department of Land Economy and the one where the members of the Society should be able to help – a new faculty building. The irony is not lost on any of us that the department which has produced thousands of successful real estate professionals, who spend their working lives improving the stock of the built environment, does not have its own physical home. Many sterling efforts have been made before, and it seems matters are moving slowly in the right direction. I hope therefore that we can give Professor Howarth and the department the support and assistance they need to make this happen.

Secondly, we should recognise more fully that our progress through the best university in the world gave us great leverage into our careers, I firmly believe that we should use the benefits of these careers to help the students now coming through the university into their own working lives, in full recognition of how lucky we were. This help should be spread more widely throughout the student body, into ensuring that the real estate working population becomes far more diverse than its current make-up.

We should do all we can as a Society to help with this, and assist with our industry becoming better educated and more active in the diffusion of diversity in the real estate sector.

Lastly, the underlying theme of this year’s magazine is resilience and our ability to contribute when change and instability test us. How we respond in such times becomes our definition, the distilled essence of who we are. Whilst our professional actions (hopefully) make a physically better world, I hope that the articles contained herein show us that it’s the nature of our reaction to troubled times which really displays our innate qualities and strengths, and which makes the world a better place for those around us. Can there be a more noble aim?

What that does mean, however, is that for our support during the best years you will flourish alongside the pandemic has not gone away and as individuals and as an organisation we will need to continually adapt to this ever-changing environment.

My colleagues will shortly provide a more detailed report on the activities of the society, but despite the challenging environment, CULS hosted 17 events during the year and under the chairmanship of Colin Launder, the Whitehall group hosted a further 12 events of which 2/3 were a return to the successful format of private lunches. Thank you to all the forum chairs, who have continued to work tirelessly in arranging talks, tours, workshops, and webinars. We held a further six ESG webinars, led by Ami Kotecha and generously sponsored by Savills.

We published our annual magazine brilliantly edited by Werner Baumber and successfully relaunched the careers fair with a broader church of attendees including Real Estate Balance and Women in real estate, represented to ensure we offered the students the most relevant opportunities. Just to advertise this year’s event on Thursday, 20th October, which is likely to be the largest and most diverse we have ever hosted.

Thank you for agreeing with all that time and commitment in ensuring the success of this vital occasion.

Membership has increased to almost 1000 including an ever-larger cohort from the Department of Architecture; I’ll hope to have a sanguine mind not just for his hospitality earlier today in hosting us at the department but also for his engagement and involvement with CULS and the APEC forum. In relation to APEC, which will continue to be chaired by Bryan, we say thank you for the enormous contribution of James Lai who is standing down from the committee as his work is taking him abroad, but with the hope and expectation that he will continue to be actively involved with CULS.

We also say thank you and a fond farewell to Sophie Jenkinson and other members of the Silver Street Forum. Sophie is undertaking a career change to become a teacher, for which we wish her well. SSIGs continuing involvement and engagement is vital for the future of CULS and I’m delighted to announce that, subject to ratification later, a new committee has been established to be led jointly by James Webb of Eastdill Secured and Charlie Stoneham of Savills.

Following the success of the newly established ESG forum which has reached out to literally thousands of participants over the last two years, we have continued to review, examine and ensure our offering remains relevant.

We are building close links with the Cambridge Institute for Sustainable Leadership and are also in the process of establishing a residential forum recognising the interest and appetite of this asset class.

Can I formally thank Professor Howarth for all his support throughout the year. We continue to offer whatever support we can in terms of Tripos prizes to the students, sponsorship of selective teaching staff and alongside CLEAB, all we can do to promote the desire and necessity of a new building for the department.

One thing I will repeat this evening, but it merits being mentioned twice, is that we offer our congratulations to both the Departments of Land Economy and Architecture on the outstanding result in the research excellence framework where they were awarded first place, and although we will all miss the retiring Professor Colin Lzieri, who has always been a huge supporter of CULS, the department seems to be in a very good place.

Once again, I wish to formally thank Erik Ruane, honorary treasurer, for his stewardship of our finances; he will reference the details in a few moments, but through his considered and conservative management, our reserves actually increased over the last 12 months which, considering the broader environment, is a tremendous result. We have not increased our subs for five years and continue to wish to offer true value and accessibility to our members, but this is a situation we must monitor on a regular basis to ensure our financial viability.

Of course, we rely heavily, not just on the goodwill of our members but also the generosity of our sponsors which are many and varied, on behalf of the land society, thank you to you all. I would particularly like to thank, Knight Frank, APAM and Church Manor Estates for the support of our website, the Magna Charitable Trust for the sponsorship of our educational activities, Savills, Apache Capital, Howard Ventures and Mills & Reeve for their contributions towards the magazine, as well as Rockbourne’s underwriting of the SSIG dinner.

We are privileged to have 11 honorary vice presidents of the society, some of the most influential leaders of our industry, often without an obvious direct Cambridge connection, but who have supported us directly or indirectly over many years. I can confirm the meeting that I’ve been in contact with all of them and they have unanimously offered to, not only remain engaged but committed to greater involvement in the coming years. Several are attending our annual dinner tonight. So, again, subject to passing of the necessary resolution, we move their appointments for another three years; and in addition, it is proposed that we invite Heather Hancock, LVO, former president of CULS and currently master of St John’s College to become an Honorary vice president. Heather and her husband will also be in attendance this evening.

Of course, as we all know, the society would not be able to function or deliver on any of its objectives without the tireless work of both Ali and Fiona; on behalf of not just the committee but all members of CULS, thank you for your continued selfless commitment and enthusiasm; it’s hugely appreciated.

I now have just one final task; to thank all of you for your support during my presidency and to wish Dan every success in the role. It’s an enormous privilege to be president of CULS but, Dan, if you have a fraction of the pleasure and fun I have had over the last three years you will flourish alongside the society.
The early ‘roughides’ were certainly not as economically buoyant as we had hoped (especially in the dot com Thames Valley market) but as the ‘roughides’ progressed the business was diverse geographically and increasingly mixed-use; we were ready for anything... well almost anything.

Lessons: We, ‘the world’, need to stop relying on oil! (Same lesson over and over again?)

2008 to 2012. Enough has been written about this period, all I would say is that when the banks got into trouble, some of them behaved despicably to some of their clients.

Lessons: Make sure you can pay back the bank, watch your cash flow and be nimble. We all had to tighten our belts and fortunately were able to make no redundancies.

At the end of 2015 after 29 years at Argent, with King’s Cross moving into its final phases, I joined British Land to head up their Canada Water opportunity. The project is at a similar scale to King’s Cross and we are trying to address the issues mentioned in the intro and above about urban living and working in these current times. (Maybe another article let?)

Quick fire responses to the events and issues in the title

Brexit: Many of our materials come from the EU, much of our labour including consultants and designers are or were from the EU. At present it is difficult to see the ‘Brexit bonus’. Covid. I, like many, were surprised at the ability for many businesses to continue and adapt in what we all knew was a traumatic, tragic and terrible period for many. I think cities will be as important, if not even more important in the future. Our response as property owners and developers is to make our places and buildings better (a whole subject for another time).

Inflation: Seen it before but this time it is different! The ‘easy answer’ as work stops post covid, supply chains will diversify and strengthen and alongside a declining demand, inflation will be tamed. Costs will have risen as well as prices and rents in due course.

Lessons: Do not just plough ahead but make progress sensibly where you can as things will get better.

The unknown of Russia however looms.

The Supply Chain: Know and look after your supply chain partner.

Diversity: Diversity is better business... period.

The Environment: Please, please may we have some government and global leadership and real action. We can and will do our bit but without clear policies and legislation we are fighting a losing battle. As someone recently said ‘we are not heading en route to destroy the planet; we are just on the route to destroying it for humanity!’

Community: Our business is for the community so why should we not listen and involve?

Innovation: Humans will keep innovating and cleverly much innovation is good and it has never been so needed. However, without clear aims, guidance and goals as to what innovation we want to achieve for society, we can end up with unintended consequences.

I will leave it there!
Much of British industry would agree that they require the trust of a wide range of stakeholders, and particularly the public, to maintain their licence to operate. For many people the only way of determining that level of trust is by looking at their reputation as presented through a wide variety of media, which means it becomes essential for a company to be able to maintain its reputation in a credible way that stands up to scrutiny. Research carried out by international strategic communications network AMO (now H/Advisers) in 2019 suggests that some 28% of a company’s value is accounted for by its reputation – that’s a lot to lose if a company gets it wrong! But we all know that reputation is something that is hard to earn and easily lost. The now legendary story of Gerald Ratner and the M&S prawn sandwich, rather proves the point.

I would actually contend that our industry needs a good reputation and the trust that flows from our activities more than almost any other business sectors. This is because our product is absolutely pervasive in society. Whether we are talking about homes, offices, shops, warehouses or sports facilities, the built environment is absolutely everywhere. So that means people sees and experiences what we do at first hand and judges us accordingly. It also means that, through the democratically based planning process, ordinary people are able to have a say in what we want to do – and in many circumstances and often with some justification, prevent us from doing it.

It is also the case that popular pressure arising from a perceived piece of poor practice by the industry, often expressed through MP’s postbags, can lead central Government into ill-judged decisions, sometimes with some justification, prevent us from doing it. However, if we see the community view as simply something to be worn down to the point of grudging acceptance on the grounds of financial viability of the project – not exactly the best basis for establishing trust between the industry and its public.

And at a time when we are facing an existential global climate crisis the industry needs to understand that nothing, less than the highest quality, net zero carbon building – it be a house, office or shopping mall. We do not get an innovation we need to struggle with some new technologies, creative ideas, better design to make sure that what we are building today will genuinely meet society’s expectations. We also need to work out a plan for improving the existing stock of both residential and commercial buildings. Our reputation will not be enhanced if we simply abandon them and leave them to be bought up by unscrupulous landlords who will try and make a quick profit out of some alternative low quality use.

But once again, the fragmentation of the industry’s well-meaning efforts in this area works against an effective solution. There are plenty of practitioners and commentators on our industry who absolutely understand the need for better quality, genuinely net zero carbon buildings, for proper and thorough community engagement, and for investment in wider social benefits as well as the basic bricks and mortar. But the process for achieving this is down very much to the individual developer/investor and we do not seem to have been able to develop an industry wide definition of what is necessary. Of course, even if we get the good guys to buy into something like this, there are also those property folk for whom environmental and social value is low on their agenda. Perhaps the only answer is some sort of genuine, rather than irrational, mandatory licence to operate on similar lines to the financial services industry – Yes! I can hear the howls of outrage at such a suggestion, but I cannot think of any other way of bringing the poor performers into line.

Perhaps the first lesson we should draw from the conclusions of the 2018 BPF Reputational Survey is that whilst there were strongly unpalatable perceptions of our industry, the real problem was that 51% simply didn’t understand us. We are arguably a collection of very different industries and an individual’s experience of us will vary hugely depending on whether they are judging us by the quality of their smelly old terraced home, their rented apartment, the shopping centre they visit or the warehouse or small business unit where they work – even if they connect those different asset classes, which they usually do not. Most people are also totally unaware of the benefit that society’s expectations. We also need to work out a plan for improving the existing stock of both residential and commercial buildings. Our reputation will not be enhanced if we simply abandon them and leave them to be bought up by unscrupulous landlords who will try and make a quick profit out of some alternative low quality use.

But once again, the fragmentation of the industry’s well-meaning efforts in this area works against an effective solution. There are plenty of practitioners and commentators on our industry who absolutely understand the need for better quality, genuinely net zero carbon buildings, for proper and thorough community engagement, and for investment in wider social benefits as well as the basic bricks and mortar. But the process for achieving this is down very much to the individual developer/investor and we do not seem to have been able to develop an industry wide definition of what is necessary. Of course, even if we get the good guys to buy into something like this, there are also those property folk for whom environmental and social value is low on their agenda. Perhaps the only answer is some sort of genuine, rather than irrational, mandatory licence to operate on similar lines to the financial services industry – Yes! I can hear the howls of outrage at such a suggestion, but I cannot think of any other way of bringing the poor performers into line.

So, in conclusion, I believe that building a reputation that engenders trust in our industry is very necessary, and arguably more so for us than for say a manufacturer of baked beans. But despite our best efforts over the last twenty years, we still have a long way to go. We need to do much more to come across to society at large and not just to our shareholders. To be effective we need to get a lot smarter about engaging ourselves to build trust and confidence. Aristotle came up with a good philosophy for delivering service that people as evidenced over the last few years by the results of Edelman’s Annual Trust Barometer?

Why we should care what people think about us

Liz Peace CBE

Chair of the Centre for London’s Industrial Land Commission

A preview of a longer presentation on trust and reputation in the property industry to be delivered by Liz Peace for The Chapman Barrigan Lecture 2023.
Are we agents for positive long-term change in society?

In a small coda to my professional career (I haven’t been employed since 2017), I sold a property last year. It wasn’t mine, I supervised its sale as a trustee of the charity that owned it. It was an unremarkable office building in London’s East End and the charity had owned it since 1958 when my grandfather bought it for them. He was also a Chartered Surveyor and a trustee of the small venerable charity (created in 1735). His firm, long since swallowed up and, after repeated mergers and takeovers, now lodged somewhere inside the Avison Young empire, laid claim to being one of the oldest firms of Chartered Surveyors. Perhaps it’s pointless to speculate what my grandfather (let alone his forbear partners) would make of the current world of property advisers and advice? And that ordinary building on the Mile End Road, what has it been witness to since 1958? In those 65 years, there have been changes as profound as anyone might have imagined them. Our CULS Magazine Editor asks a fair question, does our profession and industry shape change or simply reflect it?

When my grandfather bought the building, the idea was to exchange the charity’s low yielding 4% Consols for a higher yielding property (actually 7%), being a fixed rent from a long lease with more than 80 years of the term left to run. Inflation in 1958 was 1.75% but round the corner, if not visible, were the value destroying years of stagflation. The blink of an eye, that freehold interest was worthless (and probably an embarrassment for my grandfather) but, having inadvertently created a perfect investment for future beneficiaries, the trustees hung on to await the reversion. The headlease did retain value, of course, in the mid-1980s, it was owned by MEPC who decided to sell. The trustees asked me for some informal (aka “free”) advice. In those days, pension schemes couldn’t buy enough short leasehold investments and the charity was able to acquire the 40-odd years remaining on the headlease and simultaneously sell a new 20-year lease to a Pension Fund for the exact same sum. My favourite deal ever! Nothing much had changed, even in the charity’s balance sheet, but the reversion was 23 years sooner. Long-termists understand the real difference between 2042 and 2065, the equivalent dates today.

In 1958, the building on the site was a tyre depot, quite newly built in the bomb-damaged area. Ahead was the era of the car and everything associated with it from tyres to road building, car parks and out of town shopping centres. However, by the end of the century, the location was less suitable as a tyre depot and the building sublease was bought by a Housing Association and converted to offices. No great surprise in the type of occupier as the post Thatcher decline in council owned housing gave way to the rise and rise of the housing associations, themselves now being eclipsed. And the world started to move towards Mile End Road as the City expanded upwards and outwards and Hackney and Stepney became more desirable places to live.

The reversion date duly arrived and the charity’s income was transformed. Over the next decade, options for redevelopment to housing were explored but, post pandemic, the decision was taken to sell. And what a sale – 40 viewings, 20 offers, best bids, a big premium over book value. The charity, expecting a drop in income as it exchanged rent for dividends, has no such decline at all. My grandfather made a good deal after all!

This property has played many different roles over the last 65 years, both as a building for occupation and as an investment. I especially like the uncertain role of the pension fund supporting many of the charity’s beneficiaries. Unlike my grandfather’s generation, there has been nothing on the scale of the rebuilding required after WW2 but we’ve seen almost everything else including a 22% increase in the UK population and even bigger increases in the number of households. The need to repurpose and improve buildings is constant whether it be reclaiming redundant dockyards, repurposing bingo halls or resetting the entire building stock for a zero-carbon world. Our industry thrives on this constant change. Styles of buildings change too and it’s interesting that the Victorian builders gave us the most adaptable buildings. Contemporary buildings will not last so long.

We may love the change but I cannot argue we create the change. We go with the waves, mostly surfing, occasionally drowning. There was a period, leading up to the great recession of 2008/10 when the industry was kidnapped by finance, when buildings became primarily a financial instrument and the real purpose of a building, for occupation, was forgotten. It’s a shame that we let this happen but it’s just another example of the industry as an enabler not shaper. Today, the existential threat to us all is from climate change which manifests itself in the built environment as the carbon challenge. It might have been possible to get ahead of this wave and there were some far-sighted views and experiments 20-30 years ago but our industry is inherently cautious. Its professionalism is backward-looking – or more politely, evidence-based. So, the industry was bound to be a follower in the climate change changes, those who can claim to be doing most are to be applauded but tiring best of the rest is still not the same as shaping.

Some designers and, to a lesser extent, occupiers are willing to be innovative and provocative. But those who commission buildings, those who build them and finance them generally resist innovation. It’s a sad conclusion to come to but it doesn’t mean we have no value as an industry. Just don’t expect us to solve society’s problems.
**Prioritising flexible design for a sustainable future**

Recent crises, such as the Covid-19 pandemic, have acted as a catalyst for a number of positive changes within our cities. Over the past few years, there has been an accelerated need to create a healthier, greener and more flexible urban environment. We must now seize the opportunity to build on this momentum and implement a strategic vision, in response to the unprecedented global emergency we are facing. Climate change continues to have a devastating impact across the world and requires meaningful, long-term commitments from built environment professionals.

When designing for a sustainable future, adaptability is essential. Buildings and cities must be able to evolve, in order to accommodate a range of functional requirements and support the needs of future generations. This is something we have to consider from the earliest stages of the design process. Flexible, future-proof design extends the lifespan of the built environment and has the potential to significantly reduce carbon emissions.

On a city scale, we need to be creating places that respond intuitively to public needs, with a better balance of the movement of cars, bicycles and pedestrians. Foster + Partners’ new, mixed-use masterplan for the Centennial Yards site in Downtown Atlanta, generates a walkable urban environment that celebrates the outdoor lifestyle of the city. The pedestrianised routes, shared surfaces and landscaped plazas form a vibrant public realm that can accommodate a broad range of experiences and opportunities, while providing outdoor gathering spaces for all Atlantans.

In recent years, Foster + Partners’ Urban Design Team has adopted an increasingly data-driven approach to urban planning, turning statistics into a reliable resource for a better world. The intelligent analysis of data has the potential to inform design decisions and create more socially and environmentally sustainable cities that accurately reflect the people who use them: people come first.

As a practice, we understand that any new building we design must have longevity. We recently completed two office towers in New York, 425 Park Avenue and 50 Hudson Yards. Both projects have column-free floor plates and generous ceiling heights. These inherently flexible designs anticipate the changing requirements of contemporary workspaces and accommodate a range of tenants.

Retrofitting existing buildings is also crucial to meeting our net-zero carbon targets. By sympathetically adapting existing structures, we can increase performance and functionality, while reducing embodied carbon content. This includes transforming old office buildings into flexible workspaces, industrial districts into retail destinations, as well as extending the life of historic buildings.

There is also a richness and diversity to those cities where buildings of different periods, styles and materials have grown up alongside each other. Foster + Partners has always argued in favour of reuse and renewal of historical buildings, from the Sackler Galleries at the Royal Academy onwards. These can be given a new lease of life through sensitive interventions. Modern interventions in historic contexts often help facilitate access, allowing more people to use and appreciate the old building in a way that would never have been possible previously. In that sense, they are the ultimate compliment, because they are precisely about recognising and preserving the value of a building, and ensuring it is viable and accessible for future generations.

A recent example of a retrofit project with a sustainable legacy is our office building for the Spanish infrastructure and energy company ACCIONA. The project breathes new life into a historic industrial building in Madrid, by retaining and enhancing the original masonry structure.

Originally built in 1905 by the architect Luis de Landecho, the building once supplied energy to the surrounding areas. It later fell into disuse until ACCIONA acquired it in 2017, saving it from demolition, a fate that other similar structures in the area had experienced in recent years. The project capitalises on the existing load-bearing structure that supports the pitched steel trusses. The historic building envelope has been retained to conserve over 10,000 tonnes of original brick and mitigate the environmental impact.

The lightweight structure inserted inside the space is made from sustainably sourced timber from local forests and allows for spatial flexibility, while also integrating lighting, ventilation and other services. The timber structure will save more than 1,600 tonnes of CO2 and is recyclable and demountable. The choice of natural and recycled materials is not only sustainable but also contributes to a biophilic environment that improves wellbeing and productivity. The result is a built project that meets the UN Paris Agreement.
Over the past five decades, Foster + Partners has designed a number of contemporary additions to significant historic structures. These have sensitively extended the buildings’ lives, and broadened their potential uses, while improving readability and the capacity to attract enthusiastic new users.

Situated between Parliament Square and Horse Guards Parade, HM Treasury was completed in 1917 and is Grade II listed. In refurbishing the building, the challenge was to transform a labyrinthine and frequently under-utilised set of spaces into an efficient and enjoyable working environment. The refurbished building has set new environmental standards in Whitehall and continues to flourish, twenty years on.

The Treasury’s reconfigured, and now enclosed, light wells help to ventilate the building naturally, forming thermal chimneys through which air from the office spaces is exhausted by vents at roof level. Fresh air is drawn into the building through the windows, which have also been upgraded to provide improved security. This natural ventilation cycle is assisted by ‘wind catchers’ mounted on the roof. Heating, lighting and communication systems have been similarly modernised, so that the building combines a sense of history with a contemporary working environment.

Similarly, the Commerzbank Headquarters in Germany, set in an enclosed public courtyard scaled to be in keeping with its surroundings, is a project that explores the nature of the office environment, developing new ideas for its ecology and working patterns. Central to this concept is a reliance on natural systems of lighting and ventilation. Every office is daylit and has openable windows, allowing the occupants to control their own environment.

The Commerzbank is imaginatively maintained by its facilities team, who have always been supportive of our design concept. In fact, the team has increased the amount of time that the natural ventilation is used, compared to what we had originally thought might be possible. The offices are now naturally ventilated for 85% of the year, resulting in energy consumption levels equivalent to half those of conventional office towers.

We should never underestimate the importance of caring for our buildings once the ribbon has been cut. Good maintenance is inherently sustainable. It is essential for ensuring a project’s energy efficiency and minimising the need for further interventions.

The opportunity to steer urban development towards a more sustainable, diverse, equitable and vibrant future is a challenge worth undertaking. Now is the time to prioritise flexible design solutions which serve today’s communities, anticipate future needs and optimise the existing urban environment.
Looking ahead with tentative optimism

Last year we brought together thought leaders from a broad range of backgrounds including academics and industry professionals from the built environment, finance, and technology, to take on the toughest questions facing our sector. Never before has a movement, namely ESG & sustainability, moved so rapidly to the top of everyone’s agenda to dominate thought leadership. I’m excited to see Europe and the UK leading the way in terms of debate, discussion and implementation, but greater public and private sector collaboration, innovation and the deployment of new technologies will be central to our success in addressing the pressing issues that we face.

The CULS ESG Forum is firmly at the leading edge of this debate. We kicked off our annual webinar series in December last year, soon after COP26, and tackled the role of innovation and of mature technologies as effective levers for asset owners and managers seeking to urgently reduce emissions within existing portfolios. Renewable energy technology and the growing reliance on data and machine learning for generating operational efficiencies are a vital part of the puzzle. However, for long term resilience we must drive greater insights that can be used to influence occupier behaviour towards waste-free utilisation.

In our second webinar in January 2022, we drilled down on the policies and incentives needed to accelerate decarbonisation of the residential sector. Our expert panellists laid out the scale of the challenge considering 35% of the UK’s homes were built pre-1945, the importance of mobilising green finance and held the EPC framework up to the spotlight. This has become increasingly urgent with the onset of the war in Ukraine which has brought forward the dual challenge of energy security and energy poverty caused by the ongoing inflationary spiral.

Over 1,200 people joined or viewed our event in February that sought to provide a temperature check on the UK’s readiness for delivery of Net Zero at scale by 2050. Panellists discussed the key roadblocks we face in our sector and how we can create a coherent, low carbon economy capable of reliably meeting our long-term needs. Panellists were of the view that we still don’t have appropriate legislation and policy incentives in place to deliver large-scale systemic change that is urgently required. There is a massive green skills gap which is expected to cause a drag on the sector’s green delivery capabilities.

In April we turned our attention to the concept of a circular economy and to how we can transform from a ‘take, make, waste’ economy to a zero-waste economy to address climate change. The construction sector currently consumes around 50% of all the world’s mined and harvested raw materials and, including the operation and maintenance of the built environment, accounts for 45% of CO₂ emissions. Awareness about reusing and recycling inventories is increasing with the availability of digitalised recycled materials markets that make it feasible to create value out of waste and promote redistribution. In an inflationary environment, a circular economy should be adopted widely to save on construction costs.

We tackled the ‘S’ in ESG in our final webinar in June when our panellists shared their perspectives on social cohesion, social value, and social capital, terms that are often conflated but which together represent key outcomes in place-based social value creation. The built environment isn’t about bricks and mortar, but rather people and communities. By focusing on ‘people, place, planet’, social value can be the golden thread that delivers benefits to the local community through the lifecycle of a development, from community consultation and planning through to construction, property management and occupation. A building is not just an asset to deliver rental income and capital growth; it’s an asset for those who use and occupy it that delivers social cohesion and community gains.

Strong collaboration between the public and private sectors is required in order to transform the supply chain, make good go further and overcome an existing ‘deficit of trust’ in the built environment sector. Considering a YouGov survey carried out in 2019 shows that only 2% of people trust developers, creating strong social value presents an opportunity for the real estate sector to set about rebuilding trust and reputation. It’s time to put people back at the heart of what we do and ask ourselves if we are genuinely benefiting communities and creating spaces where people can thrive.

Looking ahead, there are plenty of reasons to be hopeful, not least the depth and breadth of talent driving change in our sector. During the next few months, we will be delving into how we can manage a just and inclusive transition to net zero, while addressing first and foremost the cost-of-living crisis and inflationary pressures on energy bills; investment in nature-based solutions; including financing and valuing nature; and we’ll take on greenwashing as we ask how best we can encourage transparency, challenge assumptions, and move from talk to action. I offer my sincerest thanks to our fantastic sponsors, Savills who continue to support our work and to my dedicated ESG Forum committee members.

Please join us as we ask the difficult questions, share our learnings – good and bad – and push each other to go further than ever before.
The Architecture Planning Engineering and Construction Forum was set up in 2013 and aims to support both the Department of Land Economy and the Faculty of Architecture.

The APEC Forum has become one of the most active Forums and has established a series of annual events. The main one is the Planning Update afternoon conference, the fourth of which, hosted by Dentons, was held on 8th March and opened with a keynote by the government chief planning officer Joanna Averley. For the full programme see the flyer.

James Lai and I worked with Professor James Campbell to bring nearly a dozen leading practices together with about 60 graduating students in June. These were Owers Warwick, Donald Insall Associates, MCW architects, HTA Design LLP, Plan A Consultants, Woods Hardwick, Penoyre & Prasad, Pick Everard, Allies & Morrison, Westenwilliamson+Partners and Grimshaw. Previously recruited Cambridge students presented for some of the firms. Applications and jobs followed the event.

As in previous years CULS sponsored the annual show of work and brilliant catalogue (see photo) which is entirely organised by the students (apart from the fact that the only member of faculty with an HGV licence is the Professor who had to drive the truck to the OXO building on London’s South Bank where the exhibition was held!)

Professor Campbell gave CULS an informative tour of the school of architecture before the AGM/dinner in July. As well as the impressive library and studios in the Scroope Terrace buildings we saw the ground floor of the splendid new Dyson Building with its model shop and computer room complete with 3-D printers donated by CULS (see photo). As the engineers move out of town the architects will colonise the upper floors with splendid day-lit studios.

We learned that the faculty will have tripled in size and will include a new apprenticeship course and that it has led the way in the total abolition of written exams, helped along by Covid!

So what next? The small APEC committee has met on Zoom and needs to rebuild and maybe meet round a table or over a drink, even. New members please come forward. James Lai remains vice-chairman despite being now based in Riyadh and Martin Thompson keeps the records as Scribe.

Members of the APEC committee also include: Mike Adams, Martha Grekos, James Engwall, Melville Haggard, Rod Mcallister, Richard Morton, Dr Kevin Stone, Flora MacLeod, Sue Chadwick and Liliana Shanbhag.

In the pipeline we hope to arrange a site visit with Hopkins Architects to the new Peninsula hotel opening on Hyde Park Corner next Spring, see photos, and a tour with architect Niall McLaughlin of the new Magdalene library, also pictured, this year’s winner of shortlisted for the Stirling Prize, before next summer’s AGM and dinner in Magdalene College.
The Whitehall Group

Founded in 2014, The Whitehall Group, a forum of the Cambridge University Land Society, continues to go from strength to strength. The Whitehall Group is an influential London-based policy and networking group for (predominately) Cambridge University graduates, connected to the Department of Land Economy in particular. We count amongst our members, current and former academics, alumni, and networking group for (predominately) Cambridge University graduates, connected to the Department of Land Economy in particular. We count amongst our members, current and former Members, Diplomats, and senior business executives. The Whitehall Group hosts small, informal lunches and dinners in London with a carefully selected group of FTSE CEOs, academics, politicians and diplomats to discuss and debate issues of the day. Any member of the Whitehall Group is corporate and 35+ so members to alternate with non-Cambridge academics. Members are also encouraged to invite a guest to join them at events on a topic they believe. The forum allows members and their guests to meet and discuss matters that are outside of their business professional lives.

Created by Colin Lauder, the Whitehall Group organizes a wide range of events covering macro-economic business and social issues. Past speakers have included diplomats, Ministers, ambassadors, leading academics and journalists. Topics have covered foreign and European policy, education, social mobility, infrastructure, health, the economy, housing, climate change, trade, technology, conservation and heritage, mental health, devolution, science and technology, the Middle East, Russia and Ireland.

This year’s speakers have included –

Clive Bettts MP, British Labour Party politician and former economist

Subject: “The future of UK from planning, regional development and Labour’s view on addressing the housing shortage”

David MclAlister, MEP

Subject: “Europe and German relations – why can’t Germany be part of the EU?”

Roelof Opperman, Partner, Co-Head of Europe, Fifth Wall

Subject: “Real Estate’s Climate Problem and Technology Solution”

Lord Hannay of Chiswick GCMG

Co-Chairman of the International Relations and Defence Committee, House of Lords

Ambassador Dr. Ferenc Kumin, Hungarian Ambassador to the Court of St James

Subject: “The current Ukrainian situation, and an insight into the politics surrounding the Ukraine War”

Sir Tony Brenton KCMB, British Diplomat and formerly British Ambassador to Russia

Subject: “The Ukraine War: why and whither?”

Lord Stephen Greenhalgh, Minister of State (Minister for Building Safety and Fire)

Subject: “The ongoing cladding crisis impacting the residential property sector and retrofitting challenges to improve energy efficiencies of the UK’s housing stock.”

James Webb, Baroness Brown of Cambridge DBE FREng FRS, Chair of the Adaptation Committee on the CCC

Subject: “Climate Risks and UK Ultimate Policy Evolution post COP 206”

Sir Laurie Britow KCMB, former British Ambassador to Afghanistan

Subject: “Lessons from Afghanistan as we assess Russia’s invasion of Ukraine”

Professor Sir Lawrence Freedman KCMB, CBE, PC, FBA, Emeritus Professor of War Studies, King’s College London

Subject: “Russia-Ukraine War”

Darren Baxter, Housing Policy and Partnerships Manager, Joseph Rowntree Foundation

Subject: “The current housing crisis together with income and inflation challenges”

The Whitehall Lecture Series

The 11th Whitehall Lecture - Chagos: The Last British Colony in Africa – A Short History of Colonialism, a Modern Crime Against Humanity was presented by Professor Professor Philippe Sands QC, Professor of Laws and Director of the Centre on International Courts and Tribunals, University College London.

Dr Colin Samson, Professor of Sociology and Director of American Studies, University of Essex

Dr. Jeanne Morefield, Associate Professor of Political Theory, University of Essex

Dr. Ronny Idbad, Senior McAlister Chair in Global Human Rights, McLean University, Ontaro.

A recording of this Whitehall Lecture is available to view via the YouTube channel on the Cambridge University Land Society (CULS) website, or via the link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tv44XKutaDQ.

All lectures in the Whitehall Lecture series are published as occasional papers and copies can be found on the CULS website at www.culandsoc.com

The future of UK urban planning, regional development and Labour’s view on addressing the housing shortage

Planned forthcoming events for 2022/2023

Details for all future Whitehall Group events can be found on the CULS website and the CULS website can be found at www.culandsoc.com, or via the link: https://www.culandsoc.com.

If you would like further information on the Whitehall Group please contact Fiona Jones, Group Secretary (fionajones.wg@culandsoc.com).

The Silver Street Group

The Silver Street Group is a social group for those members of the Cambridge University Land Society (CULS) who have graduated within the past 15 years. We arrange a series of social and networking events, mostly held in London and Cambridge.

The Silver Street Group has a new committee and held its first event of the academic year in St. James’ in September 2022. The plan is for further events that are both sociable and educational. We have tours in the pipeline of exceptional developments and would love to see as many people there as possible. Events can be seen on our LinkedIn page or the CULS website:


The Cambridge Land Economy Advisory Board

The Cambridge Land Economy Advisory Board, CLEAB, comprises around 40 practitioners from industry who give part of their time to support the Department of Land Economy. CLEAB works alongside CULS, which is open to any student or graduate of the Department of Land Economy, and also the Department of Architecture, and to any graduate of the University of Cambridge who works in the Property Industry.

CLEAB is a charity. Our main purpose is to act as a link between the Department of Land Economy, and the real estate industry, with a view to sustaining and enhancing the excellence of the Department of Land Economy’s world-class research and teaching. CLEAB provides additional financial support to the Department and acts to promote it as appropriate both within the UK and overseas. It also provides business advice to the Department, together with suggestions for appropriate research topics for the Department.

Over the past few years CLEAB has provided financial support for the Department, for projects or roles and together with CULS, a successful mentoring programme, finding mentors for more than 100 students each year. Recently it’s made a substantial contribution to the Department’s new website and to an Outreach Officer to build links to communities that have not historically sent many students to the Land Economy programmes.

CLEAB hosts an annual dinner giving opportunities for students to meet key figures in the industry. We also host an annual mentors’ drinks party, providing mentors the opportunity to meet the other mentors and mentees. CLEAB has taken students on tours of London, arranged a series of careers talks and examples of the opportunities open to students and hosts an annual careers fair (jointly with CULS) attended by around 150 students each year.

CLEAB Chair, Jon Zehner says

"Along with all the other members of the Board I enjoy working with faculty and students to help keep the Department pre-eminent. There’s a common interest involved, because the real estate industry continues to grow and to change and needs the finest minds, of all ages, to help it do so. As someone who has worked at a global level for many years, I also particularly support the Department’s international outreach, whether in relation to recruitment or ideas."
Residential Forum

The changes of recent years, from explosive growth through Covid to the rise and rise of institutional investor appetite for housing, create a strong case for launching this long-awaited CULS forum.

We provide members, including current and next generation real estate professionals, with opportunities to gain market-leading insights and develop quality networks.

We are arranging three events in 2022-2023, sharing knowledge from leaders in the field on the latest trends and changes affecting investors, developers, homeowners and tenants.

Event topics will include:
- Decarbonisation
- Quantifying returns and risks across sub sectors - from Build to Rent to Later Living
- What renters want, from city centre student housing through to the suburban private rental sector
- Political and regulatory shifts, including making the Private Rental Sector more professional and energy performance requirements
- The generational wealth divide in residential real estate, and how this influences product, returns and social impact
- The process and results of urban regeneration schemes on the ground.

We encourage the current generation of Land Economy students to join our events, as they can be an ideal opportunity for students to learn about the property world and network with potential employers, clients and/or suppliers. Whenever possible, we provide free or subsidised places for students.

If you’re interested in joining the CULS Residential Property Forum or supporting our events, please do contact the Chairman or one of the other committee members.

Anna Clare Harper
Head of Insights, Immo Capital

Our mission is to help change the face of the residential sector, which has for so many years been seen as somehow separate from the wider real estate industry despite being the largest asset class globally.
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Howard Group is a third generation family-owned business committed to improving and enriching lives through responsible investing in people, places and ideas. Our Centenary Vision is a promise to deliver positive long term impact across three key themes - social responsibility, environmental sustainability and economic performance.

www.howard-ventures.com

By 2035, the global population is expected to rise from the current 8 billion to almost 9 billion. This will bring significant challenges, including a greater demand for energy and natural resources, climate change, and pressure on housing and global finances.

However, there will also be developments in technology and attitudinal changes that will help to address some of these future trials and provide opportunity. For example, the European Parliament and EU member countries’ recent agreement to ban the sale of new petrol and diesel cars and vans by 2035, supported by the development of electric vehicles, and the UK government’s setting of the world’s most ambitious climate change target to reduce emissions by 78% by 2035. Decisions like these will positively influence the world of tomorrow, not just benefiting our generation, but generations to come.

The golden thread running through Howard Group is that everything we do will have a positive social, environmental and economic impact. In 2035, Howard Group will be 100 years’ old. Our new Centenary Vision focuses on how we shape our business over the coming years to ensure that we are responding in a responsible and meaningful way to the many challenges ahead. It ensures that we maintain a strong focus upon what it means to be ‘responsible stewards’ across all aspects of our business, for the benefit of future generations.

Positively shaping the future

The concepts of stewardship, responsible ownership and legacy run very deep within our business. We believe that responsible stewardship means handing on a business that is not only financially resilient and profitable, but one that nurtures and protects our environment and makes a positive and lasting impact upon society.

There are many ways to positively shape the future: from small acts like planting an orchard at Unity Campus and changing individual lives through apprenticeships, to advocacy on youth homelessness throughout the UK and investing in socially conscious companies, like mOm, whose vision is to give every child the best start in life through smarter healthcare technology. Earlier this year, mOm received regulatory approval for its mOm Essential Incubator, an affordable, compact, and lightweight infant incubator offering a safe thermoregulated environment for newborns to thrive in. Since then, mOm has gone on to do some amazing things, including helping babies during the challenging times in Ukraine by sending 51 mOm incubators to the country amidst increasing premature birth rates. Ukraine has since requested 100 more mOm incubators which is a great endorsement of the simplicity and flexibility of the product and its use in a challenging situation.

The impact of these actions and investments will be far-reaching and long-lasting, creating a legacy that will be cherished and preserved long after the Howard Group business has transitioned to the next generation.

Being a responsible investor, caring about legacy and being a steward for the longer-term are central to our Centenary Vision.

The dangers of short-termism

It goes without saying that there will be bumps in the road that are out of our control. The potential for unforeseen, disruptive events along the way cannot be ignored and we therefore believe a premium should be placed on flexibility, adaptability and resilience in terms of people, innovation and investments rather than employing knee-jerk reactions.
Taking a short-term view can have a lasting, damaging impact on society; what we do is different. Of course, some of the investments we make today will have immediate outcomes - such as our capital venture Koalaa, which provides prostheses to children with limb difference. But as a private, family-run business we are a team with a distinctly longer-term view, and we share a desire to make a positive impact on the communities where we invest, striving to support and nurture the local economy and contribute to enriching community life across generations and not just quarters.

Our north star

Our Centenary Vision is our north star looking out to 2035. It unites the three strands of our purpose - people, planet and performance - and is a demonstration of our commitment to ensure we approach all our business decisions, practices and behaviours with these three strands in mind.

Of course, while you can’t compel anyone to buy-in to a purpose, you can provide the insight into what the vision looks like if achieved through working together, and offer them the opportunities to explore what that would mean for them, their friends and family and the wider community who would benefit.

Providing this insight to our team is something we strive to do every day. For example, physically connecting people to projects, like a recent team visit to see the progress at Unity Campus - our exciting new urban innovation district 8 miles south of Cambridge. Already home to 12 leading life science and technology companies, Unity Campus is undergoing a major expansion which will provide three new laboratory buildings by the end of next year. These visits foster a sense of ownership and satisfaction, enabling the team to see their individual contributions to a wider goal. In this case, that goal is providing much needed space for innovative, life science companies to undertake their game-changing work, which will in turn help to solve some of today’s most pressing healthcare issues in the longer-term.

Holding up the mirror – positive people development as a foundational element of social impact

What have we learned as a team over the last few years? One thing has become clear; how we support and nurture individual team members is critical to coping with, and learning from, adversity. Every person sees the world through a different lens and it is important to recognise and respect that and respond with sincerity.

A fully-stocked staff room and new IT equipment will only go so far in informing company culture – an authentic company culture runs much more deeply than that. It encompasses our behaviours, reactions and responses to one another, our partners and our communities.

Our culture of candour in a responsible way, has led to an openness in communication which is building a high level of resilience and flexibility into our business. We encourage the sharing of opinion and feedback to hold a mirror up to our ways of working and the projects we are working on. We embolden staff to make decisions, take action and create opportunities – it’s a dynamic two-way approach and not as commonplace as you may assume.

Howard Group is at its heart a people business and as such is only therefore as durable as its people. If, in the midst of challenges and disruption we can continue to support our team to be proactively agile and direct, provide the tool kit, the encouragement and support, then we will have successfully built resilience into our workforce and therefore our business.
The Case for Life Science Investments: A Real Estate Investor’s Perspective

The past few years have proven unpredictable for real estate investors. The global pandemic early 2020 had a profound impact on the ways we work and live, whilst concurrently, accentuating an existing shortage of logistics space, as well as perpetuating supply chain disruptions. This period of uncertainty was followed by a moment of unprecedented liquidity in the real estate markets, underpinned by a strong economic recovery as the world slowly opened. However, this recovery is now threatened by the return of inflation, causing central banks to raise rates across the world. Given the unpredictability of these global macro-economic events, all PSP investments real estate managers are facing a significant challenge, with well-considered sector- and strategy-specific investment strategies and themes which, over the long-term, are intended to deliver superior risk-adjusted returns. With $230.6 billion in asset under management at March 31, 2022, PSP Investments is one of Canada’s largest pension investment managers, managing a diversified global portfolio of investments in public financial markets, private equity, real estate, infrastructure, natural resources and credit investments. Alongside the shift to e-commerce, urbanization, and the energy transition (amongst others), arguably the biggest paradigm shift our society is facing is an aging population. PSP Investments operates at the crossroads of these trends across the firm with active dialogue between asset classes to share knowledge, perspectives, and insights on how these shifts could impact all parts of our portfolio.

Life Sciences: a sector primed for growth

Between 2015 and 2050 the population of the world’s older population over 60 years will nearly double from 1.2 billion in 2015 to 2.1 billion, while the number of people over 80 is expected to triple between 2020 and 2050 to ~5.0 billion. Throughout the same time period, life expectancy is expected to continue to increase, with people living longer and healthier lives. These demographic changes will have a profound impact on healthcare systems globally, leading to increased demand for healthcare services and products. The demand for life sciences products and services will continue to grow as the global population ages and the incidence of chronic diseases increases. Life sciences companies now account for more than 70% of drugs in phase III clinical trials and are expected to grow at a rate of 8-10% per year. These trends are expected to persist even as the COVID-19 pandemic subsides, driving continued growth in the life sciences sector.

These challenges alongside the application of new technologies have led to a shift in the innovation ecosystem. A successful scientific innovation ecosystem requires a combination of factors, including the availability of resources, such as funding, expertise, and infrastructure, as well as the presence of a strong regulatory environment. The life sciences sector is characterized by a strong emphasis on research and development, with significant investments required to bring new therapies to market. This sector is also characterized by a high degree of collaboration, both within the industry and with academia, to facilitate knowledge sharing and innovation.

The UK is one of the world’s leading destinations for investment in life sciences, with a strong innovation ecosystem. The UK’s overall healthcare expenditure as a proportion of GDP has risen from 6.9% in 1997 to 11.9% in 2021, with the healthcare sector being the main driver of growth for new accounting for 45% of overall government spending in the UK. This increase in government spending has been driven by a number of factors, including an aging population, an increase in healthcare costs, and an emphasis on improving healthcare outcomes. The UK’s overall expenditure on healthcare is expected to continue to rise in the coming years, driven by demographic changes and an increasing focus on improving healthcare outcomes. This trend is expected to drive continued growth in the life sciences sector, particularly in areas such as biotech, diagnostics, and digital health.

Conclusion

The life sciences sector continues to be a source of attractive investment opportunities, driven by strong secular trends and themes which, over the long-term, are expected to deliver superior risk-adjusted returns. The combination of a aging population, an increase in healthcare costs, and an emphasis on improving healthcare outcomes is expected to drive continued growth in the life sciences sector, particularly in areas such as biotech, diagnostics, and digital health. This trend is expected to drive continued growth in the life sciences sector, particularly in areas such as biotech, diagnostics, and digital health. This combination of factors suggests that the life sciences sector will continue to be a source of attractive investment opportunities for the foreseeable future.
The resilience of real estate solutions

Change in exit strategy

Global venture capital life science activity in 2021 broke all previous records, from both a capital flows perspective (£113 bn in VC in 20211) and a real estate perspective (60% increase in real estate transaction volumes in UK alone5). However, in 2022 there has been a cooling off of VC and IPOs which is changing the landscape, IPO activity in the US and Europe is slowing1,3,4,5,6. The days of the likes of Moderna, with their rapid rise and speed to market from in the US and Europe is slowing. However, in 2022 there has been a cooling off of VC and IPOs which is changing the landscape, IPO activity in the US and Europe is slowing. It’s not all “tourist” investors during the pandemic have quelled, leading to an oversupply of life science companies1. It’s not all doom and gloom though. VC in 2022 is still higher than pre-pandemic levels and the recalibration in the industry has dovetailed into more focused actions by investors and VCs. However, in 2022 there has been a cooling off of VC and IPOs which is changing the landscape, IPO activity in the US and Europe is slowing. It’s not all “tourist” investors during the pandemic have quelled, leading to an oversupply of life science companies. It’s not all doom and gloom though. VC in 2022 is still higher than pre-pandemic levels and the recalibration in the industry has dovetailed into more focused actions by investors and VCs. An oversupply of life science companies1. It’s not all doom and gloom though. VC in 2022 is still higher than pre-pandemic levels and the recalibration in the industry has dovetailed into more focused actions by investors and VCs.

One such change to real estate comes in the form of the convergence of tech and life science through MA&A and strategic partnerships. There has been an increase in acquisitions of tech companies by large life science corporates rather than developing tech-based solutions from scratch. Global cross-industry acquisitions peaked in 2021 with 334 tech companies acquired by Life science companies, representing 14% of the M&A deals in the life science industry. This is nearly 6x that of acquisitions in 2011. This increasing convergence will have stark changes for the industry – reconfiguring the way medicine is created, how medical solutions are applied, and how patients are monitored. More so, this will have implications for the real estate provided. The increasing reliance on tech could push the need for more highly connected, high specification office space, near data centres, or could drive the requirement for more labs fully integrated with automated equipment and technology rather than traditional lab space. The growth of cloud labs – those which are fully automated and accessed by researchers via cloud infrastructure – is an example of this trend developing. Those with life science assets in the industry will need to understand the implications of integrating these types of business into their own real estate portfolio and understanding the unique dynamics of companies within individual markets will be key.

Linking to M&A activity, companies need to be proactive in acquiring innovation to stay up to speed with the ever-changing market but the process of M&As can be slow and complex and as we have seen in the US, regulation can create uncertainty. As such, a less integrated approach that de-risks ventures is where companies absorb this innovation through strategic partnerships or alliances. Often in the form of large corporates partnering with or funding small, highly innovative enterprises.

Environmental Sustainability in a challenging industry

Real Estate has a proportionately large impact on the environment, contributing 40% of global carbon emissions. As such, those in real estate are strategically placed at the forefront of the industry to make impactful and positive changes to the environment.

The life science industry has however historically struggled with embracing environmental sustainability in their real estate portfolios given the inherently higher energy, water, and waste usage of the assets. Despite this, in a prior JLL report, Embracing Sustainability, we outlined that taking a proactive approach to sustainability can ensure the long-term viability of an asset. Investors and landlords that act early to future proof assets can find increased rental and investment value, help secure green finance, attract and retain tenants, reduce operational costs, and gain a competitive edge on portfolios versus their peers. From an occupier perspective, embracing environmental sustainability can also attract and retain talent, help differentiate from competitors, and reduce supply chain and operational costs.

Given the clear future proofing benefits of embracing sustainability, solutions need to be exacted to reduce the environmental impact of life science real estate assets. Real estate solutions are at the forefront. In our subsequent JLL report, Achieving Environmental Sustainability, we outlined ways in which life science occupiers, developers, and landlords can begin to mitigate the climate impact of their portfolio by measuring and setting appropriate targets, reducing their operational and embodied carbon, curbing their waste and water usage, and preparing and managing for a sustainable future. We also outline the impact that these changes and a wider embracing of environmental sustainability can have on the value of real estate.

Establishing benchmarks is the first step to achieving environmental sustainability in the life sciences sector. It is futile to set a Net Zero target without first creating benchmarks to measure achievements against. Whether you are an occupier, investor, developer, operator, or a landlord this entails measuring and monitoring to help understand the current climate impact of the asset, as well as interventions needed to achieve sustainable targets. Once benchmarks have been established and targets set, those in the life science industry can begin to mitigate the environmental impact of their assets. Decarbonisation comes in many forms but where many are now focusing their efforts is towards Net Zero commitments. This can be through reducing their operational or embodied carbon – both of which are vital to the process. Decarbonisation is only part of the picture when it comes to addressing climate impact, however; water usage and waste output are also key considerations. Finally, a theme that runs through each aspect of these focus areas is the change in behaviour that is vital for the aftercare of an asset. Open communication, collaboration, and engagement as well as training at every step are key to ensuring both landlords and tenants share responsibility and accountability for achieving sustainable targets.

What is interesting is that each of these sustainability factors are becoming increasingly important to liquidity and pricing in real estate investment. Avoiding value obsolescence for life science buildings in the future will become a critical factor as pressures from occupiers, landlords, lenders, and legislators increase over time. Nevertheless, life sciences is an integral part of the knowledge economy, where innovation thrives. In an industry rife with new problems, there is real potential for research and development to help provide solutions to the climate impact of assets as well. To truly achieve this though, there needs to be a united approach between all aspects of the life science value chain.
A thriving UK life sciences real estate market is key to creating a trans-Atlantic super-arc

Since the earliest days of biotech, the UK-US relationship has been one of science and excellence, with a focus on innovation and excellence. Cambridge, Massachusetts was named in honour of the alma mater of many of the area’s early settlers, including Harvard, whose teaching gift to the world was the eponymous university which now tops many global rankings. One of Cambridge’s most famous discoveries, the structure of DNA, was the result of collaboration between Francis Crick, an Englishman, and James Watson, who was born in Chicago.

As a world-leading developer, owner and operator of life sciences and technology real estate, with a focus on Core innovation markets underpinned by the triple helix of government, academia and private investment, BioMed Realty has an established presence in both Boston/Cambridge, Massachusetts and Cambridge, UK. Our standing portfolio across both cities is in excess of 6.5 million square feet, and complemented by a development pipeline of nearly 4 million square feet.

In both city-regions, and countries, innovations in healthcare have helped deliver huge economic gains via job creation, exports of products and increased life expectancy. A perfect mix of increased public sector funding, significant capital raising among the private sector, and advancements in big data and artificial intelligence, means life sciences form a growing and crucial part of both the UK and US economies.

In the UK, the National Institute of Health (NIH) recently received a $2 billion boost, bringing NIH’s budget to $45 billion, as well as an extra $1 billion to launch ARPA-H, a new agency designed to accelerate biomedical innovation. The White House has since rolled out new investments totaling $28 billion aimed at expanding the U.S. biotech and biomanufacturing industry Wednesday, part of the administration’s broader effort to boost the U.S. manufacturing economy.

Across the pond, PwC, Britain’s biggest accountancy firm, predicts that by 2030, the life sciences industry could add up to £90bn to the UK economy.

The undisputed beating heart of the UK life sciences industry is the Oxford-Cambridge Arc, which is anchored by two world-leading universities.

Given the close commercial ties between America and Britain, there is a huge opportunity to create a trans-Atlantic super-arc that ties together the world’s two leading life sciences superpowers.

The synergies are already there, with British biotech pioneers such as Bicycle Therapeutics, Vaccitech and Autolus Therapeutics choosing to engage in initial public offerings in the US.

However, creating a trans-Atlantic super-arc will only be possible if the UK delivers the lab and workspace needed to support the industry’s continued growth.

Recent record-breaking levels of fundraising have resulted in soaring demand for life sciences real estate.

The latest data from the Bioindustry Association (BIA) shows 2021 was the highest year on record for investment into UK biotech and life science companies, which raised £4.5 billion in public and private financing – 60% more than 2020.

Savills estimates every £1 billion of new capital investment triggers demand for 50,000 square feet of life sciences real estate, while Nilsson forecasts that over 20 million square feet of lab space will be needed in the Oxbridge Arc over the next two decades to keep pace with demand.

If the triple helix is the lifeblood that fuels life-saving research and innovation, then it is the property industry’s responsibility to create spaces where house and nurture the innovations aiming to break new ground and create life-saving treatments.

Yet right now, in Cambridge, UK, where Britain’s knowledge economy has a critical mass, there is no available lab space in comparison. Cambridge, MA, has 44.6 million square feet available.

To respond to the growing demand for best-in-class life sciences real estate, BioMed Realty aims to double its UK portfolio. Our planned £850 million investment will create close to one million square feet of much-needed high-quality lab and workspace in Cambridge, UK.

As part of a joint venture with Babraham Research Campus, we have also started work on delivering an additional 40,000 square feet of space on the Campus. The new development will ensure space for life sciences companies that are typically under-served UK-wide; namely those growing out of the early stages of incubation with an ambition to scale.

With recent record-breaking levels of fundraising and commitment to create life-saving treatments and cures, could help save millions more.
Balancing the scales - ESG in the Life Sciences real estate sector

Since its inception, the Life Sciences sector has got used to walking a very thin wire when it comes to meeting the extraordinarily high expectations of its stakeholders - government, society, and investors. The sector is replete with high emotional and ethical stakes, a company that develops life-saving vaccines might also dabble in animal product testing. Game-changing drug breakthroughs might fairly bring the occasional regulatory standards scandal. Faith in science might permit genetic modification. Each development brings its own debate, and each is couched in its own notions of corporate responsibility, each judged on its own terms.

Everything has changed however with the new macro demands of environmental, social, and corporate (ESG) goals. In order to attract sustainable capital investment, firms in every sector are rethinking their capacity to respond to the largest environmental challenges facing the planet, all while meeting the needs of society and getting to grips with ever-increasing governance demands. And at the heart of ESG adoption, companies are scoring against matrices such as MSCI ESG Ratings to judge management and performance.

In this context, it’s interesting to consider the ways in which Life Sciences firms can leverage their real estate to help with scores. How developers and operators are making their bricks and mortar offer more sophisticated, so it is easier to do so.

Within the Life Sciences sector, desk based R&D operations might automatically benefit more than manufacturing. Within the GLA boundaries and assume it will be OK to demolish and rebuild the 1930’s art deco building in the UK at the time. Simon Sturgis was right to speak out saying that we as a society cannot afford, from a resource efficiency perspective, to be building this sort of high resource cost building.

In my 2019 article I had no doubt that there is no viable route to limiting global warming to 1.5°C without urgently protecting and restoring nature. There is so much more the industry can be doing to improve the external envelope of a building so that it (a) generates energy, (b) improves biodiversity and (c) sequesters carbon.
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The greatest challenge of our time is climate change

We’re the first generation to see the effects of climate change, and the last generation who can do anything about it.” (Mayor of Seattle, October 2013)

This summer’s record obliterating temperatures in the UK have forced us to feel the impact of climate change, and to see it across Europe. The disappearing Alpine glaciers, and the rivers they feed, the devastating wildfires, and all this driven by a global average temperature rise of around 1.2° and CO₂ concentrations of around 420 parts per million (up from 315 ppm in my lifetime). And the planet is now reaching potentially irreversible tipping points.

So how is the built environment sector responding?

The twin horns of the dilemma on which we find ourselves are market failure and government failure.

Professor Nicholas Stern described climate change as the greatest market failure the world has ever seen.

I am a property developer, though one sometimes described as ethical (igloo is a BCorp working in the most deprived neighbourhoods in the UK’s top 20 cities). Or rather I was because I am struggling to see how to do property development in a way that doesn’t exacerbate the climate crisis.

Igloo have designed a simple approach we call Planet Positive Homes and, working with Chris Brown, Founder of igloo Regeneration, CEO of Nationwide Building Society on climate and the built environment.

The big remaining challenge is eliminating the UpFront Carbon from the materials they are built with. In igloo’s winning entry to the Government’s Home of 2030 competition, currently on site for the Sunderland Expo in 2024, we drove down the UpFront Carbon at every turn. And while we can claim to sequester carbon in the natural materials we have specified (timber frame and bands and hemp insulation), there is still CO₂ released as part of the house building process.


The most significant step the UK housebuilders have taken is for them to have substantially exaggerated their estimates. A cynic might think that they have done this on purpose because, post 2025 when they are forced by regulation to build fossil free (in use) homes, the updated calculations will make them ‘properly’ insulate and electrify, with green electricity, and then max out on renewable energy generation.

At igloo we are already building homes that, fingers crossed and relying on the decarbonisation of the electricity grid, will be carbon positive in use by 2035. All new homes, indeed all buildings, in the UK should be the same from 2025 when the Future Homes Standard, and the Future Buildings Standard kicks of in England.

Its five simple steps are; minimise UpFront Carbon, offset that ‘properly’, insulate and electrify, with green electricity, and then max out on renewable energy generation.

At igloo we are already building homes that, fingers crossed and relying on the decarbonisation of the electricity grid, will be carbon positive in use by 2035. All new homes, indeed all buildings, in the UK should be the same from 2025 when the Future Homes Standard, and the Future Buildings Standard kicks of in England.

The risk that climate change poses to the financial sector has stimulated central banks to act, and this in turn influences change across the financial sector.

We will all be judged by the outcome.

But many of those with power are still resisting change.

There are plenty of people in the sector working hard to protect the climate, and desperate for effective legislation.

And this is reinforced by citizens, acting as savers, who, in a significant and rapid market shift, are increasingly demanding green investments.

And soon the big lenders to the developers, most of whom have signed up to the Net Zero Banking Alliance, will also be having to disclose the carbon they emit from development. This will bring focus onto UpFront Carbon even in the absence of regulation.

There is also a private members bill currently in Parliament which seeks Government action on UpFront Carbon so perhaps there is a mechanism for reducing Government failure.

And this is being encouraged by campaigning organisations like the Architects Climate Action Network who are successfully raising the profile of this issue, and the professional institutions are currently aligning around the production of a Net Zero Buildings Standard that will address it.

Change also appears to be starting in the debate around the move from building new to retrofitting what already exists. We can see the first straws in the wind already. The uproar about the proposed demolition of M&S’s Marble Arch store and the increasing number of London office schemes reusing historic concrete frames and foundations for example.

There are plenty of people in the sector working hard to protect the climate, and desperate for effective legislation. But many of those with power are still resisting change.

We will all be judged by the outcome.
Shetland: A Case Study for a Sustainable Future

Introduction

The current energy crisis has sparked heated debate around Europe and the world about the role of renewable energy and fossil fuels. The war in Ukraine has brought into sharp focus the importance of a sustainable energy supply. Additionally, with the climate crisis looming in the background, renewables undoubtedly will have a greater role to play in our energy generation system in the future.

When considering renewables and long term energy security, conversations do not often turn to Shetland. On the face of it, the archipelago is one of Europe’s fossil fuel poster boys. The islands are home to Europe’s largest Oil and Gas terminal, the economy is dominated by the high carbon activities of fishing, agriculture and tourism and the ferries along with air travel are only ways to travel both within and off the islands. To add to this, peat is still traditionally cut for fuel, as with many remote communities the housing stock is poorly insulated and islands electricity comes from a diesel power station. It is often said that the locals ‘sweat diesel’. More recently this has come to a head, prior to government intervention in household energy prices, locals were worried that energy bills could rise above £10,000 per year. This in an area that already experiences pollution the atmosphere. Peatland restoration projects are significant amounts of carbon that would otherwise have been released.

Electricity generation does not need to be on the huge scale that is planned by ORION. Off grid communities have long had to fend for themselves and innovation is invaluable borne from necessity. Some of Scotland’s most carbon efficient communities are some of its remote, with the island of Egilsay recently in the news for producing 100% of its electricity from renewable sources. In Shetland the islands of Fair Isle and Foula are also off grid, and have the potential to generate their islands electricity demand renewable. Lessons can be learnt from such remote communities on how to transition to renewable supplies. Additionally the Community and Renewables Energy Scheme administered by the Scottish Government where subsidies are available for local groups to develop renewable installations.

While this progress should be applauded, non-governmental bodies have loftier goals in their sights. Despite the reliance on fossil fuels, Shetland has huge renewable energy potential; being the windiest place in the UK has at least one advantage!

Renewable Energy Projects

There are already a number of renewable energy projects established or close to completion in Shetland. Most notably is the Viking Wind Farm project on the mainland, which once completed will be the most productive onshore wind farm in the UK. There are also the proposed Beaw Field and Energy Islands Wind Farms, both in Yell, which have huge electricity generation potential. Away from onshore wind, three sites in the Crown Estate’s Scotwind leasing scheme were let in August and a further consultation relating to offshore wind potential to the West of Shetland as part of the Marine Scotland Targeted Oil and Gas Decarbonisation project is taking place. Additionally since 2016, Shetland has been home to the first tidal produced electricity in the world which has recently been expanded to provide EV charging facilities in Yell. What this demonstrates is Shetland’s huge renewable energy potential, far greater than the island’s demand, which has been unlocked by the installation of a new underwater interconnector linking Shetland to the Scottish mainland and therefore the national grid.

In August and September the ORION project seeks to bring together the major renewable projects in Shetland to work towards a common goal. Furthermore it seeks to repurpose the existing oil and gas infrastructure in and around Shetland for the production of hydrogen and the storage of CO2 in the existing oil wells. With the infrastructure already existing, these projects become significantly more viable than they otherwise would be. Additionally, Solum Voe Oil and Gas terminal is ideally placed to be repurposed for hydrogen generation. There is the potential for the tankers that currently export gas from the terminal to continue operating, however exporting hydrogen.

Other Opportunities

Additionally there are possibilities not covered by the ORION project. While renewable energy is vital in the journey to net zero, there are other aspects that have potential to succeed in the context of Shetland.

A reliable supply of clean, affordable energy produced on Shetland would mean that the usage of peat as a fuel could be reduced. Healthy peat has the potential to sequester significant amounts of carbon that would otherwise have polluted the atmosphere. Peatland restoration projects are being conducted all over Scotland and ‘credits’ of sequestered carbon sold to polluters under the Peatland Carbon Code. Therefore not only would keeping peat in the ground reduce emissions, it could result in valuable revenue for landowners whose counterparts on the mainland are already exploiting.

Electricity generation does not need to be on the huge scale that is planned by ORION. Off grid communities have long had to fend for themselves and innovation is invaluable borne from necessity. Some of Scotland’s most carbon efficient communities are some of its remote, with the island of Egilsay recently in the news for producing 100% of its electricity from renewable sources. In Shetland the islands of Fair Isle and Foula are also off grid, and have the potential to generate their islands electricity demand renewable. Lessons can be learnt from such remote communities on how to transition to renewable supplies. Additionally the Community and Renewables Energy Scheme administered by the Scottish Government where subsidies are available for local groups to develop renewable installations.

Conclusion

While this article has focussed on Shetland, the islands provide a case study for other areas around the UK. While not every area has the onshore or offshore potential that Shetland does, what it does demonstrate is what can be achieved when organisations think beyond government targets. The ORION project brings together the public and private sector, free from central government oversight, to work collaboratively to create a true world leader in renewable energy.

This integrated approach, if successful, has huge economic potential for the multinational companies involved in the project. While at the same time, it could transform the lives of the people of Shetland by providing them with highly skilled employment and a cheap, secure energy supply. Opportunities are also available for small scale landowners and communities in the shape of subsidies for natural capital grants for small scale renewable energy generation, if these opportunities are taken up, a truly ‘just transition’ for the benefit of everyone could be more than mere political rhetoric and result in tangible economic and social benefits for local people.

Shetland shows what is possible when organisations from across the public and private sector work together and look beyond government targets. It also shows what local people and communities can achieve if they work together. The possibilities are potentially huge and can be replicated throughout the UK.

Harper Macleod has had a presence on the islands since October 2015, but our connection to Shetland stretches back more than 40 years.

Our office in Lerwick provides access to a full range of legal services for businesses and individuals, as well as the islands’ largest estate agency and conveyancing practices.

We are one of Scotland’s largest independent law firms, with a unique footprint across the Highlands, Islands and Moray. We specialise in a range of services including those contributing to Shetland’s modern rural and marine economies. This includes corporate advice, banking, crofting, renewable energy, agriculture, employment and commercial property.
Delivering climate resilient streets and shared spaces

Covid 19 and 40 degree summers: Implications for streets and shared spaces

The Covid-19 pandemic and the growing impact of climate change have highlighted the important role of our streets and shared spaces. As social spaces, streets, squares and parks provide informal opportunities to strengthen and extend our social networks, while spending time outdoors. As restorative spaces, our parks, squares and tree-lined streets are our everyday connection to nature. As active spaces, our streets in particular support those journeys on foot or by bike that keep us moving. Social, restorative and active, our streets and shared spaces are central to our health, wellbeing and quality of life.

This summer, London temperatures hit 40 degrees Celsius for the first time. In continental Europe this has been a reality for some years, and cities such as Barcelona are already reshaping their streets and shared spaces. Initiatives such as Green Corridors are introducing extensive planting to Barcelona’s avenues, creating shady walking and cycling routes and helping tackle both extreme heat and heavy rain. Greater prioritisation of nature in decision making, planning, technical design and ongoing maintenance of our streets and shared spaces will be crucial to keeping our valuable urban outdoor environment habitable going forward.

Real estate: Streets and shared spaces as front door and threshold

Many Londoners have emerged from the pandemic more connected to their local areas and more selective about their journeys. Flexibility, wellbeing and quality of life have greater value. This shines new light onto the quality of the ground floor and its role as an attractor, from the mix of ground floor amenities, to the relationship between indoor and outdoor spaces at ground level, to the quality of spaces connecting buildings, and the journey and arrival experience for pedestrians and cyclists.

In Oslo, for example, the “urban floor” is taking centre stage at the mixed-use harbourside Bispevika development. Here, ground floor commercial space has been brought together and managed as a unit, enabling a high quality experience across the area, supported by indoor and outdoor spaces that link together at ground level.

Supporting the resilience and vitality of our streets and shared spaces going forward

On private land, green infrastructure can be given more prominence, reflecting not just its important role in climate resilience, but also the extent to which green infrastructure is valued across user and local stakeholder groups. Greater priority can be placed on increasing tree canopy cover, increasing the biodiversity of planting, and increasing permeable ground area.

Streets and shared spaces within the public highway make up the majority by area, therefore present perhaps the most significant opportunity. Strengthening green infrastructure here is championed by policies at central and local government level, and by Transport for London’s Healthy Streets approach. Delivering this green infrastructure, however, can be a challenge. Highways projects prioritise road safety, working to tight budgets, meaning opportunities to embed permanent green infrastructure within existing programmes of works can be lost. Furthermore, opportunities to bring green infrastructure into streets or spaces where it is sorely lacking, but where there is no road safety imperative, may simply never come forward.

Landowners, developers, Business Improvement Districts (BIDs) and local stakeholder groups can play a really important role in the collaborative delivery of green infrastructure within the public highway. This means closer dialogue between neighbouring landowners, Local Authority officers, local stakeholder groups and utility companies. Recent research by Momentum Transport analysing current opportunities and best practice in this area particularly highlighted the support that BIDs can offer in facilitating this dialogue. The GLA’s Infrastructure Coordination Service for streets can also be an important partner, helping to coordinate utility works and integrate public realm improvements through its “dig once” approach.

The real estate industry can grasp this opportunity to support the streets and shared spaces that frame its assets, and weave them into the urban environment. This support can strengthen relationships with local stakeholders, deliver on ESG commitments in a locally tangible way, and support the vitality and climate resilience of our streets and shared spaces going forward.

Lucy Minyo
Public Realm Lead at the Central
District Alliance Business
Improvement District
Principal Consultant at Momentum
Transport Consultancy
Mum, Dad, what did YOU do to stop climate change?

1 is not enough to think we have done our bit by turning down the thermostat or cycling to work. As business leaders and professional consultants in the property sector we need to use our influence with clients, colleagues and end-users to take action now. Buildings generate 35% of CO₂ emissions and so everyone involved in planning, design and construction needs to play their part, as do property investors and developers. Whether we are seasoned executives, junior team members or free-lancers we can all influence decision makers by asking questions, steering discussions and directing our colleagues and clients towards alternative answers.

In my spare time I am tackling climate change as a volunteer for Community Impact Challenge (CIC). CIC was founded by alumni of INSEAD Business School, where I got my MBA, to challenge the wider business community to accelerate the Race to Net Zero. I am part of the marketing and communications team and give half a day a week to posting on social media on facebook, instagram, LinkedIn and twitter. CIC is looking for industry experts to work with businesses to become net zero. If you would like to join in, either to share your experience or learn from others, sign up here https://www.communityimpactchallenge.org/startnow

CIC is active across all sectors, but as an architect, my obsession is the construction industry and the challenge of reducing carbon emissions in our towns and cities. One approach is to limit the use of CO₂ producing concrete and promote timber construction. Another is to prioritise upgrading existing buildings over demolition and rebuilding. To achieve this, I would like to see VAT removed from renovation and extension projects and requirements for planning permission to be removed for external insulation and replacement windows for non-listed buildings or not located in conservation areas. One challenge is to find a cost-effective and easy way to insulate our leaky homes – which is a major issue in the UK. My ambition is to develop a simple system for home-owners to be able to install external insulation by themselves. There are so many standard housing types in the UK, that it must be possible to mass produce a panel system which does not require skilled tradespeople to install. I am thinking of hempcrete panels, timber cladding, researching possibilities of natural materials like wool or cork. Feel free to reach out to me if you would like to get involved in this project. lmori@gol.com

But what about where you work? What are you doing to save the planet? Sometimes it can be hard to start the conversation, so I have gathered together my top 5 resources and encourage you to follow the links:

1 For students and graduates: https://90000hours.org/ 90,000 hours is a non-profit organisation which provides research and support to help students and graduates switch into careers that effectively tackle the world’s most pressing problems including climate change. There is an excellent job board and online resources for how to plan or switch careers so that you can have the most impact.

2 For Business Leaders: https://sites.google.com/view/wbs4cl/toolkit Business Schools for Climate Leadership is a partnership between 8 leading European business schools including Cambridge Judge Business School and INSEAD where I did my MBA joining together to help business leaders combat the climate crisis. The reasoning is that universities must play their part as institutions that produce powerful ideas and leaders. I recommend downloading the free toolkit and particularly like the second chapter on why tackling climate change is important for business.
Collaboration and shared experience as a pathway to a net zero future

Thought leadership plays a vital role in improving the way the property industry operates. Through research, intelligence, and collaboration, our industry will be able to innovate and evolve to ultimately deliver more sustainable and responsible business practices. We are at a crucial turning point in history – the climate emergency and the race to net zero has been set against a turbulent post-pandemic backdrop, further compounded by a cost of living crisis that will affect millions across the country.

We are proud to have supported CULS in hosting a series of thought-leading webinars aimed at sharing lessons learnt, challenging the norm and mobilising the sector as a whole to act and take responsibility for our impacts on the planet. These thought-provoking discussions, summarised below, mirror the discussions we’ve been having with our clients with regards to the decarbonisation of the residential sector, the race to net zero, and the shift to a circular economy.

Decarbonising the residential sector

68 million tonnes of carbon was emitted from UK residential property in 2020, which represents around 20% of the UK emissions. It is estimated that to meet targets set by the Government, including a desire to get all homes to EPC level C by 2035, investment in energy performance improvements will need to increase sevenfold.

Residential is arguably the problem child for the UK property sector when it comes to sustainability.

A lot of the focus is going to be on retrofitting existing stock. Challenges to this include the ability to push for change in affordable housing sectors given significant competing demands for capital amongst housing associations and other registered providers; the ability to secure investment from private individuals and funding that investment in an already regulated private rented sector; and the challenge of creating effective policy levers in the owner-occupied sector. Achieving net zero carbon in the timescales that we have will need to consider the impact on both energy bills for consumers as well as economic opportunities associated with the transition.

In terms of existing homes, the challenge is significant – 85% of all buildings are connected to the gas grid, 85% of the homes we have in the UK are pre-1945 homes and we need to make sure that we effectively decarbonise them by 2050. The strategy for existing buildings is on the improvement of energy performance, ensuring that homes are warm, efficient and can support the transition to low carbon heat; the phase out of fossil fuel heating and higher carbon fuels; bring down costs of the transition and put enabling policies in place for this; e.g. green finance, investment incentives, subsidies and support, growth of supply chains for net zero technology, and investments in innovation especially around hydrogen for heat.

The role of new build is to show us what the art of the possible is. The housebuilding industry is rising to this challenge – the Future Homes delivery plan by the Future Homes Hub is a transformative blueprint to 2050 that covers net zero carbon, water usage and a host of other design issues to make new homes being built today ‘net zero carbon ready’.

The race to net zero

We need to get to net zero emissions not just as a city or a country, but as a planet. If we’re going to limit the impacts of climate change, The UK Government’s ambition to be the first net zero aligned financial centre has led to a much welcomed mandate for financial institutions and publicly listed companies to publish their net zero transition plans by the end of 2023.

UK financial institutions are responsible for nearly double the country’s total annual carbon emissions from domestic consumption. The activities of the UK’s financial centre are significant and we really need to tackle that in bringing it in line with our net zero aspirations. As of early 2022, only 20% of the FTSE 100 had a reasonable net zero transition plan and any form of resemblance to science-based targets. A mandate for net zero means that we should finally be seeing all of these net zero commitments being accompanied by concrete monitorable plans.

The industry is rising to the challenge, collaborating and sharing global guidance on what net zero carbon means for real estate portfolios, and establishing knowledge sharing networks and working groups that form communications pathways and platforms for industry professionals to share experiences, benchmark their performance and discover pathways and platforms for industry professionals to share experiences, benchmark their performance against their peers. These collaborations are crucial – a lack of joined-up thinking across the industry could be a real barrier to rapid decarbonisation.

Examples of these frameworks and guidance include the Science Based Targets Initiative, which facilitates the creation of a consistent cross sector definition for net zero, and the work by the International Sustainability Standards Board, which provides a global set of standards for the financial sector. This will serve as the backbone for a comparability disclosure requirements (SDRs) which will in turn enable people to have greater transparency and less greenwashing around sustainability.

Circular economy

It has been estimated that energy efficiency and moving to renewable energy can address 55% of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. 80% of the buildings standing today will still be in use in 50 years. 11% of global greenhouse gas emissions are embodied within the built environment, and 50% of our natural resources are being used in buildings. We need to reduce raw material demand by refurbishing existing assets, and focus on decarbonising both our existing building stock as well as all new developments.

Commitments to circularity are being made, but roadmaps and metrics are so far not clear. Levels of awareness, understanding and ambition vary widely – and we’re all at the early stages of this journey and sharing knowledge will be crucial to this.

There is a clear business case for reducing operational waste and cutting operational waste management costs. There is an opportunity for growth in material reuse platforms, product traceability, reusability and recoverability. Significant discounts are emerging in terms of existing assets that risk being ‘stranded’ in the future due to poor carbon performance – more and more developers are seeing these assets as opportunities to enhance value through retrofit and refurbishment activity.

Other real world opportunities for circular economy include the emergence of ‘products as a service,’ including lighting, flooring, finishes, furniture systems. Global issues around cost of living, raw material adaptability has driven up interest in material reuse, adaptability and supply chain resilience through local sourcing and the use of recycled and recovered materials, and organisations are embracing circularity to address business risks and create opportunities for the future.

Working together

Changing the way of doing business means more collaboration, openness and transparency. We all share this challenge of securing our future and the future of our planet, our people, and our ideas and values. Savills is committed to investing in research and innovation and sharing tools and knowledge with the property industry so that we can all move towards a brighter future together and has established Savills Earth as a hub of expertise to fuel that purpose. Climate change is one of the greatest threats facing humanity today, but it is also one of the greatest opportunities for creativity, innovation and collaboration, and we hope to continue working and sharing knowledge with industry partners to harness this energy and develop solutions together.

Marylis Ramos
Director, Savills Earth
Heritage and Sustainability

Francis Mason
Director, Donald Insall Associates, Architects and Historic Building Consultants
(Claire, 1985)

I ought to be axiomatic that the most sustainable building is the one we already have, with all its embodied carbon, yet for many, old buildings present a problem. They are inadequately insulated and thus expensive to heat and, for listed buildings, the process for negotiating consents for improvements is complex, inconsistent across different local authorities and uncertain in outcome.

There is no firm consensus on which climate change mitigation measures are appropriate within a heritage context. Each building needs to undergo an individual consent process so that its heritage significance can be assessed, and any ‘harm’ that maybe caused to this significance can be measured against the ‘public benefit’ of what is proposed. As a result, determining what is right and wrong is open to interpretation. To inform the necessary decision process, good and clear guidance on what is acceptable is important. There is a large amount of very detailed and well-researched guidance available from Historic England and others. But its sheer volume is overwhelming and not easy to navigate. As a consequence, many owners of historic buildings are left discouraged.

This prevents us from allowing historic buildings to do what they can do best: be flexible in reacting to changing needs so that they remain comfortable and attractive places in which to live and work. We have forgotten that buildings were adapted or “dressed” to serve the needs of their occupants, through the provision of internal wall hangings and paneling to allow a higher surface temperature and to trap warmth; externally, sun blinds were added to prevent overheating. Moreover, expectations of comfort have changed: while we naturally wear clothes outdoors to suit the season, we no longer do so indoors. The Committee rooms in the Palace of Westminster itself illustrate this: a temperature of 13°C is marked as “temperate”, far cooler than would be acceptable today and made adequately comfortable by wearing heavy clothes.

Some people ask if historic buildings really matter in the context of the massive task ahead of us. Or could we just leave them as they are, avoiding all potential ‘harm’ to their heritage significance, but at the same time consigning them to diminishing use and consequent loss? If the UK wants to meet its target to be carbon neutral by 2050, historic buildings need to play their part. There are nearly half a million listed buildings and nearly 10,000 conservation areas in England alone. Upgrading all listed buildings and unlisted dwellings in conservation areas in England and Wales with a light-touch approach would result in 30% of the annual reductions in UK carbon emissions required to meet the Sixth Carbon Budget, according to figures compiled in 2021 by energy consultancy Verco. What can we do to make this happen?

In order to define the problems and suggest workable solutions, Grovener Britain and Ireland commissioned my practice, Donald Insall Associates, to write a policy review for them. Consultees included Peabody, Southern Housing Group, The Crown Estate, the National Trust and Historic England, supported by data from energy consultants Verco. Key recommendations to emerge included:

- First, National Planning Policy which governs the historic environment should include positive policies for carbon reduction in relation to historic buildings, and ensure that these measures are seen as public benefits which can outweigh “harm” to historic significance caused by their implementation.
- Second, clear guidance with positive case studies to inform and inspire owners that their buildings could be widely available, perhaps as a supplement to the existing building regulations covering conservation of fuel and power in new construction.
- Third, we should make use of existing legislation to better effect. For listed buildings, Heritage Partnership Agreements allow owners to improve buildings without the need for repetitive consents, once an acceptable scope of works has been agreed. This would be a boon for large or complex estates.
- Fourth, additional training and resources for both local authority conservation officers and building control inspectors would enable them to guide improvements.
- Lastly, and an essential measure, VAT on works to existing buildings must be equalised with that on new building to reduce the disincentive to preserve and enhance our existing building stock.

These suggestions have now been shared more widely with the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, and with the heritage and architecture sector, to enable historic buildings to play their part in addressing the climate emergency.

The full paper is available at: https://www.grovener.com/Grovener/files/1/c1/c19892d9-5734-4975-85df-5d7baf7229d8.pdf. Acknowledgement: In preparing this article, I am indebted to my colleague Cordula Zießler, from whose earlier work much of this text is drawn.

The latest on environmental impact assessment reform

This is a round-up of the latest on the moves to replace environmental impact assessment (EIA).

I held a webinar on this topic and there is clearly great interest – more than 600 people signed up to attend. If you didn’t attend, let me know (mailto:anguswalker@bdplimms.com) and you can get a copy of the slides and a recording of the webinar once available (first dibs to those who did attend, though!).

The story so far is that the proposals to replace EIA with ‘Environmental Outcomes Reports’ are contained in Part 5 of the Levelling-up and Regeneration Bill (which we are all calling the LURB), currently in its committee stage in the House of Commons. On 8 September it considered Part 5, and it got through without any amendments. You can see the Hansard report here, and the comments from the minister (Paul Scully) particularly when addressing whether the clauses ‘stand part’ of the Bill, are worth reading for the government’s intentions.

Meanwhile, a consultation is due on how it will all work but has not come out yet and although originally expected this summer may not even be this year. Once the LURB is enacted – if the LURB is enacted – regulations will be published setting out the process.

Meanwhile meanwhile, the Growth Plan 2022, as reported in the last blog, promises a ‘Planning and Infrastructure Bill’ that will ‘minimise the burden of environmental assessments’. That may mean the LURB provisions are dropped, modified, or continue as currently proposed.

If they continue, or are modified in detail rather than in principle, then it is worth knowing what an Environmental Outcomes Report might look like. The whole idea is that where a traditional Environmental Statement measures a project against a baseline environment and its impacts on that environment, an EOR will measure a project against government measures to improve the environment, the outcomes. It is therefore a more dynamic concept than went before.

A good example of an outcome is the current air quality target for nitrogen dioxide, which is an annual concentration of no more than 40 micrograms in a cubic metre of air across the country. It has not been achieved everywhere, and a project cannot slow down achievement in the shortest possible time nor turn achievement into non-achievement. I think the outcomes in EORs will be of this nature.

I think that the other outcomes will be similar to the ‘targets’ in the 25-year Environment Plan, but many will need to be adapted to be more tangible. References to ‘indicators’ rather than ‘targets’ in the plan doesn’t seem right to me as they are just subject headings like ‘emissions of key pollutants’. The outcomes themselves will also be subject to consultation. It is going to be difficult to pitch them so they are not so vague and national that any particular project has no significant effect on them but not so precise and hard-edged that there are millions of them at a granular level and no project can avoid impacting them.

EORs must decide whether their projects will help achievement of the outcomes, or if not, avoid slowing down achievement, or if not propose mitigation to prevent slowing down achievement. If there are still residual effects on the outcomes, the final two steps are ‘remedies’ and ‘compensation’.

There is also an emphasis on setting out alternatives (which I don’t think is phrased quite right in the bill) and monitoring – not just that you do what you promised, but that it works.

That’s my take on EORs, but to be taken with a Siberia-worth of salt.
EV Charging: Driving Value from Disused Land

Across the UK, there are plots of land that are currently not being used to their full potential, with landowners not realising their value. These pieces of land come in all shapes and sizes - you might find them on a corner of a busy road in a town centre, or on the outskirts of a major city, they may seem worthless, some even becoming derelict due to the lack of potential seen for these plots. But these disused, seemingly worthless plots of land in our towns and cities will be the driving force behind the EV transition. These sites can be turned into electric vehicle charging hubs, providing rapid charging to local communities and fast charging to overnight residents who don’t have access to a home charger by developers with the ability to achieve planning and legal agreements.

The booming EV market is aiding the UK’s transition to a more sustainable future, helping the UK meet its climate goals. Naturally, there is a large focus on EVs, given it is estimated that there will be 18 million EVs in the UK by 2030 according to the Climate Change Committee, but the infrastructure needed to charge them is just as important. Currently in the UK, there are not enough EV chargers to meet the growing demand, as many EV owners do not have a driveway or must compete for on street EV charging.

EV charging hubs are the solution to the lack of chargers, providing all EV owners access to charge quickly and easily. The development of EV infrastructure will only continue to grow in the UK as more drivers switch to EVs, with the UK government pledging to invest £1bn to boost the rollout of the charging network.

The UK is looking to the future, rapidly developing and investing in EV infrastructure, quickly making petrol stations an archaic reminder of the past, providing a stark reminder of the rapidly ticking lifespan of petrol and diesel vehicles that will be banned in eight years’ time. Why build new petrol stations which will have such a short lifespan when you can build an EV charging hub that will power the vehicles of the future?

EV charging hubs are popping up all over the country, providing a real opportunity for landowners to develop disused plots of land into profitable charging hubs. There are multiple benefits to developing EV charging hubs, firstly, each piece of land converted is a step forward for the EV industry, helping to provide the infrastructure needed to keep up with growing demand. Secondly, it provides landowners with a profitable solution to using disused land – minimising the amount of land wasted and providing profits to landowners for pieces of land that would otherwise stand vacant. Finally, the local community can benefit from EV charging hubs, providing EV charging for all drivers, including those who do not have the option to charge at home.

RAW Charging, a rapidly growing provider of EV charging solutions, is building one of the UK’s largest networks of EV chargers, focused exclusively on destination charging and charging hubs. RAW recognise the importance of landowners and the role they will play in the transition to adopting EVs. RAW will transform your land from an unused plot to a profitable charging hub, paying for the EV chargers and their installation.

There is a large role for landowners to play going forward in the transition to EVs, with demand for charging hubs only increasing as we approach 2030. This is an opportunity to generate revenue from disused land. This is an opportunity to be part of a sector that is growing year-on-year and to help the UK in its transition to a greener economy.
n the wake of COP27, it is clear that the centrality of ESG, which is more prevalent in European markets, has slipped down the global agenda in favour of more immediate existential threats.

Hyperbole and misrepresentation haven’t helped the cause. At HSBC, Stuart Kirk, who was global head of responsible investing at the bank’s asset management division, was suspended after accusing central bankers and policymakers of overstating the financial risks of climate change in an attempt to “out-hyperbole the next guy”. Even the most high-profile campaigners have been accused of greenwashing by the use of carbon accounting tricks. Financial Stability Board Chair, Mark Carney, was forced to row back after claiming that his new home Brookfield was Net Zero. A report by EDHEC Business School concluded that so far institutional shareholders had “not reduced in a meaningful way their investees’ carbon footprint”. Ironically, before the 2021 introduction of Europe’s anti-greenwash rulebook, the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation, asset managers in the region removed the ESG label from $2 trillion worth of funds, according to the Global Sustainable Investment Alliance, fearing their funds would not meet the criteria.

Like others in a growing chorus, we have long been sceptical of the ESG mania, “which often looks more like a new way to flog old underperforming products at a higher price point with little-to-detectable actual impact on the world’s pressing issues.” This chaos is exemplified by Ellen Musk who recently tweeted: “Exxon is rated top ten best in the world for environment, social & governance (ESG) by S&P 500, while Tesla didn’t make the list! ESG is a scam. It has been weaponized by phony social justice warriors.” “Exxon is rated top ten best in the world for environment, social & governance (ESG) by S&P 500, while Tesla didn’t make the list! ESG is a scam. It has been weaponized by phony social justice warriors.”

The sheer scale of the response from the financial industry for ESG products (the United Nations-supported Principles for Responsible Investment says signatories have $12 trillion of assets under management) would suggest investment is making a huge contribution to making the world a better place, but sometimes ESG investing distracts everyone from the work that really needs to be done and investors should not fool themselves that their investment decisions will make much difference to the world. Fundamentally, not investing in fossil fuels does not reduce their usage and incurring increased costs to pay higher wages or reduce their carbon footprint are just likely to lose companies their customers. The more effective way to achieve environmental objectives, they argue, is to use taxation and regulation. As the CEO of Patagonia, Ryan Gellert, entreats to end the “corporate doublespeak”, hold companies and our elected leaders accountable and to work collaboratively.

The scale of the changes required by the built environment to reach net zero was laid out in research by JLL. Last year, assessing risks to their survey of 32 cities, buildings accounted for 60% of overall emissions and over 70% in some of the large business centres. CBRE Investment Management estimate that 60-70% of non-residential real estate stock across Europe is in need of refurbishment to comply with the EU’s standard, representing a stock of debt of an estimated €7.6trn, of which approximately half (€3.8trn-4.5trn) will be office, with an estimate capex requirement of 22% of asset value.

There is a question over how the cost of all stock meeting these targets be financed? Owners of such buildings requiring financing for such conversion may need to rely on non-bank lenders as bank capital requirements (Shifting Basel IV parallels such prudential initially regulation designed to do, the last financial crisis is preventing banks from tackling the current climate crisis.

The sheer scale of company commitments to ESG commitments means that it is essential that there is alignment to the actual objectives. For example, in order to meet their pledge to be net-zero carbon by 2040, Amazon has ordered 100,000 electric vans to deliver packages. However, a typical EV battery contains twenty-five pounds of lithium, sixty pounds of nickel, 40 pounds of manganese, 10 pounds of cobalt, 200 pounds of copper, and 400 pounds of aluminium, steel, and plastic. Inside are over 6,000 individual lithium-ion cells. To manufacture each EV auto- mobile you must process 25,000 pounds of aluminium for the lithium, 30,000 pounds of coke for the cobalt, 5,000 pounds of ore for the nickel, and 25,000 pounds of ore for copper. All told, you spend 50,000 pounds of the earth’s coal for one battery! “Going green” may sound like the Utopian ideal but when you look at the hidden and embedded costs realistically, ESG is seen to be more destructive to the Earth’s environment.

According to analysis by PMCD, between 2010s and 2018, ESG was mentioned in fewer than 1% of earnings calls. By May 2021 it was mentioned in almost a fifth of earnings calls, after a surge in prominence over the pandemic. We really are still at the starting line. We believe green transformation will ensure a renewed focus on the commercial benefits of ESG for investors. McKeeley highlights that new sources of revenue will be created for the decarbonization of real estate, which will attract a significant proportion of the capital that has been committed to net zero and the trillions of dollars that have made similar commitments. According to a new report, every $1 spent into energy retrofitting technology has grown at a compound annual rate of 55% over the last 4 years, totalling $4.7bn+.

The histrionics around ESG misdirection from the crucial issues threatening the world for effective implementation of carbon goals and retrofitting strategies that are so urgently needed, but less spoken of.

Jonathan Jay
Co-founder of Conduit Real Estate, a UK and European debt and special situations capital advisory business established in 2010. Jonathan previously worked for a London-based pan-European investment manager and was responsible for capital raising across their equity and debt strategies, as well as debt origination on behalf of a sovereign wealth fund.

Like others in a growing chorus, we have long been sceptical of the ESG mania, “which often looks more like a new way to flog old underperforming products at a higher price point with little-to-detectable actual impact on the world’s pressing issues.” This chaos is exemplified by Ellen Musk who recently tweeted: “Exxon is rated top ten best in the world for environment, social & governance (ESG) by S&P 500, while Tesla didn’t make the list! ESG is a scam. It has been weaponized by phony social justice warriors.” “Exxon is rated top ten best in the world for environment, social & governance (ESG) by S&P 500, while Tesla didn’t make the list! ESG is a scam. It has been weaponized by phony social justice warriors.”

The sheer scale of the response from the financial industry for ESG products (the United Nations-supported Principles for Responsible Investment says signatories have $12 trillion of assets under management) would suggest investment is making a huge contribution to making the world a better place, but sometimes ESG investing distracts everyone from the work that really needs to be done and investors should not fool themselves that their investment decisions will make much difference to the world. Fundamentally, not investing in fossil fuels does not reduce their usage and incurring increased costs to pay higher wages or reduce their carbon footprint are just likely to lose companies their customers. The more effective way to achieve environmental objectives, they argue, is to use taxation and regulation. As the CEO of Patagonia, Ryan Gellert, entreats to end the “corporate doublespeak”, hold companies and our elected leaders accountable and to work collaboratively.
In an environment of uncertainty, it is important for investors to stay focused on long-term investment goals and not get caught up in short-term fluctuations. The recent market volatility has been driven by factors such as inflation, interest rates, and geopolitical events. Despite the challenges, there are opportunities for those who are willing to take calculated risks and navigate the market with a strategic approach.

Russia’s invasion of Ukraine and global supply chain issues have caused a rapid increase in inflation, sparking fear across the globe. In the UK, levels hit as high as 10.1% in July and have only marginally subsided with winter months still ahead. This level of inflation has led to central banks increasing short term interest rates in an effort to regain price stability going forward.

The Bank of England base rate has risen from 0.25% to 3.00% since February 2022 and 5-year SONIA swap rates have risen from 1.1% at the start of the year to 3.9% at the time of writing, peaking at 5.3%. The UK and European real estate markets have not seen interest rates of these levels in years and wearing off cheap debt is no mean feat.

Inflation and higher rates have hit both ends of the commercial real estate risk spectrum. On the core end, the days of investors buying a 4.00% yield and achieving a levered IRR of 6.00% - 7.00% disappeared seemingly overnight. On the opportunistic end, cost inflation and higher interest rates have eroded development returns and created uncertainty around exit cap rate assumptions. Those with fixed price construction contracts and swapped or hedged debt could feel rather pleased with themselves.

The assets that are most likely to outperform in this environment are the best in class ESG assets - projects that go above and beyond environmentally and socially. It is not only investors that have this high on their agenda but tenants too. The combination of strong tenant demand and lack of available stock is expected to drive rents in this part of the occupational market.

From a capital markets perspective, positive news is that unlike during the Global Financial Crisis, the real estate market is still awash with capital. In fact, real estate closed end funds’ dry powder in 2022 amounted to a substantial $377 billion, according to Preqin.

Since the arrival of Rishi Sunak, the gift markets have calmed down and hopes will be that Cirque du Tory is over and certainty will reach the white cliffs of Dover. But despite the relative calm, the UK SONIA forward curve projection still has a prediction of 3.1% in 5 years’ time, significantly above 2021 levels. However, forward curve projections have seen significant volatility in 2022 and forward curve projections have historically been less accurate after the immediate 12-18 months following the projection date.

Once inflation has stabilised at an acceptable level, central banks will have the ability to lower interest rates, which may become a positive catalyst for the market. For now, it is for investors to find transactions that work at their pricing and to be brave and counter-cyclical when the appropriate opportunity arises.

Higher Interest Rates Return

Inflation and higher interest rates have caused great discomfort in global capital markets
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Taking Stock and Gaining Perspective: A Q&A with Paul Brundage, Oxford Properties

Paul arrived in London 1 September 2008 to open Oxford Properties’ London office as the company’s first ever employee to work outside of Canada. Little did Paul know what was to come only several weeks later with Lehman Brothers filing for bankruptcy kicking off what we now refer to as the Great Financial Crisis (GFC). A mere 15 years later we find ourselves in a not too dissimilar economic and geo-political situation. Following his recent return to Canada, Paul offers a unique global perspective on the theme of this year’s 2022 CULS Magazine, which we seek to unpack in the following Q&A.

Tell us about Oxford Properties and the growth of Oxford Properties Europe?

Oxford are active owners, developers, managers and builders of businesses. The competitive advantage that has always been combining access to capital, global platforms and expertise with local teams and experience to diversify risk and create outsized returns. Paul refers to this as “levering your capital, leveraging your assets, leveraging your platforms.” Oxford ultimately serves parent company Canadian pension plan OMERS representing 500,000 municipal (local authority) pension plan members. Through Oxford and OMERS, the company has a select group of leading, like-minded global institutional investors to execute capital allocation strategies across the asset class, geography and risk spectrum. The combination of Oxford and Canada’s OMERS has in components, followed is a economic thesis, cost of capital >10%, lever Oxford’s global business, balance focus with a broad strong “invaluable universe” and finally be doable! This approach helps Oxford to attract a strong reputation and team in Europe and exceed the capital deployment and investment returns expectations during Paul’s tenure.

What do you see as the greatest challenge in our industry at present?

We have been very fortunate over the years to progressively improve the way things are done in the property sector at our own pace but have not experienced the amount of disruption that we are facing today. Business cycles, past recessions and the GFC did change aspects of the industry and created opportunities for new participants to enter markets and take advantage of downturns like what we did at Oxford Europe following 2008! – however today we are facing unprecedented change that is much more fundamental in nature where COVID, technological disruption, climate change and geopolitical uncertainty are forcing us to reassess our models, strategies, capital structure and business models. Investors, governments, customers and employees and requiring us to innovate at a pace faster than ever before and those who choose to ignore this reality I fear will face dire consequences.

What is your view of the ongoing ESG conversation?

Oxford adopted “sustainable intelligence” as a commitment to the environment almost a decade ago and today is a global leader in this space. Although Europe has been talking about “reduce, reuse, recycle” for even longer I had always viewed economic and social progress and corporate responsibility as one and the same. The flip side of this is that “necessity is the mother of invention” and “adversity creates opportunity” and for those who are prepared this may be one of the most exciting and greatest opportunities of our generation. I am seeing things happen all around our industry in places like R-Labs venture studio where new businesses are being formed to solve problems in real estate in ways we could never have imagined only a few years ago!

Is the UK perceived as an innovator on the global stage?

London has led innovation in fintech for many years and today COVID has accelerated the awareness and need for the same leadership in the biotech and life science sectors. Despite Brexit, education is still one of the UK’s greatest exports with renowned Oxford and Cambridge universities graduating leading entrepreneurs and companies and organizations around the world. I have recently had the opportunity to spend time with Professor Jerry Engel from The University of California, Berkeley who is leading research on identifying the key elements that make cities successful and Gaining economies. Capital and Corporations that propel them to attract, develop and retain the talent we need for successful and sustainable innovation economies.

Any concluding thoughts?

COVID has been the great accelerator and anything that was not “fit for purpose” is now exposed. There is nowhere to hide, and we are clearly seeing a flight to quality with a third of companies flourishing, a third hanging on, and a third faltering before is now exposed. There is nowhere to hide, and we are clearly seeing a flight to quality with a third of companies surviving, a third hanging on, and a third faltering.

In terms of our industry’s growing awareness of its impact on people and community, what stands out to you?

When I was BPF president we were facing the pending consequences of Brexit and trying to get the attention of national government. We all knew that there was no going back to the pre-Brexit situation and that we were dealing with a growing sector that was diverse a sector than real estate, but a life at home or life at work, healthcare, infrastructure, social services, or recreation but failed to be able to get the attention of No 10 versus other sectors. The reality was that we had a credibility problem as the composition of our industry was not diverse enough and we had failed to connect with government in a constructive dialogue to help work through the problems. Today, as a result of strong leadership from my successors and programs like Pathways to Property at the Heyley Business school we are making great progress and much better equipped... in a world of growing polarization where our industry has significant impact on people and communities we must use “diversity as our strength”!

In the fall of 2017, Paul Brundage stepped down as CEO of Oxford Properties to take on the role of Senior Advisor – FORE Partnership, London. He went on to serve as Executive in Residence – R-Labs Real Estate, Toronto. As Senior Advisor – FORE Partnership, London, Paul has helped Oxford to establish a strong reputation and team in Europe and exceed the internal cost of capital >10%, levering Oxford’s global business, balance focus with a broad strong “invaluable universe” and finally be doable! This approach helps Oxford to attract a strong reputation and team in Europe and exceed the capital deployment and investment returns expectations during Paul’s tenure.
Waves of Capital for Hospitality Despite Choppy Waters

Tara Davy
Tara Davy is an Associate at Eastdil Secured, a Real Estate Investment Bank at the forefront of Hotel and Hospitality transactions. Since 2015, Eastdil Secured has firmly established itself as the number one real estate bank in the UK and Europe, completing £23.6 billion of equity and debt deals on over 1,000 hotels.

The hotel industry has faced an unprecedented 24 months. Following the initial shock caused by Covid, European hospitality markets experienced a dramatic recovery in 2021. Against a backdrop of uncertainty, Eastdil Secured has been at the forefront of navigating global capital into the hotel sector, having closed £4.2 billion of European hospitality transactions across the equity and debt market in 2021 alone.

Despite both occupational and investment market volatility, investors have continued to allocate capital to the hospitality sector. Traditional hotel investors have been through a period of funding, and private equity investors have also responded to lower levels of dry powder, resulting in new entrants to the hotel market.

In 2021, European hotel transaction volumes totalled £15.6 billion. The UK comprised a substantial portion, with £4.2 billion (4.9 billion) traded, of which nearly half originated from the regions, outside of London. Investment volumes more than doubled in the UK over the year prior and Eastdil Secured advised on a number of high-profile portfolio transactions. These included the largest UK hotel trade of the year, from One51, acquired by Blackstone Group in accordance to Project Horizon, a 17 asset Holiday Inn portfolio and Project Holmes, a four asset Leonardo Hotels portfolio. Moreover, the highest average variable cost for hotels and so in turn, hotel investors are prepared to pay a premium for the best-in-class asset.

In turn, outside of the UK, activity in the investment market was concentrated around the Iberian Peninsula such that Spain was the only European market that experienced transaction activity in 2021 well in advance of 2019 levels. This surge came as a result of international capital seeking to get a foothold in what are considered to be the most solid leisure destinations from an operational and reputational perspective, including Malaga’s Costa del Sol and the Balearic Islands.

Rebounding international tourism has led to solid operating results and a remarkable champagne effect throughout the summer season of 2022. Consumers have eagerly anticipated the return of international travel, buoyed by pandemic savings, lockdown fatigue and wide-reaching, successful vaccination campaigns. Destinations that rely on key source markets from European countries have done especially well, with travellers displaying a resistance towards long haul flights and therefore allowing European destinations to gain additional market share from further afield such as the Caribbean, North America and Asia. Furthermore, this trend has been amplified for the 4- and 5-star segments of the market, where target clientele are less sensitive to the higher costs of travel, not limited to the possible requirements for Covid testing or more extensive insurance cover.

Beyond the leisure sector, high margin hospitality businesses such as the extended stay and limited-service markets have also shown high demand from the core plus and value add investment community. Well managed portfolios with lean staffing requirements are inherently self-protected from wage price spirals, as employee costs comprise a minimised percentage of the P&L, limiting the impact on profit margins in today’s high inflation environment.

Real estate has long been considered a hedge against inflation and in the commercial real estate universe, hotels have been a bright light by virtue of the capacity to make real time price adjustments via the possibility for a “rent” review every night. As a result, average daily rates for hotels can be expected to adjust via the possibility for a “rent” review every night. This has been a bright light by virtue of the capacity to make real time price adjustments. With lending markets mirroring equity investor sentiment, it has been both a challenge and a privilege to have started my career in the hospitality sector during what has arguably been the most profoundly transformative period of its history. Accelerated by the pandemic, market trends and investor sentiment have been continually redefined, with the focus on the hospitality industry commonly being placed central stage among the other real estate asset classes.

Across Europe, investor appetite for hotels has been motivated by a flight-to-lease from core capital, looking to benefit from the economic bounce back of the region, and to diversity existing corporate and conference exposure. This marked an observable step change for the industry, as more stakeholders turned away from low-yielding commercial properties to the more liquid assets such as resort and trophy hotels, in prime locations and backed by high-quality sponsorship.

To note in comparison to the USA, European markets have remained somewhat insulated from CMBS volatility, given that less than 5% of UK and European volumes trend to be securitised via CMBS. However, uncertainty in the European CMBS market has curtailed lenders’ capabilities to underwrite very large ticket sizes. Banks are selectively re-entering the market to finance low-risk, outperforming assets such as resort and trophy hotels, in prime locations and backed by high-quality sponsorship.

In addition to these criteria, another fast-evolving trend within the industry has been the growing emphasis placed on ESG. In Europe, real estate is responsible for 40% of carbon emissions and hotels play a major role by using the most energy and water of any commercial building type. Institutional investors, core funds and certain sovereign wealth funds are among those putting the highest priority on sustainability, with commitments to shareholders to be “greener” and company level requirements to be met by 2050. Led by the capital, it may be expected for a greater pricing disconnect to be witnessed for assets which do not satisfy ESG criteria, with an environmental perspective towards what can be considered investment grade. After labour costs, utilities represent the highest average variable cost for hotels and so in turn, hotel investors are prepared to pay a premium for the best-in-class ESG assets.

From a personal perspective, it has been both a challenge and a privilege to have started my career in the hospitality sector during what has arguably been the most profoundly transformative period of its history. Accelerated by the pandemic, market trends and investor sentiment have been continually redefined, with the focus on the hospitality industry commonly being placed central stage among the other real estate asset classes.

Despite the resilience of the sector to date, supported by the unwavering optimism of hoteliers and backed by rounds of private equity fundraising, it is clear that the years ahead will be critical against the backdrop of turbulent capital markets. Financing has proven to be increasingly crucial and in an increased rate environment, it will be interesting to observe whether more leveraged groups could potentially be required to restructure their capital stack or ultimately be drawn into disposing of assets to cover growing interest service requirements.

With whatever the future may bring, Eastdil Secured will continue to provide unparalleled access to both European and Pan-American capital. In addition to the significant level of European capital, Eastdil Secured is proud to have hired eight analysts from the University of Cambridge over the last five years, all of whom have had the opportunity to work on some of the most interesting and important real estate transactions across Europe.
Build-to-rent can drive the sustainability and wellbeing agendas

With the investment community and governments across the world united in their commitment to the net zero agenda, there has been an undoubted step change in the way the real estate industry approaches sustainability. Scarcely a property conference goes by without at least one talk or panel session on what implementing ESG looks like in practice.

In a similar vein, the role the built environment can play in supporting public health - both mental and physical - has been put to the forefront in the wake of Covid-19.

In many respects, commercial real estate has been ahead of residential when it comes to considering the impact new developments can have on the natural environment and people’s wellbeing.

A large part of this has been down to the fact that offices were ahead of the curve when it came to the operationalisation of real estate, which has changed the way the industry interacts with consumers.

WeWork was arguably the first property brand to break into mainstream consciousness. While the co-working operator has now become synonymous with VC-fuelled excess, the company’s overt embrace of environmental causes and focus on wellness - however genuine - is a trend we are now beginning to see in residential real estate.

In many ways this is surprising this did not happen sooner. Residential properties are responsible for between 17.21% of energy-related carbon emissions globally, and given home is where we sleep, eat and socialise, has a huge influence on our overall health and wellbeing.

Top-down pressure from government, regulators and investors combined with bottom-up pressure from consumers is forcing the residential industry to put a greater emphasis on sustainability, and to a lesser extent, wellbeing.

This change in behaviour and attitudes can be seen in volume house-builders, who continue to deliver the bulk of new housing supply. However, it is in the living sectors where we can see the greatest progress.

Whether it is in build-to-rent, co-living or student accommodation, branded operators are realising the importance of treating their residents more like customers, and that means responding to changing attitudes around the environment and mental health. It is not the landlord and tenant relationship of old, quite the opposite, we are here to service our customers.

Across both our multi-family pipeline with Moda and single-family pipeline with Present Made, a wholly owned subsidiary of Apache Capital, sustainability and wellbeing is considered at every stage, from design through to operations.

At Present Made of Eddington, which is being delivered in partnership with the University as part of North West Cambridge masterplan, there will be 373 rental homes alongside a range of shared amenities. These will include a communal pavilion, gym, yoga studio, private dining room, bike café, children’s playgrounds and games room, cinema and co-working space.

The Present Made team will provide round-the-clock professional on-site management, responsible for all maintenance as well as running a year-long calendar of social and wellbeing activities. These will include zero waste pop-ups, cycling and running clubs, cultural celebrations, live music, art classes, cooking classes, charitable events and talks from inspirational people.

While so far unique in the UK’s emerging single-family sector, this amenity-rich, service-driven approach has been hugely successful at our operational multifamily schemes with Moda, where the on-site teams have managed to engender a genuine sense of community among residents. As proven in the States, community creation is crucial to driving retention rates, reducing costly void periods.

Present Made of Eddington also has a ‘people before cars’ master-plan, with expansive green spaces and liveable streets to encourage meaningful moments between residents and health and fitness, while ecological corridors and edible gardens will support the local biodiversity of the area.

There are obvious physical and mental health benefits to be had by access to plentiful green space, community activities, edible gardens, or shared spaces that facilitate a fusion of cultures, ages and backgrounds.

The homes themselves will be precision engineered and manufactured in a factory-like environment, in a process less wasteful and disruptive than traditional construction techniques. Modern methods of construction are crucial to meeting Present Made’s net zero operational carbon target, by delivering homes that are more energy efficient. In today’s inflationary environment, energy sourced from sustainable providers, and at a reduced cost, are an important benefit to our customers. We are also looking into how smart technology can be integrated to further reduce the environmental footprint of Present Made homes.

More important however is we expect the environmental credentials of Present Made developments to be a crucial consideration for our customers. Again, this has been demonstrated at our multifamily schemes with Moda, where we have had hugely positive feedback from residents, who have seen tangible financial benefits from living in a high quality modern development that has been designed from the ground up for rent.

We see the emergence of purpose-built rental housing, which we have been at the vanguard of through Present Made and Moda, as a huge opportunity to transform consumers’ perception of the residential property industry - for the better.
Build to rent in a traditionally build to sell world

The upheaval caused by both Brexit and the COVID pandemic over the last ten years led to huge amounts of turmoil across the UK. We have seen logistics and supply chain disruptions, raw material price rises, and increasing import and energy costs, indirectly leading to household's re-evaluating their current living situations, and offices altering their work practices. Across the property market, the trend that the period between early 2020 and early 2022 saw somewhat of a ‘great migration’ as nearly 3 million homeowners re-evaluated their living situations, took advantage of the greater ease of commuting and working from home, and moved house.

Naturally though, the rate at which people were moving was matched by the strong rate of house price growth. Between early 2020 and the summer of 2022 the average UK house price increased by 23%, equivalent to an additional £25,000 to the cost of buying a house. It is in this environment that house buying becomes even more unaffordable to the average buyer, and particularly first-time buyers. As seen previously during the most recent affordability crisis in the late 2000s, this usually means a flight to the private rented sector. Between 2019 and 2021 an additional 1.5 million households entered this sector. The average affordability ratio in England and Wales is now 8.9, up from 7.7 just three years prior, and with rising mortgage rates and increasing deposits as house prices grow it is clear that we will continue to see a move to private rented accommodation.

But there are issues within this sector too. It has long been dominated by private landlords often owning one or a small handful of properties, meaning that it has been very disjointed, sporadic, and inconsistent with a provision across the county. Additionally, over the last five or so years it has been experiencing an issue with failing supply, as legislation and tax changes have made it increasingly unpopular with private landlords.

This does not look set to change much. For example, new EPC rating regulations are planned to take effect in 2025, meaning that all private rented accommodation will need to have a certification rating of C or above to ensure that homes are more energy efficient. Current regulations are set at an EPC rating of E or above, and with the older age of many properties in the UK, this will have a significant impact on the sector. For private landlords in particular this will be costly and could run into the millions. This is also not to mention that the sector has also been exceptionally well-liked by tenants. The single point of management contact, sense of security, flexibility, abundance of amenities and the modern, energy efficient nature of the buildings themselves have made it a popular choice in the UK lettings market.

So what next for build to rent?

New regulations and measures that are set to be put in place over the coming years will certainly change the shape of the private rented sector. However, it will not simply be government-led initiatives that shape the market; rapidly rising environmental awareness, energy efficiencies, social awareness, and wider cost measures and how to reduce them will all impact how the sub-sector operates. The lettings market traditionally attracts a younger demographic and therefore respects, strong environmental and mental health credentials will be key priorities for this traditionally forward-thinking, conscientious group.

As environmental and sustainable practices become ever more important within the lettings sector (not least because of the rising cost of gas and electricity) and tenants seek to become more environmentally friendly they will then look to live in properties which match their values, and those are more often than not found in the build to rent model where stronger environmental, social and governance (ESG) credentials are naturally and more easily met.

There are some clearly visible and easily understood ESG measures within a build to rent development scheme. Car charging points, secure bike lockups, high EPC ratings, and community meeting areas are all examples of this, and they will soon become even more important for tenants. However, over the longer term ESG measures in the way in which the buildings themselves are built and how they operate will rise in significance; consumers and tenants are becoming more aware and informed of the well-being of the social and global environment and also of their own well-being and how their surroundings can impact this.

There are many further measures where a build to rent scheme can enhance all of these aspects. They are much easier to achieve in these types of cohesive, institutional-led developments than in the private landlord-led model and even more so in the build to sell sector. Some measures include:

- Carpet: neutral builds – greenhouses gases are minimised at all stages from design to demolition and re-use.
- Modern methods of construction (MMC) or modular builds – a timelier, energy and cost-efficient alternative to traditional building methods using digital technology.
- Heat pumps – ground or air source heat pumps which rely on electricity are alternative heating systems to the traditional boiler method which relies on gas.
- Green walls – plants growing along the walls are great for urban schemes; where there may not be large spaces for gardens, reducing the carbon footprint and adding extra insulation to reduce noise levels.
- Social value and social impact – the BTR sector adds social value for the tenant experience by way of communal areas and through creating neighbourhoods and communities. In many cases the local BTR units will have a community space and social media groups that can get together in the communal areas both indoors and out. However, going forward the sector can aim to do this through the whole build process as well, through collaborations, mentorship, and attention to the health and well-being of builders and contractors across the whole build cycle.

In summary, many of the incoming regulations and legislation are bound to reduce the overall available supply of stock in the private rented sector. Private landlords and investors will undoubtedly find some of these regulations prohibitively expensive, unachievable, and too onerous to reach a sound investment or return. This will leave a gap in supply creating an opportunity for the BTR sector as tenant demand will continue. Nevertheless, new legislation, regulations, local and global environmental concerns are going to lead build to rent into new territory.
Could harnessing institutional investment fix our broken rental market?

The UK’s private rental sector (PRS) is facing two severe crises: plummeting affordability and an ageing housing stock that is unprepared for a net-zero future. Housing shortages and rising prices are not phenomena confined to the UK. House prices in most major economies soared even as their economies flattened as a result of lockdown restrictions.

The unique challenge faced in the UK is one of quality, which has direct and painful consequences for renters.

The government’s latest English Housing Survey revealed that over one in five PRS homes are deemed ‘non-decent’ and contain ‘Category 1’ hazards including mould growth, asbestos, and lead poisoning. Our poor quality rental housing is estimated to cost the NHS £340m a year – just £1bn short of what Brexiteers claimed we would get from leaving the European Union. Clearly something needs to be done.

The age of Britain’s housing also means it is deeply energy inefficient, which will make this winter even harder for many.

The Future Buildings Standard will ensure homes built from this year are more energy-efficient. However, 80 percent of the UK’s housing stock in 2050 already exists, so this only solves a small part of the problem. Minimum Energy Efficiency Standards seek to tackle the efficiency of the rest. A key question is, who pays?

In the UK rental market, demand keeps growing with demographic changes and the rise of ‘Generation Rent’, who cannot or do not want to purchase their own home. Meanwhile, supply is shrinking due to a landlord exodus. Many smaller ‘mom and pop’ see the 168 laws and regulations governing residential property and its management, including Minimum Energy Efficiency Standards, alongside rising interest rates and the impacts of Section 24 on their tax bills, and are re-evaluating. Plenty are selling up.

The ‘landlord exodus’ is resulting in major shortages of quality, affordable rental housing in the places people want and need to live.

The affordability problem is worst in big cities as life normalises, driving private renters higher and higher – in London, they are up 17.8% in a year. As a result, low-income renters can now expect to pay up to 45 percent of their income to live in an averagely-priced rental home. This is far beyond the often-used definition of affordability of 30% of household income.

With the UK having experienced no real wage growth since 2008, and people’s incomes already being stretched by the cost of everyday goods shooting up, this situation is clearly unsustainable.

The quality and energy efficiency problem is dispersed throughout the UK.

Part of the problem is that underinvestment, and herein lies the opportunity for patient institutional investors.

The most sustainable building is the one already built, so a unique challenge faced in the UK is one of quality, which has direct and painful consequences for renters.

The government’s latest English Housing Survey revealed that over one in five PRS homes are deemed ‘non-decent’ and contain ‘Category 1’ hazards including mould growth, asbestos, and lead poisoning. Our poor quality rental housing is estimated to cost the NHS £340m a year – just £1bn short of what Brexiteers claimed we would get from leaving the European Union. Clearly something needs to be done.

The age of Britain’s housing also means it is deeply energy inefficient, which will make this winter even harder for many.

The Future Buildings Standard will ensure homes built from this year are more energy-efficient. However, 80 percent of the UK’s housing stock in 2050 already exists, so this only solves a small part of the problem. Minimum Energy Efficiency Standards seek to tackle the efficiency of the rest. A key question is, who pays?

In the UK rental market, demand keeps growing with demographic changes and the rise of ‘Generation Rent’, who cannot or do not want to purchase their own home. Meanwhile, supply is shrinking due to a landlord exodus. Many smaller ‘mom and pop’ see the 168 laws and regulations governing residential property and its management, including Minimum Energy Efficiency Standards, alongside rising interest rates and the impacts of Section 24 on their tax bills, and are re-evaluating. Plenty are selling up.

The ‘landlord exodus’ is resulting in major shortages of quality, affordable rental housing in the places people want and need to live.

The affordability problem is worst in big cities as life normalises, driving private renters higher and higher – in London, they are up 17.8% in a year. As a result, low-income renters can now expect to pay up to 45 percent of their income to live in an averagely-priced rental home. This is far beyond the often-used definition of affordability of 30% of household income.

With the UK having experienced no real wage growth since 2008, and people’s incomes already being stretched by the cost of everyday goods shooting up, this situation is clearly unsustainable.

The quality and energy efficiency problem is dispersed throughout the UK.

Part of the problem is that underinvestment, and herein lies the opportunity for patient institutional investors.

The most sustainable building is the one already built, so a more transient workforce that is capable of plugging skills gaps where necessary by providing assurances to skilled labour that they will always have a great home and community to live in, no matter where they relocate.

A thriving, functional rental market also allows the UK to build more long-term market in the rental market, which remains dominated by non-professional landlords (82% of landlords owned fewer than 5 rental properties in 2021 - English Private Landlord Survey 2021), we can improve the quality of our housing while meeting the government’s ambitious sustainability goals.

To do this at scale, it’s essential to use technology to efficiently identify, acquire and then accurately predict the cost of retrofitting existing homes.

Fundamentally, investors want steady, long-term income streams that provide bond-like returns to help match their liabilities. By aggregating portfolios of individual rental properties and targeting where the depth of demand is greatest - the mid-market - it is possible to provide institutions with a reliable alternative to fixed-income investments such as sovereign bonds while delivering more sustainable, higher quality homes for rent.

We recently announced plans to use £1 billion of institutional investment allocated to us by some of the world’s largest pension funds and insurers to do exactly this to over 3,000 homes across the UK.

The Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities estimates the average cost to upgrade a privately rented property to an EPC C rating in the UK to be around £7,650, meaning our plans could save UK homeowners, landlords and taxpayers a collective £25 billion. This is only the start; the eventual saving could be far greater.

We are not alone in recognising the huge opportunity that lies in fixing broken rental markets. Investment managers such as MARK and Moorfield are targeting pre-existing rental homes as part of their residential strategies.

The benefits of a more institutionalised rental market do not end with better insulated, more affordable homes. A thriving, functional rental market also allows the UK to build a more transient workforce that is capable of plugging skills gaps where necessary by providing assurances to skilled labour that they will always have a great home and community to live in, no matter where they relocate.

Since the 1980s, rapidly growing equity markets and the fact that households have increasingly shifted from direct stock ownership to holdings via asset managers, mean that households have increasingly shifted from direct stock ownership to holdings via asset managers, mean that institutional investors have had the financial capabilities to enact real, long-term changes.

In the same way that they improved transparency and accountability across the corporate world, institutions are now well-placed to transform the PRS sector into one that is capable of tackling housing shortages, plummeting affordability and climate change.
People, Planet, Profit

Andrew Shirley, Head of Rural Research team, explains how the firm is helping to make the countryside a better place for the natural habitats and communities that lie at its heart, but argues that policymakers should be doing more.

As I write, permacrisis has just been nominated as the word of the year for 2022 by Collins Dictionary.

The definition of permacrisis, an extended period of instability and insecurity, at first glance lies in rather nicely with the theme of this year’s CULS magazine. There is no education like adversity - staying the course through relentless change and disruption. Indeed, some in the agricultural sector might argue that the industry, through no fault of its own, is in a state of permanent crisis. However, I think its connotations are far too negative.

It suggests rural businesses are constantly fighting fires, solving one problem only to be confronted with another. Of course, we all know some that do seem to lurch perpetually from one crisis to another — one step forward, two steps back. But for our growing Rural Consultancy team and the increasing number of forward-looking farms and estates that we work with, at least, we don’t see crises, we see opportunities, often increasingly challenging and disruptive to be sure, but opportunities nonetheless.

Thought leadership

Staying the course is not about standing still, it’s about moving forward and accelerating, but, crucially, not in a blinkered way, blind to the wider world. The ‘Three Ps’ theme of the recent edition of The Rural Report, Knight Frank’s annual thought-leadership publication for its rural-property owning clients, sums up our approach: people, planet, profit. Good businesses deliver prosperity not just for themselves, but for the communities and natural environment around them.

And challenging though they may seem, many of the issues that rural businesses are having to face currently will be catalysts for a more sustainable, long-term future.

Escalating fertiliser prices, driven through the roof by Russia’s invasion of Ukraine, will, for example, certainly hit the bottom line of arable businesses next year, but our expanding Agri-consultancy team is helping many of them to reduce their reliance on artificial nitrogen by adopting techniques such as regenerative or restorative agriculture.

As Jake Finness, Conservation Manager at the 25,000-acre Holkham Estate in Norfolk explained in an interview in The Rural Report, it is possible to increase profits, make space for nature and boost biodiversity: “Everybody wins. Everybody wins.”

Regenerative

Some critics of regenerative agriculture dismiss it as a return to 18th Century farming, but it is very much science-led. Using fewer chemicals certainly doesn’t mean eschewing technology and often goes hand in hand with it via applications such as precision agriculture.

The use of big data, in particular, is something that we believe will deliver huge benefits around each of the Three Ps. Increasingly sophisticated mapping software means our geo-spatial teams can help estate owners make more informed decisions, whether that’s the location of tree planting to prevent downstream flooding or selecting sites most suited to growing grapes.

Higher energy costs, another consequence of the Ukraine crisis, have also ramped up the focus on renewable energy solutions and at the same time revealed weaknesses and contradictions in government policy. Our specialist teams are helping farms and estates to become less dependent on fossil fuels, but planning restrictions on ground-mounted green-field solar and on-shore wind are holding back the transition.

Geo-political instability and conflict may be hogging the headlines at the moment, but the Black Sea conflagration has only exacerbated the impacts of the two big issues that were already underpinning the rural land agenda and our clients’ future strategic direction: Brexit and climate change. If the Putin-enforced cost of living crisis and the realisation that our food supply chains are far more fragile than we had perhaps assumed them to be is to have any silver lining, hopefully it will be to make policymakers realise that it is they who are holding back the rate of change that is required, not landowners.

Natural capital

Our continued reliance on natural gas, for example, reflects a long-term lack of joined up government policy on renewable energy, while the UK’s new environmental support schemes that will replace EU subsidy payments and are supposed to boost biodiversity and mitigate climate change are too long in the planning. Ambitious government tree-planting targets are not matched by the incentives available. Important reports such as the Dasgupta review, which investigated the economics of biodiversity, or Henry Dimbleby’s National Food Strategy are side-lined.

Notwithstanding this policy deficit, natural capital is still a buzzword of the moment and much of our work with our estate-owning clients revolves around helping them identify and measure their natural capital with a view to releasing “at potential” credits. This could be cattle carbon credits, biodiversity offsetting or other schemes that deliver public goods and nature-based solutions such as food mitigation, habitat restoration, biodiversity, or even green social infrastructure.

These all have the potential to create new income streams for our clients that will temper the impact of some of the issues described above, but we have a responsibility to ensure the family silver isn’t sold off for a bargain price or that tax and other liabilities aren’t created for future generations. Politically, businesses see buying carbon credits generated in the countryside as a great way to offset their own emissions and hit net-zero targets, but one day those credits may well be needed by the farms and estates in question when their own customers ask them to hit net zero too.

It is a steep learning curve that we are all on at the moment, but it’s an exciting journey and rural property experts are playing a key role in shaping the future of the countryside for the better and ensuring a permacrisis is more of a permaopportunity.

To request a copy of The Rural Report, please email andrew.shirley@knightfrank.com
Learning from the Italian biogas sector

A group of Italian farmers are integrating anaerobic digestion (AD) technologies with farming practices designed to lower greenhouse gas emissions, with the goal of producing both food and fuel more sustainably.

They have developed a concept called ‘Biogas done right’, which is proving a success in terms of reducing their carbon footprint, cutting fertiliser costs and improving soil health.

Oliver Harwood, director with Strutt & Parker and a biogas specialist, says there are lessons to be learned for UK producers from the approach being taken. He has recently returned from an International Energy Agency biogas meeting in Italy where he toured a ‘Biogas done right’ farm.

“What they have got right is the development of a holistic approach, which is not only generating energy and producing diversified income, but also benefiting the soil and cutting artificial fertiliser by optimising the use of digestate.”

Case study
The Cingia De Botti farm is located to the southeast of Milan and spans 1500ha of fertile alluvial plain adjacent to the River Po.

The farm houses a 600-cow fully automated dairy in a new complex, together with the three 2MW digesters.

The farm operations benefit from dribble irrigation augmented by the liquid digestate and a system of double cropping – with a rotation of white straw crops with triticale, maize and tomatoes – to produce nearly all the feed requirements for the stock and the AD plants.

One of the digesters delivers Combined Heat and Power (CHP), providing the heat to a nursing home adjacent to the farm and meeting the farm’s needs as well as exporting electricity to the grid.

Another of the three digesters is fitted with gas-upgrading equipment which means clean biomethane can be injected straight into the Italian National Gas Grid. The third is built but not yet operational.

The AD plants are producing more than 90% of the fertiliser requirements of the farm.

The nutrient-rich digestate is injected directly into the soil, which has improved soil health and saved fertiliser costs.

The Cingia De Botti’s cows are bedded on dried digestate and this is also recycled onto the farm in the form of farmyard manure, with the liquid slurry automatically scraped and fed direct to the digesters by underground pipeline.

Three very large silage clamps provide the main feedstock for the AD, while the gases are fed from bagged silage and specialist feed hoppers which reheat the automatic feeder machines.

The cows at Cingia De Botti are housed all year round in a ‘cow palace’ which includes shower facilities and back scratchers for the animals, under cooling fans. They exercise and rest in wide scraped passages and lounging areas and are bedded on soft digestate fibre. The cows milk themselves on average 3.2 times a day.

The milk they produce goes to make Grana de Padano cheese in the local co-operative.

“Biogas can be done at both small and large scale and approached in the right way can offer huge environmental benefits beyond simply producing renewable energy,” says Mr Harwood.

“Cingia De Botti is a large business which has had considerable investment, but it may be feasible to replicate the model at a smaller scale.

“While the level of government support for biogas is lower in the UK than in comparable European countries - notably France - the recent hike in natural gas prices and the growing demand for biomethane for heavy transport provides a potential market for UK farmers and growers to meet demand at the same time as negating their fertiliser bills, restoring soil health and driving down their carbon emissions.”

Editor’s note:
Oliver Harwood has been assisting Dr Clare Lukehurst OBE with the International Energy Agency biogas task for over 15 years, and since her death earlier in 2022 has been charged with keeping the UK up to date with developments in AD across the world.
A Generation of Growth in Agricultural Land Values

Introduction

In periods of inflation, real estate, particularly agricultural land, is considered to be one of the safest stores of real value compared to other investment types. The rate of inflation has rocketed over the last year, triggered predominantly by shocks to the energy market and global supply shortages. Measured using the Consumer Price Index (CPI), inflation reached a 40-year high, rising 10.1% in the 12 months to July 2022 and dropping only slightly since. This has impacted various aspects of the UK economy, such as transport costs, household energy bills and food production costs.

Carter Jonas has explored the performance of land values in England and Wales, against inflation to determine the historical effectiveness of farmland as a means to protect wealth.

Long-Term Growth in Farmland Capital Values

Widespread farmland is sometimes thought of by investors as an ‘inflation hedge’ periods of strong land value growth and relatively slow inflation, so real estate generally, and many other factors, are at play that influence values in the short-term. Nonetheless, land values have historically outperformed inflation over the long-term, and so have the potential to protect investors against a loss of value in real terms (adjusted for inflation).

Figure 1: Land Values and Real Land Values in England and Wales - Source: Carter Jonas, ONS, RICS, Defra

Although average land values in England and Wales have taken a slight dip over the last five years, the longer-term trend has been strong. Over a 30-year period, land values have grown in every year, with an annual rate of growth at 3.1% from 1991 to 2021 (a total of 152.3%), demonstrating a substantial increase for those who have invested over a generation. Against rising inflation, pragmatic investors have been able to use farmland in order to diversify their portfolios and protect wealth, safe in the knowledge that the long-term performance offers a strong value uplift plus a rental income stream.

Undoubtedly, farmland values have experienced periods of growth and decline at varying rates, and the market has been through notable periods of change. For instance, The Financial Times cites legal changes to agricultural tenancies in the 1990s as a reason for the increase in values in that period, with new farm business tenancies (FBTs) making land more attractive to investors.

In times of economy volatility, land values have proven resilient. Other than a slight dip in 2009, rising inflation and a steep fall in economic growth during the Global Financial Crisis drove demand for farmland by investors looking for relative safe haven assets. Even when the housing market crashed, the farmland market held strong.

Prices fell between 2016 and 2020, with Brexit and subsidy uncertainties creating more hesitancy amongst buyers. Considering the tough market conditions, values held up remarkably well and only suffered modest falls. Since then, there has been more confidence in the market and increased demand coming from new types of buyers. It is evident that farmland values have trended upwards over a longer timeframe and weathered the variability in inflation rates. The resilience and ability to rebound quickly is promising for land values in the face of the current high inflation rates and low economic growth.

Alternative Investments

Exploring the change in farmland values compared to other common, tangible assets helps us to understand how different investment types perform. Over a 30-year period, equities (using the FTSE 100 index), gold, residential property and average land values have all grown at a faster rate than CPI inflation. MSCI All Property capital growth, which is an accumulation of retail, office, industrial and hotel indices and 10-year UK Government Bonds were the only asset types researched that had grown at a slower rate than inflation.

Agricultural land, when held in whole or in part as an investment, can yield steady rental income on an array of tenancies, such as leases for agricultural purposes, energy schemes or sporting. Particularly, agricultural landowners are increasingly exploring means to diversify their income by introducing complementary income streams. Even when income producing elements of farmland are ignored, it is evident that the increase in capital value over time significantly outruns that of inflation.

On a shorter-term basis, farmland values have not performed as strongly, with the 10-year annualised growth at 0.5%. In contrast, the 10-year annualised growth of MSCI All Property was 2.6% in that period, and the FTSE All Share saw a 3.94% annualised increase. In order to generate wealth-preservation benefits, farmland does experience some level of volatility but, when held over a longer period, offers greater capital growth than many other investment types.

The Outlook for Land Values

Over the last 30 years, farmland values have outperformed inflation and grown at a rate that exceeds many other common investments, delivering wealth preservation benefits. In the short-term we are seeing a huge increase in input costs which is outstripping what farms can earn from their land. But, despite profitability concerns, the outlook for land values is positive. With limited supply of land coming to the market, growing demand from alternative investors and new income stream opportunities, we believe that land prices will, at the least, hold firm with potential to rise in the short-to-medium-term. In the longer-term, it will continue its positive trajectory.

Encouragingly, new opportunities and income streams for landowners, both farming and non-farming, are helping to create optimism and drive prices. In particular, a new era of structural change has sparked the upsurge of environmental buyers who bring ‘green money’ to the market for carbon offsetting and biodiversity purposes. Equally, emerging natural capital-based income streams will serve to benefit the market and create demand for land and the environmental solutions it can provide. History has shown that land is a safe store of value for investors in uncertain times. The costs of fixed rate borrowing have increased significantly in the last year, and, whilst the tax status of agricultural land is constantly in question, demand still owes from investors, farmers and lifestyle buyers. They are all still likely to find themselves competing for farms and estate properties.

Over time, the alternative land use markets are likely to flourish. Yet, with food security moving higher up the agenda, the demand for productive arable and pasture land is also unlikely to diminish. As such, land will continue to be an attractive, long-term investment.
Natural Capital is Economic Capital

In his book *The North of England: A History from Roman times to the Present* Frank Musgrove wrote *there have been four periods of particular northern distinction, importance and power...the first spanned the third century AD...the second was the spectacular Age of Bede...the third was the military age of the Navies and Pencers from the mid-fourteenth to the late fifteenth centuries...the fourth period...was the age of the Industrial Revolution*. This last was recognised by Lord Macaulay (Trinity 1818-1825) who in 1848 pointed out that *the regions north of the Trent possessed in their coal beds a source of wealth more precious than the gold mines of Peru*. Since the death of King Coal the economic pendulum has swung south again creating calls for Levelling Up which have generated so much heat and not so much light.

Looking at the Northern economic landscape from a Cumbrian perspective, where I chair the Local Enterprise Partnership there is not much coal now - indeed there never was that much in comparison with other parts of the North, if one of the sources of regional wealth is identified as raw materials there might not seem to be all that much. But is that really right? I am going to suggest not, and that much of the problem is not the absence of economically important raw materials, but that their value has been expropriated in the past, and that it may be about to happen again.

It is well known that in the later Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries those parts of the country which had plentiful water were used as header tanks for the water supplies of our great cities. While the environmental and associated aspects are still controversial it is not part of my argument to criticise the underlying idea. Rather the criticism is that it has been expropriated and not paid for. Every time a WC is flushed in Manchester it is done with Cumbrian water. Such places cannot exist without it.

The Northern countryside is blessed with plentiful natural resources (Water, Landscape, and Natural Capital), each of which has similar economic characteristics to minerals. Policy made in London is stopping this happening thereby leading to the well-known economic and social problems the Levelling Up Agenda appears conspicuously to ignore.

To come forward to the present day the Lake District is a World Heritage Site, England’s premier National Park, and one of the country’s glories, as well as being an essential component of the country’s economically important Visitor Economy. While those directly employed in that sector derive a livelihood from it, those who look after the land, which is what the visitors actually come to enjoy, principally live on crumbs falling from the agricultural table. DEFRA’s principal purpose sometimes appears to be to ensure upland farmers live at or near the level of the minimum wage and that our local communities are socially eviscerated by second homes, which makes recruiting local labour well-nigh impossible in some instances. Again the contribution to the nation as a whole is not recognised in the rewards for doing the work.

Levelling Up Agenda is intended to address. Just as culture is now becoming recognised as having a real economic value which should be rewarded properly, so too should Natural Capital, Landscape and the Environment in just the same way.

Perhaps, however, the most egregious example of this approach may be the way it appears Natural Capital is going to be treated. But first we need to note the fact that for years the economically poorer parts of the country have been clearing up emissions originating from wealth creation elsewhere, like the man with the shovel at the back of the cavalcade. They have received very little proper economic recognition for it. Despite the Levelling Up Agenda Whitehall seems to be ignoring this.

Looking after this and similar places is as much a commercial issue. Carbon clean-up is a commercial output which requires a commercial approach may be the way it appears Natural Capital is going to be treated. But first we need to note the fact that for years the economically poorer parts of the country have been clearing up emissions originating from wealth creation elsewhere, like the man with the shovel at the back of the cavalcade. They have received very little proper economic recognition for it. Despite the Levelling Up Agenda Whitehall seems to be ignoring this.

If trees and peat absorb CO₂, then those currently alive will benefit from what is done rather than the cost of doing it as is the general case in the rest of the in what we call the marketplace.
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Perhaps, however, the most egregious example of this approach may be the way it appears Natural Capital is going to be treated. But first we need to note the fact that for years the economically poorer parts of the country have been clearing up emissions originating from wealth creation elsewhere, like the man with the shovel at the back of the cavalcade. They have received very little proper economic recognition for it. Despite the Levelling Up Agenda Whitehall seems to be ignoring this.
They always say real estate people look on the bright side...

The challenges seem to have come thick and furious over the last two years. COVID-19 has caused a major change in the way we work, shop, eat, share and communicate. Climate change served a poignant reminder this summer and the clock for change is ticking. If that is not enough, we are faced with an energy crisis, renewed geopolitical confrontation, and rampant inflation. All of this sets new challenges for how we use and build real estate. Where to start?

Getting used to empty streets, queues at grocery stores and re-arranging our home set ups is something we adjusted to amazingly quickly. This is one of the most remarkable things I observed, just how rapidly we can adapt to adversity and on a global scale-the lesson should be applied elsewhere. However, for the high street it was a hammer blow to an already ailing asset class.

A good thing is, I believe, we came to recognise more appreciatively those workers that continued to deal with our refuse, deliver our post, keep the power, water and phones working, and meeting our increasing home orders, as well as the heroes in the NHS, Teachers and most of us learnt to do the job remotely, something few trained for. It did us good to recognise how much we took some things for granted.

I remember in the early days of the covid lockdown wandering in Hyde Park and remarking how quiet it was-no aircraft, little traffic, the skies noticeably clearer with less smog… you could hear the birds. No pubs, no restaurants, no bustle, no music. It was also noticeable how others out for their one hour’s permitted exercise respected each other’s space but would nod in engagement as we passed.

Of course, it was not to last, but was a reminder of the pollution we live with. Climate change became a matter we could reflect on more as we watched documentaries of enforced incarceration.

Thinking about the future also caused me to consider what wider society would look like as we recover. Many, including two of my sons, started their working careers remotely. The ease with which we moved to homeworking is now manifesting in a reluctance to go back to the office full time. The debate of what is best will continue for years, but as some switch to more permanent flexible arrangements that genie may be out of the bottle. The question is now how sort of workplace do we need to create to make it attractive to rejoin? We are now adapting homes to have home offices. Clearing out has increased demand for self storage. Other trends will emerge.

I spent some time looking at research focused on human connectivity. Papers like Mark Granovetter’s theorising on “the weak ties” the chance encounters which become an important part of our daily lives. These “collisions” give us new information possibilities and are relevant to the way we evolve our thinking and actions. Whilst we may have adapted to work remotely, our native instincts are still to connect as humans. I sense this is the important ingredient we could be in danger of losing even if the short term, our native instincts are still to connect as humans. I sense this is the important ingredient we could be in danger of losing.

I read with interest the paper produced, in part by a team I met at MIT, last year. “The effect of co-location on human communication networks” appeared in Nature computational science in August 2022. The summary statement said it all, “the ability to rewire ties in communication networks is vital for large-scale human cooperation and the spread of new ideas”. The research indicated “employees who are not co-located are less likely to form ties weakening the spread of information in the workplace”. As we move forward is it possible to have hybrid working but maintain that sense of belonging to an office community? That means more than just the place of work but where it sits, what is offered around it, how accessible it is, what else can we do there? As we move also to rebuild our streets from retail failures, it is more likely the building of new cultural offerings, meeting points, and communal activities will define success. As we plan to become a more inventive economy, evidence shows we need to plan places to improve collaboration to succeed. This from the IBM Foundation.

COP26 was a major post Covid event yet seems so long ago. As we fight an energy crisis caused by the sad and unwanted war in Ukraine, the short term expediency of reverting to tried and tested methods of energy production seemingly blanking out all we resolved to do. Bearing in mind the mammoth task we set ourselves to control climate change to 1.5°C, this job has just become even harder, if not, impossible. We even see some major investors doubling back on ESG policies of their holdings as they are “not in the shareholders interest”!

Given the remarkable two years we have been forced to endure, I still find it remarkable how quickly we adapted. I remember at the start of Covid lockdown phoning my brother who worked with a major US computer business and has been hybrid working for years. “How do you do it?” I asked. It was the easiest way to learn.

At the time, as chair of a group of major advisory employers, we quickly demonstrated the power of networking and adjusting our businesses by sharing our experiences and ideas openly. I believe that spirit has endured, even as things normalise, a good outcome that this cooperation has brought.

Fundamentally, this is an area we cannot ignore, already markets are adjusting. The whole offer of places is beginning to resonate more with investors and again placemaking is becoming a dominant theme. Old less efficient offices are finding fewer takers, more modern floor structures are almost the sole attraction to the sector. The impact on pricing, the subject of research at the Stern school at Columbia University who predict New York office stock will fall in value by 28% by 2029? We are seeing listed markets increasing discounts to asset value for the specialist London office stocks.

In all, Covid has set problems of rebuilding society in a way we were not prepared for. We had challenges of levelling up already, we knew we had to address climate change and sensed retail markets were moving in a direction for which there might be no return. On top of this, add an energy crisis which was not on anybody’s agenda and has reversed and extended the efforts on meeting our carbon reduction targets. This in turn has added greater geopolitical strife.

I sense (and hope) all these things will pull us closer together as a society than apart. The issues are plainer for all to see, and it makes it easier to demand action for change. I hope that will be the legacy of these last two years. We must think and act in the long term which means changing investment horizons which have become increasingly short term. Easing planning, building and tested methods of energy production seemingly blanking out all we resolved to do. Bearing in mind the mammoth task we set ourselves to control climate change to 1.5°C, this job has just become even harder, if not, impossible. We even see some major investors doubling back on ESG policies of their holdings as they are “not in the shareholders interest”!

The value of openness, transparency and collaboration when pursuing innovation.

| Importance of openness and transparency | 60% |
| Collaborate and partner within their industry | 73% |
| Collaborate and partner outside of their industry | 75% |
| Highly effective | 43% |
| Less effective | 57% |
| Academic institutions | 60% |
| Provider-pharma ecosystem | 54% |
| Plan to vertically integrate into payer | 39% |
| Increase intentional collaboration | 63% |
| Plan to vertically integrate into payer-pharma ecosystems | 59% |
| Highly effective organisations | 73% |
| Less effective organisations | 59% |

The value of openness, transparency and collaboration when pursuing innovation.

...and communal activities will define success. As we plan to become a more inventive economy, evidence shows we need to plan places to improve collaboration to succeed. This from the IBM Foundation.

They always say real estate people look on the bright side... I guess I am.
Hybrid working and its impact on inclusion and diversity in the workplace

The COVID-19 pandemic has rapidly accelerated a shift towards hybrid working. This shift has had a marked and long-lasting impact on the UK real estate market, and has also presented challenges for employers, particularly with regard to managing the risk of discrimination claims from employees.

According to Savills Research, in June 2022 office occupancy rates in London averaged around 38%. Prior to the pandemic, occupancy rates across Europe averaged around 70-75%. This disparity demonstrates the shift in working space and office-based workspaces (coupled with changes in workplace policy), with 85% of UK workers preferring to work remotely at least 2-3 days a week. Office space availability across the UK in 2022 remains 26% above the 5-year quarterly average, further demonstrating how this shift in approach has affected the office market.

In spite of this trend, demand for office space increased in Q1 and Q2 of 2022 when compared with 2021. Perhaps the most significant change is not how much office space is demanded by employers, but the kind of office space which is being sought. There has been a significant increase in demand for high quality office space, particularly in central London. One of the core reasons behind this trend is that employers view premium workspace as the most likely to entice employees back into the formal office environment. A workplace which encourages collaboration and provides additional perks is far more likely to encourage employees to come into the office when compared with more traditional office setups, which can now increasingly be replicated at home.

However, the danger is that this drive to return to the office, even part-time as part of a hybrid working policy, leads to employers having a de-facto policy (whether deliberately or not) that risks discriminating against certain categories of employee.

Even in 2022, it is widely acknowledged that women on average continue to bear the brunt of childcare responsibilities. Hence, if an employer requires that its full-time employees return to the office for a significant proportion (or majority) of the working week, yet fails to accommodate those with childcare responsibilities, it risks indirectly discriminating against female staff. If a female employee were to bring a claim on those grounds, an employer would need to be able to objectively justify the necessity for employees to return to the office for some or all of their time.

Pre-pandemic, it was universally accepted that the requirement for employees to work from the office was a legitimate aim, and Employment Tribunals readily accepted that a requirement for employees to attend the office most of the time was at least potentially objectively justifiable. This was particularly the case given previous technological limitations.

However, given that the shift to remote working (driven by the pandemic) has accelerated the adoption of technology which makes remote working much more seamless, it remains to be seen how easily an employer will be able to justify a requirement going forwards.

Indeed, recent case law, not least the 2021 claim of Follows v Nationwide Building Society [2021] IRLR 1, has shown that Employment Tribunals are increasingly minded to side with employees in such cases, and not just in relation to conventional indirect discrimination claims. In this case, the Employment Tribunal found that an employee (who was employed on a homeworker contract and who cared for and co-habited with a disabled person) was able to bring an associative disability discrimination claim against their employer in relation to a requirement for employees to work from the office, even though the employee herself was not disabled.

Associative discrimination occurs when someone is subjected to less favourable treatment not because of their own protected characteristics, but because of the protected characteristics of a person with whom they are associated. Although this is only a first instance Employment Tribunal decision (and therefore not binding on other Tribunals), it reflects the trajectory of jurisprudence in this area, and could, if a similar case was to be heard by a higher court and the decision upheld, open up a whole new category of claims to employees.

In our view, it is increasingly likely that employers who are more restrictive in terms of their employees’ working patterns will be subject to a new wave of litigation, primarily in relation to indirect and associative discrimination against working mothers.

There are some practical steps for which employers can take in order to mitigate this risk. The key is to communicate and consult with employees when reviewing hybrid working arrangements and policies to ensure that the working practices are, as far as possible, reflective of the needs of employees, particularly those who are most likely to be disadvantaged by fixed requirements to return to the office. While balancing these considerations, thought should also be given to whether hybrid working requests and flexible working policies should have more stringent requirements to attend the office, in order to ensure that they are adequately nurtured and trained. Employers should likewise review how they consider and respond to employees’ flexible working request rights, as this is likely to be one of the most effective means of ensuring successful engagement with working parents.

Dealing with these issues should be a real priority for employers in a post-pandemic world. The work from home debate will not only impact organisations’ culture and internal policies, but is also likely to have real and significant impact on long-term trends in the UK real estate market’s office sector.
“It is not possible to produce a set of rules purporting to describe what a man should do in every conceivable set of circumstances.”

(Alan Turing 1950)1

The utility of industry-wide accreditation marks or even the establishment of recognised ISO or British Standards;

The potential to use technology like privacy-enhancing technologies (PETs)2 to ensure ethical outcomes; and

New organisational training programmes and governance bodies, such as a data ethics board with overall responsibility for ethical data use.

The playbook digs deeper with two use cases. First, smart homes, and how to engage with challenges from assigning a contract for a smart heating control, to thinking about how sensory technology can be used to promote health and safety without creating an ethical minefield. Next, smart cities and how we can use new technologies to manage them well, while avoiding the problems associated with the collapse of the Sidewalk Lab projects and negotiating ethical issues associated with the ‘price’ of free Wi-Fi.

Data ethics – what next

We think that the playbook could be useful in managing data usage in buildings, or across an asset portfolio or for rewiring the use of data within a real estate organisation. But the playbook is not intended to be a definitive guide to ethical data use—it is more of a starting point, bringing a range of existing resources into a multi-disciplinary, iterative resource. There will not necessarily be a one-size-fits-all approach and the measures will need to be pragmatic, practical, and suitable to the context in which they are being deployed. Most importantly of all, policies and practices need to allow for flexibility over time as ethical standards shift.

The current draft of the playbook ends with a list of resources — but we’d like the main content to be a collection of template policies, clauses, and examples of new practices—that’s why we’ve licensed it under the Creative Commons3, and we are always on the lookout for new collaborative opportunities.
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Data Ethics: Introduction

Technology is a prime disruptor to the property industry, with potential both for positive innovation and for systemic damage. It facilitates wide scale and rapid change for many parts of the current system, whether that be automated land assessments, digital engagement, new sensory technologies in places and spaces, or the use of machine analytics to understand and predict environmental impacts.

There are already many examples of technology being used with positive effect within the industry. The Credo2 and NUAR3 projects are good examples of emerging digital twins for environmental impacts and land management, while RIPA and BOPS projects could transform the processing and assessment of a wide range of planning applications.4

There are also already examples of technology being misused; we all remember the cries of “mutant algorithms” in response to the automated student assessments during the pandemic,5 while the recent complaint to the Information Commissioner’s Office about the use of facial recognition technology in shops while the recent complaint to the Information Commissioner’s Office about the use of facial recognition technology in shops while6 the recent complaint to the Information Commissioner’s Office about the use of facial recognition technology in shops while7

The playbook begins with an introduction to the concept of data ethics, with an emphasis on brevity, and a number of industry standards, such as those of the RED foundation.8 It then examines what it means to turn principles into practice, including:

- Adjusting organisational structures to ensure that they include the right people with the right skills and technical capabilities;
- How to adjust current policies and practices to create a new modus operandi: for example, a policy should be used to embed ethical use within an organisation, but could also impose ethical requirements for data handling third parties in leases, contracts for work and services, or development agreements;
- The utility of industry-wide accreditation marks or even the establishment of recognised ISO or British Standards;
- The potential to use technology like privacy-enhancing technologies (PETs) to ensure ethical outcomes; and
- New organisational training programmes and governance bodies, such as a data ethics board with overall responsibility for ethical data use.

To modernise the real estate sector in context of data, the Levelling Up Bill9 contains draft clauses on planning data standards and there’s an existing consultation on the Golden Thread of data for the BSA.10 However, regulatory and governance mechanisms are playing a game of catch up that they may already have lost. In any case, compliance with legal requirements in respect of data use, in particular with privacy laws governing the use of personal data, should be seen as a minimum standard. The implementation of ethical principles and policies requires consideration of more profound, moral questions about whether, for example, if data are the “right” thing to do or whether any improvements can be made to the ways in which data is handled.

There are many – some might say too many – definitions of what data ethics are and theoretical discussions of their implications, but few examples of how they can be applied, particularly in the property industry. To tackle this challenge, a group of us from the RED (Real Estate Data) Foundation have begun a collaboration on a data ethics playbook, to turn theoretical principles into practical actions.

The Data Ethics Playbook

The playbook begins with an introduction to the concept of data ethics, with an emphasis on brevity, and an introduction to the concept of data ethics, with an emphasis on brevity, and a number of industry standards, such as those of the RED foundation.8 It then examines what it means to turn principles into practice, including:

- Adjusting organisational structures to ensure that they include the right people with the right skills and technical capabilities;
- How to adjust current policies and practices to create a new modus operandi: for example, a policy should be used to embed ethical use within an organisation, but could also impose ethical requirements for data handling third parties in leases, contracts for work and services, or development agreements;
- The utility of industry-wide accreditation marks or even the establishment of recognised ISO or British Standards;
- The potential to use technology like privacy-enhancing technologies (PETs) to ensure ethical outcomes; and
- New organisational training programmes and governance bodies, such as a data ethics board with overall responsibility for ethical data use.

To modernise the real estate sector in context of data, the Levelling Up Bill11 contains draft clauses on planning data standards and there’s an existing consultation on the Golden Thread of data for the BSA.12 However, regulatory and governance mechanisms are playing a game of catch up that they may already have lost. In any case, compliance with legal requirements in respect of data use, in particular with privacy laws governing the use of personal data, should be seen as a minimum standard. The implementation of ethical principles and policies requires consideration of more profound, moral questions about whether, for example, if data are the “right” thing to do or whether any improvements can be made to the ways in which data is handled.

There are many – some might say too many – definitions of what data ethics are and theoretical discussions of their implications, but few examples of how they can be applied, particularly in the property industry. To tackle this challenge, a group of us from the RED (Real Estate Data) Foundation have begun a collaboration on a data ethics playbook, to turn theoretical principles into practical actions.

The Data Ethics Playbook

The playbook begins with an introduction to the concept of data ethics, with an emphasis on brevity, and a number of industry standards, such as those of the RED foundation.8 It then examines what it means to turn principles into practice, including:

- Adjusting organisational structures to ensure that they include the right people with the right skills and technical capabilities;
- How to adjust current policies and practices to create a new modus operandi: for example, a policy should be used to embed ethical use within an organisation, but could also impose ethical requirements for data handling third parties in leases, contracts for work and services, or development agreements;
The role and best practice of a professional institution in the public interest and that of its members

O

ur institutions operate in a changing world to maintain the appropriate ethical codes and standards yet now appear more susceptible to "institutional corruption" which is manifest when there is a systematic and structured influence which is moral, or even currently ethical, that undermines the institutions efficiency by diverting it from its purpose or weakening its ability to achieve its purpose.

The issues affecting the RICS which lead to the removal of its CEO and others followed by the Levett report into what had gone wrong and the Bichard Review as to what has been done to put things right, suggests that as an institution RICS had indeed become corrupted. It was clearly falling its members’ needs, acting without its members authority and in danger of not meeting its Royal Charter requirement to act to the public advantage but there are two significant changes which give cause for concern that such corruption may still be embedded in its new way forward.

Lord Bichard’s Review has put forward 36 recommendations for RICS to adopt and additionally to amend its charter to incorporate the following revised purpose.

"The Institution exists for the benefit of society to deliver positive change in the built and natural environment in the United Kingdom and around the world. It does this by advancing and facilitating access to surveying knowledge by maintaining and promoting the usefulness of the profession and by leading, supporting and regulating a body of skilled professional surveyors and firms who demonstrate the highest ethical and technical standards."

The recommendations look sound enough but there may be a sting in the tail when it comes to the proposed change in the Royal Charter with the suggestion by Lord Bichard that the subject of "sustainability" be added. There are hopefully few who in today’s world would not put the environment and its sustainability as a core principle and that should be replicated by RICS and its members. However, there is a danger that enshrining any specific subject in the Royal Charter alongside the public interest criteria could result in an inexcusable conflict.

We have already seen governments worldwide look to expand fossil fuel provision so as to overcome the potential energy shortfall as a consequence of the Ukraine war, which otherwise is likely to cause hardship and death through a lack of food production and heating during the winter months. But what happens when the same problem faces an institution where its Royal Charter has two objectives which are in conflict with each other. The public interest mantra alone should be sufficient and therefore I would advocate extreme caution in amending the RICS Royal Charter possible political interference.

The Bichard Review identifies a number of changes required to the existing Standards and Regulations Board (SRB). On the one hand ensuring it has appropriate delegated powers but on the other ensuring it is responsible to the Governing Council in performing its Regulatory duties effectively.

This is an important change reflecting on an ineffectual and sometimes misguided SRB activity in the past with the best example being their acceptance of 13 recommendations from the recent “Valuation Review” by a Committee that only comprised representatives of Institutional and City of London based organisations, with few chartered surveyors and only one of the major surveying practices represented.

The majority of the review’s findings were appropriate but for the first time it recommended prescribing that for all investment property on a global basis the Discounted Cash Flow model is to be the Primary valuation methodology for valuers to adopt as follows:

Recommendation 8 – Analytical Approaches (i) Discounted Cash Flow

The valuation profession should incorporate the use of discounted cash flow as the principal model applied in preparing property investment valuations.

My concern is that although RICS must ensure that there is transparency, independence, objectivity, and integrity in the process, it must not become involved in a prescriptive approach which interferes with the skill of the valuer. That is why members who undertake “formal valuations” must be RICS Registered Valuers with the appropriate skill base and the simple but critical objective, to ensure the valuer adopts the correct methodology for the task in hand and produces a valuation which is as accurate as possible.

For RICS to insist on the adoption of DCF in sectors of the market that do not use this methodology may well encourage an inaccurate or incorrect valuation. Consequently, following RICS standards under these circumstances (that is if Recommendation 8(i) is implemented) may result in valuers facing negligence claims because they have adopted an incorrect approach and is likely to dilute RICS’s profile and standing in the market. It serves to the benefit of other valuation organisations without such strictures.

That is not to say there is not significant work to be done in ensuring that chartered surveyors are professionally trained and skilled in the art of the DCF methodology. Therefore the second strand of Recommendation 8 is entirely appropriate which is as follows:

Recommendation 8 – Analytical Approaches (ii) Advanced Analytics

RICS should improve the knowledge and application of valuers in respect of advanced analytical techniques.

It must be completely acknowledged that DCF has a significant role in investment analytics, and in some areas of determining Market Value, but it should not be prescribed as the primary route to the determination of value or be confused with one derived from an accountant’s approach dealing into market practice which has not been adopted by the market.

The suggestion that other methodologies, such as the single all-purpose yield, will need to be rigorously explained if DCF is not adopted, is inappropriate, as it should be the requirement that the methodology adopted must represent the valuer’s interpretation of the market and the appropriate valuation methodology used in that sector.

It is a serious shortcoming of the valuation review that there is no definition of “Investment Property” but it is confirmed that “the Review looked at all aspects of valuation of property assets for investment purposes.” That said, the report states in noticeably clear terms that “….attention has been primarily focussed on valuation of real estate assets for performance measurement and decision making purposes, upon which third parties place reliance. These are principally valuations for financial reporting, inclusion in prospectuses and circulars, and takeovers and mergers collective investment schemes; unregulated property unit trusts and commercial investment property financing.”

It is clear that the valuation review was limited to primarily the institutional and major property company part of the overall investment property but has been unashamedly used to dictate the DCF valuation methodology for all aspects of private commercial and residential investment property regardless of the market approach.

As an example, Recommendation 8(i) gives no consideration to a private investor purchasing a small investment such as a newsagents shop in Margate or a 2 bedroom flat in that town as such as say Rochdale. As a result of Recommendation 8(i), the purchaser and lender will be subject to undertaking a DCF valuation in addition to a traditional market valuation. Neither the purchaser nor the lender will gain anything out of a DCF based valuation, it is likely to be inaccuracy on the commercial market in this sector will not operate on a DCF valuation methodology and there will be a significant additional cost and time delay for the product which has no beneficial outcome to either the purchaser or lender.

The valuation review did advise that "….prescriptive standards may not be suitable to cover the vast range of valuation circumstances and ….could lead to unwanted valuation correlations in some sectors." The report also correctly and fairly confirms "that traditional measures of value such as an ‘all-risks yield’ calculation, can correctly identify the exchange price at which an asset will likely trade (the all-risks yield is merely the mathematical summary of the many assumptions that go into a valuation)"

Despite this warning, RICS, through the ill-advised and unseemly quick decision of the SRB, has taken it on themselves to change the entire face of valuation methodology for investment property on a global basis and in doing so has changed the fundamental principle that the valuer should determine how to value.

The first two tests since the problems experienced in the management of the RICS, as exposed by the Levett Report are firstly the Bichard Review which seeks to amend the fundamentals of the RICS Royal Charter for what some may regard as political purposes. Secondly SRB adopted the Valuation Review which is likely to be inaccurate as the DCF model is to be the “Primary Valuation Model” for all investment property on a global basis because of the preferences of a pressure group from the financial services sector based in the City of London.

RICS must make sure it retains and promotes its independence based on the skills and experience of its Members and not be corrupted by the pressure from strong dominant groups or those with their own political agendas.
Land’s End to John O’Groats

Ex CULS President Raises £3,500 for Practical Action in Cycling Challenge

Although my introduction to cycling had been brief, and preparation relatively amateurish, I became fiercely driven to achieve the LEJOG target. My rather dubious collection of “Lycra” and other cycling paraphernalia mushroomed, and I spent more time out on the road. My training regime during the next three months amounted to around 1,000 miles cycling around the Cambridge area with increasing distances, and shorter breaks between trips. I settled on using the SUSTRANS route on the National UK Cycle Network. This route looked more interesting as it links some of the UK’s most iconic trails with smaller, quieter back lanes but with the downside that at some 1,200 miles it was a good 300 miles longer, more challenging routes. They were a lot younger than my 64 years, and a lot fitter, so I really found it a struggle to keep up with the group, especially climbing up any hills.

However, gradually my fitness improved and I enjoyed making small improvements to my bike such as fitting clipless pedals and using an old bike computer to record times and distances. Rides of 20 miles or more to attractive villages such as Finchingfield for lunch became feasible. But that is how it stayed until I bumped into my sister-in-law, a life-long cyclist, who announced she was planning to cycle from Land’s End to John O’Groats (LEJOG) later in the year. My interest was piqued and I quickly did some research on routes and timings thinking I could have a go myself – I had the time, after all, being retired!

It also made sense to me to link this plan with a charitable goal and I soon settled on helping PRACTICAL ACTION, the charity at different stages of the trip.

The plan was to take around 26 days, without breaks, to cover the journey unsupported. This would average just under 50 miles a day with variations depending on hills and terrain. I was to be joined by my wife, other family members and friends at different stages of the trip.

In terms of “mapping” I now had the LEJOG route saved on my Garmin cycle computer, the handy SUSTRANS map booklet, and Google Maps on my phone so I couldn’t get lost, could I?

Once started in late May the trip went pretty much as planned although in the South West it seemed there were many more hills and valleys than I had expected! Also, the weather was fairly kind until I reached the North West where the rain became a serious difficulty. Wearing glasses in wet weather made seeing the Garmin a problem, and traction less reliable especially on steep descents. However, I was thankful that I was not camping, as going from B&B to B&B gave me a better chance to dry out each evening. Further north in the Scottish Highlands we experienced high winds of 30-40 mph over 4 days which made cycling conditions difficult on any more exposed roads. Luckily the winds were mostly westerlies meaning they were from the side, rather than being strength sapping head winds.

Yes, there were moments going up hills that I can only describe as being tough, and I had one or two “mechanicals” which lost me some time, but overall the trip was both a pleasure and a challenge. I never had to use my expensive bike lock and I really enjoyed the sections that were literally “in the middle of nowhere”! I got lost several times, mostly because road diversions were in place, but also some of the routes through town centres were difficult to find. Biking through cities including Bristol, Manchester and Glasgow seemed like massive urban jungles compared with the long open stretches through the countryside.

• Total Mileage – 1,297 miles
• Total Ascent – 69,467 feet
• Average moving speed – 10 mph
• Longest Day – 63.3 miles in 8 hours 14 mins (Dulverton to Glastonbury)
• Highest Climbing Day – 4,607 feet (Cumbria)
• Mechanicals – two brake failures, and bent handlebars after a fall in the wet, but no punctures!

I think I am now tougher, both physically and mentally, and proud to have raised over £3,500 for Practical Action. On the downside I am probably still addicted to flapjacks! Many thanks to all those members of CULS who supported me and my chosen charity for this challenge!
This summer Architecture and Land Economy at Cambridge celebrated their joint success coming top of their unit in the Research Excellence Framework (REF) which ranks research in subjects across the UK and allocates funding to Universities. Architecture in Cambridge was founded in 1912 and last year we celebrated 100 years of examinations by asking alumni to send in their student work and holding a party in Scroope Terrace, our home since the 1920s.

Nationally, the Grenfell disaster has prompted a complete review of architectural education and professional development. The two professional bodies, the Architects Registration Board (ARB) and the Royal Institute of British Architects (RIBA) have both been reviewing their criteria for accreditation. What is clear is change is in the air.

The current system needs overhauling. Architects take at least seven years to qualify. The normal route is a three year bachelor’s degree, a year out in practice, a two year Masters course and then at least one and normally two or three years in practice in preparation for the final registration examinations. The extreme length of training and the relatively low pay in the profession was challenging for all and a bar to widening participation.

At Cambridge we have set up an apprenticeship which encompasses the master and final registration examinations and takes three and half years from entry to qualification. The students work throughout and the Government pays the fees through the apprenticeship levy scheme. The course is now in its third year and we have been delighted by its success. It is proving highly popular with employers who see it as a way of retaining excellent staff. A number of our apprentices did apprenticeships at degree level too, broadening the diversity of our Cambridge intake.

It is likely that the RIBA and ARB will recommend shorter degrees in future, probably opting for integrated undergraduate and Master’s degrees for most students and the option of 2-3 year Masters degrees for others changing from other subjects. We have prepared for this by founding our Master of Architecture degree (MArch). Finally, architecture Master’s students at Cambridge will have their own degree ceremony along with their own distinguishing gowns and hoods. The first students started the course this year.

Perhaps the most exciting course on the horizon is the Design tripos. The University has given us permission and the money to create this joint degree that combines Architecture and Structural Engineering with Materials Science to produce what promises to be an exciting option for more scientifically-minded architecture students, better prepared to face the technical challenges of global warming. The Department is doubling in numbers of students (45 architecture and 45 design) and doubling in number of faculty to teach this new degree. This exciting growth is allowing the expansion of facilities with a new digital fabrication laboratory being constructed in the ground floor of the Dyson building which was opened this summer.

Looking to the year ahead we are being joined by a new Professor in February and recruiting six new staff with a further 3 next year and we are looking forward to starting a new Masters in Film and Architectural Visualisation in 2024. 110 years after its opening the Department is looking forward to a bright future, training the next generation of designers to cope with the challenges ahead.
The last two years have been exceptionally challenging for the Department of Land Economy. The pandemic disrupted everything – physically teaching but also those aspects of research that depend on being able to travel. But we coped very well, learning how to use online teaching methods very quickly, successfully adapting everything from lectures to exams, and working out how to keep research going in an online world, to the extent that many of us managed to attend and to give talks to many more international conferences than we would have done otherwise. It is great to be able to travel again, but from a climate change point of view it is also great to know that much can be achieved without participating in the burning of so much aviation fuel.

Looking forward, the effects of the pandemic will be with us for a long time, including the physical effects of the disease itself. Long covid will be a big problem for all organisations, but I don’t think universities have yet taken on board the consequences for them of large numbers of academic staff suffering from brain fog and tiredness. More locally in Cambridge, our problems were compounded by some ill-judged decisions. By the University early in the crisis, essentially to freeze hiring, that led to unacceptable increases in workloads and threatened morale.

But despite all these difficulties, it has been a year of massive success for the Department, most of all the very great achievement of coming first in our category, alongside our colleagues in the Department of Architecture, in the REF, the Research Excellence Framework, the once-in-seven-years exercise through which the government attempts to assess the quality of research coming out of every university department in the country. More than two thirds of our research was judged to be ‘world leading’, the best in our category, and a big increase on the last exercise, and 96% of it was assessed as of international quality or better. The achievement is one shared across all parts of the Department and is even more gratifying given that the rules and the categorisation of research the REF uses make it difficult for us to show off the full range of our work. Only four other departments in Cambridge came first in their category.

The expansion of numbers will bring challenges in terms of staff-student ratios, but the problem will be partly offset by another success, raising enough money from the Hatton Trust to fund a new chair in Climate Law, the first occupant of which will appear in 2023. The new MSt will come with its own new staff – both academic and administrative – and should at least not add to the burden. The new professor will be joined by replacement chairs in Real Estate Finance and to exams, and working out how to keep research going in an online world, to the extent that many of us managed to attend and to give talks to many more international conferences than we would have done otherwise. It is great to be able to travel again, but from a climate change point of view it is also great to know that much can be achieved without participating in the burning of so much aviation fuel.

The pandemic was not the only challenge. Brexit has been a contributing factor in the exceptionally high level of turnover we have experienced among academic staff.

And now we have inflation, the main effect of which will be to erode academic salaries and add to the gloom caused by the massively reduced benefits of our pensions. As a former lecturer in the Department (now in the Law Faculty) wrote in a letter to The Times, ‘The bargain offered to those entering the academic profession a modest (public sector) salary compensated by a comfortable (public sector) retirement has been upheld only in the former respect.’

Our numbers continue to grow. We have more than eleven applicants for every place in our MPhil courses and our undergraduate entry, far from falling from what everyone thought would be a peak during the pandemic, is larger than ever – 72 new students at the time of writing, although new students switching from other subjects seem to appear every couple of days. And the number of undergraduate applicants for next year (2023-24) is already up by 25% to a new record.

Beyond that, in 2024, we are starting a new part-time MSt in Climate, Environment and Urban Policy, to add to our existing Climate, Environment and Urban Policy, to add to our existing
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The pandemic was not the only challenge. Brexit has been a contributing factor in the exceptionally high level of turnover we have experienced among academic staff.

But despite all these difficulties, it has been a year of massive success for the Department, most of all the very great achievement of coming first in our category, alongside our colleagues in the Department of Architecture, in the REF, the Research Excellence Framework, the once-in-seven-years exercise through which the government attempts to assess the quality of research coming out of every university department in the country. More than two thirds of our research was judged to be ‘world leading’, the best in our category, and a big increase on the last exercise, and 96% of it was assessed as of international quality or better. The achievement is one shared across all parts of the Department and is even more gratifying given that the rules and the categorisation of research the REF uses make it difficult for us to show off the full range of our work. Only four other departments in Cambridge came first in their category.

The expansion of numbers will bring challenges in terms of staff-student ratios, but the problem will be partly offset by another success, raising enough money from the Hatton Trust to fund a new chair in Climate Law, the first occupant of which will appear in 2023. The new MSt will come with its own new staff – both academic and administrative – and should at least not add to the burden. The new professor will be joined by replacement chairs in Real Estate Finance (following the retirement of Colin Lister) and Land Economy (following the resignation of Phil Allmendinger to the Deputy Vice-Chancellorship of the University of Bath).

Partly as a result of our success in attracting more students, the Department faces a shortage of teaching space. A more significant cause, however, is that the University sold off the lecture block where most of our teaching took place, the Mill Lane Lecture Rooms, to Pembroke College, which promptly demolished it. During the pandemic, when much lecturing was on line, this made little or no difference, but since the full return of in-person teaching, mostly from January 2022, we have found ourselves being sent by the University to a variety of rooms all over the city centre, many of them not originally intended as lecture theatres and so difficult to see or hear in, and others positioned in interesting and possibly distracting places, such as behind the Eagle pub.

I see no immediate prospect of improvement in the teaching space problem soon, but we do at least hope to see some progress on the separate but related issue of our dilapidated, flooded and partially unheated offices in Silver Street. (The Head of Departments’ particular contribution to reducing carbon emissions is an office radiator that doesn’t work and apparently has never worked…) The University has agreed to a feasibility study of options for relocating us, including the option of a new (and with luck warm and dry) building. Let’s hope something has happened on that front before the next REF in 2028.
There is no education like adversity

I am writing this just as we start the new academic term at the end of September and a new cohort of intelligent, engaged and experienced students have joined us to gain a deeper understanding of theories, techniques and analytical tools relevant for looking at real estate markets.

I am hoping they are not going to face too much adversity but as we think about some of the implications of what we have been through over the last few years it is a reminder that challenges can be helpful for learning and improving what we do.

Research, real estate cycles and structural change

From a research point of view, adversity is thoroughly normal! If it is an easy to answer question it will probably already have been answered. More usual is that the question itself is hard to define, articulate or imagine and that in itself is part of the challenge. Then there are the challenges of designing a method or approach that will actually address the question and then the challenges of how to gather data and information and finally the challenge of how to analyse that data and information. However, it is through this struggle that interesting and insightful research is produced.

Both cycles and structural shifts in real estate markets bring adversity for some. One of the research projects we have been revisiting and which formed the basis of the IPF report on long-term value methodologies is to look for indicators of when markets are out of line with fundamentals to help regulators, lenders and investors be more cautious and aware when downside risks are elevated. However, as we indicated in the IPF report, those fundamentals are not fixed and a greater awareness of some of the underlying factors and uncertainties around these would help in understanding risk. This has been brought to life with the dramatic movement in bond yields and interest rate expectations over the last few months. In addition, the relationships between fundamentals and the real estate market have probably changed with economic growth not feeding through to office demand as strongly as in the past and the growth of internet sales changing the dynamics of the retail sector. In addition, to these challenges is the need to improve energy efficiency of the real estate stock. The need to upgrade/refurbish, change use/purpose and/or remove space to make places attractive is probably as great as it has been at any time in the last seventy years. So, whilst some of this transition will be difficult in terms of investment performance, it is also a fantastic opportunity for those with imagination, superior technical skills and the ability to bring a range of stakeholders together for a common goal. It will be a great time to learn from where things are going well and from others’ mistakes.

Teaching and adversity

When the pandemic broke in 2020, we were faced with the challenge of how to technically deliver online teaching and how to make the content work for an online format. There was very rapid “learning by doing” in how to set things up and how to record and edit videos. The second element of how to make online or hybrid teaching work well remains a challenge. It is very hard to read and engage an online class compared to an in-person class and it feels to me that online delivery of teaching material in a traditional lecture style doesn’t usually work well for students or teachers alike.

When a course is made specifically for online teaching, however, it can work very well and has many benefits, enabling students anywhere in the world to participate and learn. This is the approach taken with the real estate financial modelling certificate developed together with Bayfield Training https://www.bayfieldtraining.com/certificate/ The course is specifically designed for online delivery – with lots of exercises, the material is better suited to being looked at on a screen and it allows students to go through at their own pace is particularly important. These are all important elements for making online teaching more appropriate than in-person teaching.

Online delivery also allows us to access guest speakers from around the world for our MPhil and MSt programmes.

However, it has been an absolute joy to get back to in person teaching. The fun, energy and engagement of everyone being in the same room has been brilliant. Our 2022 part-time masters in real estate cohort made some significant sacrifices and paid some ridiculous airfares to make the first residential session. In September but all said how valuable it had been to be there in person to learn from each other, be involved in group exercises and discussions and to be fully engaged with the course. It is also very hard to do karaoke remotely...

So, the adversity of the last couple of years has brought innovation into how we use online resources to support learning and it has also led me to think again about how to make the most of when students are together in Cambridge.

Triathlons and adversity

I have now completed around 25 long distance triathlons and unlike a standard distance race, inevitably something always goes wrong. Sometimes these are things I might have been able to do a bit about through more careful planning and checking but usually there is nothing I could have done. I went to the Austrian Alps for a race a few years ago and the temperature reached 37 degrees C for the 44 km run. Other races have also been much hotter than expected (Norway), wetter than expected (Switzerland) or stormy as expected (Scotland) and I have also had crashes, hit potholes and got a buckled wheel and even had a race where I was knocked off my bike and completed the race with one pedal. What I have learned through all the adversity is to focus on the enjoyment of being there to take part – if a race otherwise couldn’t do – one can persuade oneself that it really is fun! There are a couple of key learnings from the tough times in races. Firstly, that preparation and training really makes a massive difference - that inspires me to train consistently. Secondly, if I have been through so many tough times in races I know not to stress overly when the next one happens - you know you can get through it!

Adversity can make us more resilient and creative. Adversity also helps us learn more about risk, the need to prepare and have the right tools, skills and mindset for challenges ahead.

Nick Mansley
Executive Director, Real Estate Research Centre
Co-Course Director, Masters in Real Estate
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A Place is more than a Space

Place-making rests on three pillars. Our communities are spaces that contain useful things as buildings, roads and utilities - our infrastructure or built environment. The second pillar is institutions, our social structures through which we interact. These institutions have a justified concern for housing and economic development, but place-making increasingly reflects important concerns for environmental quality, social justice and sound governance (ESG). The final pillar is superstructure, the beliefs that inform our daily decisions and behaviour.

When these pillars are aligned, the result is a space that embodies ESG. That space evolves into a place when it becomes a map, a guide on the road to embedding a community’s core values in our lives. A place enables people to make the right choices, empowers them to act on those choices and provides the resources for change. It enables them to practice orienteering towards shared cultural values rather than blindly following a satnav.

If this sounds abstract, it needn’t be. Consider the Future Homes programme (the topic of our CULS article last year). It is ensuring new homes have high levels of environmental performance (the E of ESG). The aim is to improve infrastructure, the means to do that is through structure (here, building regulations) as well as a Home User Guide1 showing residents how to live within those homes (superstructure).

A community built to the Future Homes standard is a great space but not yet a place. How might a community of Future Homes evolve from a space into a place, and come to embody the full meaning of ESG? Here are some signposts, divided roughly between improving the built environment, institutions and behaviour.

1. Reimagine economic vulnerability. The economy is not at stake, the vulnerability has roots in the way we have grown and how we interact. Germany recently depended on oil but its forests were being depleted. Enter sustainable forestry. Economies depend on infrastructure and a supply chain. These are vulnerable to a degraded environment. A vibrant place meets out these linkages and ensures that. ESG is the infrastructure, environment and economy are tackled as connected.

2. Embrace the top, bottom and middle. Why has the UK carbon footprint decreased recently? Part of the answer lies in top-down government policies to lower the carbon intensity of our grid, but part lies in people using less energy (the role for Future Homes) and part lies in intermediaries such as estate agents directing people to low carbon properties. Individuals and organisations at these three levels must be rowing in the same direction for ESG to take hold as a space, each engaging the community towards adoption of Future Homes.

3. Mobilise collective action. Sustainability requires collective action where many groups are part of problems and solutions. Future Homes will work only if property owners, suppliers, policy makers, financiers etc are coordinated in their messages and actions to deliver low carbon homes at scale, despite differing goals and resources2. This requires finding ways to mobilise and engage each on their own terms but all in support of the same programme of place-making.

4. Support diverse values. Multi-criteria analysis helps people understand the reasoning behind a community action. There is a crucial step where the analyst assigns value to each economic, environmental, social and governance attribute being considered. A place helps residents understand how values differ between people, and finds ways to let those values be accommodated while ensuring they are not at cross-purposes.

5. Procure conscientiously. People follow behaviours they see around them. The procurement practices of government, other large institutions and one’s neighbours help the market for low carbon buildings develop to where economies of scale emerge. And then as other community leaders jump on board, public signposts show where these solutions have been procured and nudge others onto the same path4.

6. Move beyond care to duty of care. A well designed place takes residents on a journey from caring (i.e. care about Cambridge) and want to do to a more robust place to executing a duty of care (i.e. incorporate ESG principles into everything I do in Cambridge). Investing in cultural spaces is an effective way to stimulate that journey by creating social cohesion. Too often, we design our communities with housing and economic development in mind, while paying little attention to how we express shared cultural values. Such regulating places where people gather and celebrate shared community visions help them care about these visions and carry out their duty of care.

7. Strip signifiers from the signified. People confuse their real goal (warmer) with how they traditionally meet that goal (turning up the heat). The signifier (thermostat) slips in front of the signified (warmth) without us noticing. A place helps people see this slippage, remove the signifier and replace it with alternative ways to reach the same goal (A Future Home).

8. Esse Quam Videri. The motto of a successful place is: “To be, rather than to seem” (Cicero). Institutions rarely monitor to see whether their actions have produced the desired effect. The result, a programme remains on the shelf long past its sell-by date. A place contains robust monitoring systems for each ESG attribute, displays these results publicly and follows a process of adaptive management with mid-course corrections as data flow in.

These are just some quick thoughts on how a space such as a development of Future Homes can become a place. We will know if this transition has been successful when everyone in the community: (i) understands how that community embodies ESG (and where it is failing), (ii) uses their personal actions to influence the ESG credentials, (iii) nudges others towards taking the best actions and (iv) feels the necessary support and resources to do so.

---

1 You can download this at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/home-user-guide-template

2 Consider the Cambridge Climate Map produced by Cambridge Carbon Footprint, found at https://cambridgecarbonfootprint.org

3 The Cambridge Retrofit programme was built on this idea, which you can see at communityandconstruction.net. Followed by choosing the Cambridge Retrofit tool if the landscape

4 Mobilise collective action. Sustainability requires collective action where many groups are part of problems and solutions. Future Homes will work only if property owners, suppliers, policy makers, financiers etc are coordinated in their messages and actions to deliver low carbon homes at scale, despite differing goals and resources. This requires finding ways to mobilise and engage each on their own terms but all in support of the same programme of place-making.

---
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You can see a discussion of this in the Unhedgeable Risk publication of the Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership, at https://www.cambridge.org.uk/resource/publication/cislandingredients
Post-Pandemic Real Estate: Reactionary Rent-Seeking or Building a Better World?

Real estate, both as an investment and as a career choice, has a certain marmite-like quality to it. While some people condemn it as mere rent-seeking behaviour that cements or even exacerbates existing divides along gender, racial and other lines, others point to the fact that the built environment holds the key to solving some of the world’s most urgent problems, including climate change, loss of biodiversity and socio-economic inequality to name just the most prominent. And while the former group tends to see the pandemic as a new pandemonium of negative forces that has been unleashed on the world in a spiral of growing carbon emissions, entrenched “white male privilege” and dizzying wealth disparities, the latter might view it much more favourably as a kind of ‘proof of concept’ that humankind is indeed capable of rapid and lasting change in the face of an existential crisis.

This debate is, of course not new and it is not specific to real estate. David Ricardo who is usually credited with injecting the term ‘rent’ into the economic discourse and highlighting the distinction between labour, capital and profits is perhaps the first in a long line of thinkers who see excessive profiteering as a drag on economic and social well-being. We can think of rent-seeking as a non-productive activity that extracts profits without contributing any positive value to the economy or the society at large. By doing so, it neither distributes wealth more evenly nor disseminates the more intangible societal benefits such as equality and a better quality of life to the labour force. Even worse for future prospects, it blocks improvements, innovation and re-investment to the capital stock. Put in more practical terms, rent seeking is any activity that destroys rather than creates ‘value’, for example by introducing costly barriers to entry that only benefit the gatekeepers or by transferring wealth into the hands of the ‘already-wealthy’ through a seemingly endless cycle of buying and selling inside an elite club of investors. There seems little doubt that rent seeking in all its forms erodes economic growth and societies as Murphy, Shleifer and Vishny point out in their seminal 1993 paper in the American Economic Review (1).

So is anyone working in the real estate sector, including those working in real estate research and education, guilty of rent-seeking behaviour, either directly or indirectly? Economics Nobel laureate Robert Shiller certainly has his misgivings about the finance sector when he concludes that speculation and deal-making is a ‘worthless activity that achieves nothing more than enabling the collection of rents on items that might otherwise be free’ (2). And he certainly takes issue with the fact that many of the brightest and most educated people get diverted to finance and other rent-seeking activities, citing a New York Times survey that revealed that up to half of Ivy League graduates in the United States went into finance instead of becoming engineers, doctors, inventors or scientists (3). But if it’s not just the jobs but also the very fabric of ownership structures that can be seen as deeply problematic. Here in the UK, 0.6% of the population own roughly half of the land while the remaining 99.4% own the other half (3). The numbers look similarly staggering or even worse in other parts of the world. The real estate sector seems more preoccupied with filling this balance even further towards those wealthy few than achieving a healthier balance.

Not so fast, you might say. Before we engage in collective self-flagellation in an alumni organisation that counts a multitude of members in real estate related jobs among its ranks, let’s first ask this: how exactly can we distinguish useful from wasteful activities in the above sense? What might seem wasteful and rent-seeking to some, for example the sale of large commercial real estate portfolios from Company A to Company B (and more often than not back again), might actually provide a mechanism for achieving more efficient and more sustainable use of the underlying assets, thereby perhaps creating more ‘value’ to society and the planet at large than an engineer working on novel methods to extract fossil fuels from previously unreachable places. Green real estate finance is another potential channel for allocating capital and resources where they are most needed and redressing injustices brought about by pursuing economic growth by some countries and individuals at the expense of others. Ultimately, whether something is considered rent-seeking or useful to society is thus a moral judgement rather than an objectively-quantifiable question.

What about the charge of perpetuating inequality? There is a broad consensus that the real estate sector needs to double and triple its efforts to achieve adequate equality and diversity in its workforce but recent reports also highlight some signs of steady improvement and progress over the years (4). Along this trajectory, the pandemic may have provided a watershed. While the transition to work modes that are more flexible across time and space may be the most empowering change in at least a century, it remains to be seen whether this change will stick and, more importantly, whether it opens the door to those who have previously been shut out of the real estate club. If not, it might just end up creating more inequality by turbo-charging the reach and capabilities of a tech-savvy elite to the detriment of a disenfranchised back-office workforce.

Similarly, the efforts to decarbonise real estate portfolios need to be scrutinised more closely and with better diagnostics to distinguish between green-washing that principally aims to be a smoke-screen and forestall stringent regulatory action from truly innovative voluntary measures by an industry that has finally woken up to the fact that combating climate change is not just a moral imperative but increasingly also a necessity for surviving as a business beyond the next few years. This, if nothing else, provides some measure of hope that the real estate sector is collectively prepared to act and embrace change rather than being dragged along by technological and social change and government regulations.

Whither rural economics in Cambridge?

Rural Economics in Cambridge

For many years the University, alongside other universities and colleges, has contributed to the Farm Business Survey. In fact, this has run annually since 1936. This year the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA), following a process of tendering, has decided to move the contract to a new contractor, Promar International, a commercial consultancy company. The aim here is not to reflect on the wisdom or otherwise of this move. Suffice it to say that the move from a non-profit, independent partnership with very strong, longstanding personal relationships with farmers, to a commercial company risks a major break in the continuity of the data on the state of farm businesses at a time when the agricultural sector is facing a period of major upheaval.

Coupled with my retirement as Professor of Rural Economy, this looks like it could be an end of an era for agricultural and rural economics in Cambridge. Dr Zhaoyang Liu (Leo), appointed as my replacement, is a specialist in the economics of ecosystem services in China and developing countries. He has valiantly taken on teaching of Paper 16, Land, Food and Ecosystem Services (previously Agriculture, Forestry and Rural Development), with its UK rural land focus but this is not, at this stage anyway, the focus of his scholarship.

The first formal engagement in this area dates back at least to the endowment of a University Readership in Estate Management in 1919. Work on farm accounting passed although estate management was introduced with the establishment of a Lectureship in the History and Economics of Agriculture by Sir Walter Gilbey in 1936. This year the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA), following a process of tendering, has decided to move the contract to a new contractor, Promar International, a commercial consultancy company. The aim here is not to reflect on the wisdom or otherwise of this move. Suffice it to say that the move from a non-profit, independent partnership with very strong, longstanding personal relationships with farmers, to a commercial company risks a major break in the continuity of the data on the state of farm businesses at a time when the agricultural sector is facing a period of major upheaval.

Lyn Hinton on Horticulture or Bob Ridgeon on pigs. Michael Murphy, who led the FBS work, was well known amongst the farming community for his quickfire and often rather pessimistic presentations. At this time, the funding available from MAFF also supported half of the Director’s salary and a further research post, held by John Cathie, that made a significant contribution to teaching in the Department and wider research activity. Many graduates will recall Michael Murphy’s first year statistics lectures.

The AEU became the Rural Business Unit (RBU) in 1998 better to reflect the focus of its activities. Alan Renwick took over from Ian Sturgess and Ben Lang in turn from him. Over time the work for MAFF and subsequently DEFRA, increasingly focused on the FBS, including its on-line dissemination for benchmarking and other applications maintained by Mark Reader, to the point where the FBS dominated the work of the RBU. Other work in the RBU includes the agri benchmark Cash Crop analysis, generating international comparisons of farm business statistics, and participation in the University NERC funded Centre for Landscape Recovery project in the Fens. But the loss of the FBS represents a severe blow to the RBU.

The holders of the Gilbey lectureship (Venn, Whetham, Wallace, Hodge) have provided another stream of teaching, research and engagement in wider rural policy issues. There was a brief flowering of rural economic research in the late 1990s and early 2000s under the aegis of Cambridge Rural Economics Research by Alan Renwick, Uwe Latz-Lohmann and me. However Uwe’s departure to a professorship at the University of Kiel, Alan ultimately to a professorship at the University of Lincoln in New Zealand and my distraction as Head of Department brought things to an end.

While the numbers have been small, Cambridge has made a significant contribution to rural economics over the years.

The case for rural economics

JA Venn (Gilbey Lecturer and President of Queens’ College) in 1933, defined the subject as follows: “If in Marshall’s words ‘Economics is the study of man’s actions in the ordinary business of life’ then Agricultural Economics is clearly the study of man in his relation to the land.” That remains the case (excepting the inappropriately gendered language) but our appreciation of the nature and significance of land has changed. In Venn’s time, the use of land for the production of food and fibre dominated human endeavours around the world. We now recognise the critical importance of rural land as a source of multiple values. We understand better the influence of alternative land uses on flood risks in the face of increasing climate variability, the threats associated with biodiversity loss, the importance of soils as a store of carbon, the impacts of greenhouse gas emissions and the importance of access to nature and open space as offering recreation, health and psychological benefits to a pressured, largely urban population.

Other than agricultural commodities, these values are not delivered by markets, although an understanding of markets (and their failure) is fundamental to an understanding of the economics of land. These values are generally, especially in an ‘old world’ context such as in the UK, generated under multiple land uses. Some values are complementary: landscapes rich in biodiversity typically hold higher levels of carbon and offer attractive landscapes for recreation. Some, especially more intensive farming practices, are deeply damaging to other values. The consistent delivery of these values typically depends on a long term commitment, beyond the span of individual occupiers. Further, these multiple land values are situated within complex institutional environments of property rights, competing and aligned interests, uneven power and profound moral debates.

All this complexity represents a fundamental challenge for the development of governance and policy to promote sustainable ‘optimal’ land use outcomes. The immediacy of the issues arises not simply from the exigencies of the climate and biodiversity emergencies or the recent realisation of the critical importance of food and energy security. Following Brexit we have a one-time opportunity to steer away from adversarial, piecemeal approaches, an opportunity that the current government might, if reports in the media are correct, be minded to throw away.

These are fundamental questions for rural economics, alongside other disciplines in law and social and natural sciences. Land use and management is dominated by private property and personal motivations but the values are of great social consequence. This is the challenge for policy: to grasp the importance of the science, derive appreciation of the many values and to craft institutions to direct motivations and actions towards socially desired outcomes. This is the realm for a contemporary rural land economy.
Violence, Disruption and the Spatial Resilience of Northern Nigerian Markets

When the term “market” is mentioned in sub-Saharan Africa and some other parts of the world, it is usually referred to as “the global south,” it does not necessarily mean the stick market or blue collar commerce or supermarketers, shopping complexes or malls. It means intricately connected open spaces of mini trade stations with dynamic human aggregations in spaces whose boundaries sometimes shift based on economic and social factors. This is largely the narrative in Nigeria as well. Markets are rarely taken seriously as “legitimate” workplaces of commerce because of how they look. However, prior to the colonial era, markets and their business patterns shaped the socio-economic and cultural landscape of the continent. Africa, were the sole platforms and spatial drivers of formal trade with global links. Colonialism brought a certain type of calibration to the market space as it restructured African trade to accelerate the exploitation of resources and labor (Adedo, 1992). This over time, reduced markets to the seemingly negligible real estate that it is now, while the African city exclusively embraced the western system of markets. The African markets in a way, a summary of the city. It embodies almost all social activity and human forms of social representation that a city has to offer. Everyone is connected to the Nigerian market! Complex dynamics of important social parameters like religion, culture, and demography are at play in an African market far beyond the ritual of buying and selling. These market and communal organization leading inevitably, to the expansion and (or) growth of a market.

Markets and other open spaces are known to be the focus of attacks by a number of terror groups. In 2021, 13 of the worst 18 attacks occurred in some form of public space which included market deaths (IEP 2022:11). African Markets and their response have been overlooked for a long time. But with 80.4% of the world’s conflict-induced displacement (IDMC 2022:26), Africa is the primary arena of conflict. In 2021, the second most deadly single terror attack happened in a market in Burkina Faso claiming at least 206 deaths (REP 2022:10). The north of Nigeria happens to be not only a hotspot of conflict in the country but also the world. Between 2015 and 2020, Nigeria was consecutively one of the top 4 terror-impacted countries in the world (Gend 2015 & 2016). The continent’s vulnerable open spaces show that African markets cannot be ruled out of the impact of violence and the production of terror.

But how have markets in northern Nigeria responded to these attacks? In 2020, the pandemic caused a total shutdown of the entire country. Only markets were opened fully to the public. The lockdowntriggered mass food shortage which led to violent protests that merged with demonstrations against police brutality with some happening in and around markets. In Abuja, the markets decreased in density as non-food vendors were barred from trading. Trading time plummeted by 91% in the markets. Perception of government support in Wuse market—the largest market in the city, dropped from 60.31% to 0%, with 94% expressing no government support. Still the market carried on while malls and plazas buckled under pressure. Some may argue that it was out of desperation, but the coordination of the traders to shield themselves from the violence through their local security practices was significantly instrumental. Table 01 shows that even though transport of food was easier during the lockdown, sales dropped drastically. However, in spite of the little government assistance, their internal support systems and practices not only made them feel safe but kept them alive. In Q1-Q2 of 2022, a large-scale study I led in Maiduguri, showed that of 9403 people interviewed across all regions of the city, 45% generally agreed that their markets were safe, 35% were indifferent while only 16% felt they were vulnerable. Maiduguri and in general the state of Borno has witnessed some of the worst attacks in the world but there is more faith in the local security of the market and the communal effort of resistance among the traders than security from the government. This is a macro-economic safety net.

In Nigeria, discussions of real estate exclude open markets. Recently, however, there have been moves to buy up large open markets dating back many decades to centuries, with the purpose of converting them to shopping malls, or plazas. This only perpetuates colonial residues of economic and socio-cultural erasure. Malls and Plazas are engulfing Abuja’s commercial real estate space for instance and they lack the real estate structure and planning direction to operate like traditional markets. They cannot deliver a variety of products, human accessibility, sociocultural identity and a holistic consistency of operation in the face of significant overlapping social issues. They lack the social memory that can be made if markets are replaced, this is however a weak argument. Nigeria has one of the largest informal economy sectors in the world making up as much as 67% of the GDP (Tonuchi & Idowu, 2020). For a country of 218 million people, one can easily imagine the scale of workers that this sector embodies. The market and similar spaces are a large part of this ‘informality’. Also in northern cities like Abuja, many people are already generating very similar real estate revenue to formal commercial spaces. Markets of the north have been able to relatively survive major disruptions of terror, climate and health. But for how long?

The markets are tough but they are not irrevocably. Even though terror has not been able to bring about expected terminal consequences like market erasure, fragmentation or irreversible sale drops, other threats exist. People lose lives, food flow is disrupted and export-import suffers. The current flood in the north has ruined major villages and parts of the main cities. If the identity and socio-cultural footprint of the Nigerian market is properly understood, real estate will take advantage of the large commercial footprint and resilience that open markets bring to Nigerian urbanity. Government policy also needs to mainstream the informality of the market space to bring about inclusive real estate growth. this must be done before markets finally buckle under the continuous pressure of severe disruptions. They are the major lifelines of the Nigerian city.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>agree</th>
<th>indifferent</th>
<th>disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 01. Perceptions of market people in Wuse market, Abuja during the Pandemic lockdown and protests.
Wetland ecosystems play a critical role in providing biodiversity and ecosystem services, which are essential for human well-being. However, wetlands are threatened by various activities such as urbanization, agriculture, and climate change. Recognizing the importance of wetlands, there have been efforts to conserve and manage them. One such effort is the establishment of national wetland programs, which aim to protect and restore wetlands. These programs often involve partnerships between government agencies, non-governmental organizations, and local communities. The success of these programs depends on effective communication, stakeholder engagement, and adaptive management strategies. This paper discusses the role of wetland programs in conserving biodiversity and ecosystem services, and highlights the challenges and opportunities in implementing these programs.
hand, has long loomed ahead of humanity. Many adversities it has created, but to no avail. Humans have been unable to effectively respond to the impacts of climate change, rendering education impervious to adversity. Irrespective of these two outcomes, overall, humans continuously adapt. Adversity cannot be confined to specific happenings and calamities; they are part of life as much as education is part of adversity. This article is by no means intended to be academic, but rather, an interlink between Disraeli’s quote, the author’s personal opinions, and the subtheme of this year’s Cambridge University Land Society Magazine… supply chains!

Supply chains fall in the nexus of the global pandemic and climate change, two adversities that humanity is currently facing. Supply chain resilience to disruptions caused by COVID-19 and climate change is the equivalent of the “education” being conceived from “adversity.” Although global supply chains have been impacted differently by both adversities, they have ended up in the same back door. In the aftermath of COVID-19, supply chains seem to have adapted despite there being no attributable signs of the pandemic before November 2019. In the case of climate change, there was pre-emptive awareness of both climate change, and supply chain vulnerability to environmental threats, such as the 2011 tsunami in Japan and other natural disasters. However, the adversities posed by such preordained disasters were of unfettered uncertainty, and the uneven adjustment seen in diversified, were vulnerable to disruptions caused by natural disasters. Even where short-term contingencies were put in place, there had been no guarantee that supply chains would overcome the forthcoming natural disasters. Despite the unfeathered uncertainty, and the uneven adjustment seen in global supply chains, some supply chains are becoming more resilient to the two adversities; however, the means through which resilience is created rests in short- and long-term factors, far beyond the mere occurrence of adversity.

In the short run, supply chain concentration creates interdependencies amongst supply chain actors, promoting efficiency. In the long run, supply chain actors become reliant on fewer parties, making them more prone to disruptions in the event of a disaster or pandemic. As for diversification, the short-term drawback is a lack of supply chain stability. In the long run, however, diversification does create cyclical stability and promotes resilience. Their concurrent presence reinforces the constant adaptation of supply chains as the world changes, even though we may not see it at the moment. Therefore, supply chains are continuously evolving in ways that yield short and long-term means of overcoming different types of adversities such as those used in this writing.

But then again, does this really concur with adversity being the harbinger of education? The short answer is yes. Yet, there is something that sits between adversity and education before begetting the latter, which ultimately materialises the catena outlined in Disraeli’s quote. The missing interlink is weakness, more specifically, the susceptibility of humans to what is forthcoming. The pandemic and climate change are mere reflections of short- and long-term periods in which vulnerability stands at the doorsteps of humanity, exposing its weakness, and eventually pushing it to overcome these challenges. Adversity is not solely an innate feature of education, rather so, both adversity and education are mirrors of one another constantly reflecting the human quest of survival.

Mohamed El Daouk
Third Year Land Economy PhD Student
CULS Careers in Real Estate, Planning and Environment Fair 2022

CULS Careers Fair, 20th October 2022, kindly sponsored by Bank of America, Cambridge Land Economy Advisory Board, Deloitte, Eastdil Secured, Strutt & Parker and tdm recruitment

The 2022 Careers Fair was a great success and our biggest to date, with over 40 employers joining from across the industry. Thank you so much to our sponsors and donors for making this event possible.

Over 200 students attended from across the University and their feedback was overwhelmingly positive and appreciative. Students emphasised how beneficial it was to be exposed to the breadth of opportunities and careers paths on offer. Using the influence of the CULS to promote DE&I is an important current focus for the committee. So, we were grateful that Real Estate Balance, Black Professionals in Construction and WIRE were able to join us at that Fair. More to come on this agenda over the next 12 months!

Next year’s fair will be in October 2023 (date to be confirmed). In the meanwhile, if you would like to get more involved in career matters or to support the Department of Land Economy’s mentoring scheme, please don’t hesitate to contact Ali or I.

CULS Prizes 2022

- **Tripos Prizes**
  - The Noel Dean Prize for best overall performance in Part II: Miss Tereza Zoumpalova
  - The Gordon Cameron Memorial Prize for best performance in Paper 7: Mr Samuel Faheem and Miss Alice Perkins
  - The Jeffrey Switzer Prize for best performance in Paper 14: Mr Ayush Pindoria
  - The Mike Turner Prize for best performance in Paper 15: Mr Kazim Raza
  - The CULS Prize for best overall performance in Part 1B: Mr Harry Houillon
  - The Nigel Allington Prize for Best overall performance in Paper 1: Mr Harris Whitford

- **MPhil Prizes**
  - The Douglas Blausten Prize for best performance in the dissertation for the Real Estate Finance MPhil: Mr Martin Stepanek
  - The Alistair Ross-Goobey Prize for best overall performance on the Real Estate Finance MPhil: Mr Martin Stepanek

CULS Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CULS Committee Members</th>
<th>CULS Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dan Nicholson</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aubrey Adams</td>
<td>Vice President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian Marcus</td>
<td>Immediate Past President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werner Baumker</td>
<td>Hon. Press Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauren Fendick</td>
<td>Hon. Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erik Ruane</td>
<td>Hon. Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Sherwin</td>
<td>Hon. Careers Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Taylor</td>
<td>Hon. Member for the Regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Campbell</td>
<td>Head of Department of Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Harper</td>
<td>Chair - Residential Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver Harwood</td>
<td>Chair - Rural Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin Houson</td>
<td>Chair - Commercial Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Howarth</td>
<td>Head of Department of Land Economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Kotecha</td>
<td>Chair - ESG Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Lauder</td>
<td>Chair - Whitehall Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noel Martins</td>
<td>Chair - Real Estate Finance Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod MuAlister</td>
<td>Committee Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominic Reilly</td>
<td>Chair - Sports &amp; Leisure Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Waters</td>
<td>Chair - APEC Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlie Stoneham</td>
<td>Co-Chair Silver Street Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Webb</td>
<td>Co-Chair Silver Street Group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2022 Careers Fair Attendees

- AECOM
- APAM
- ARUP
- Bank of America
- Barton Willmore, now Stantec
- BPIC Network
- Bidwells
- Built-ID
- British Land
- Brockton Everlast
- Cambridge Land Economy Advisory Board
- Cambridge University Careers Service
- Carter Jonas
- Colliers
- Cushman & Wakefield
- Currie & Brown
- Deloitte
- Eastdil Secured
- Fairbairn
- Fourth Street
- Gerald Eve
- Goldman Sachs
- Greystar
- Grovewin
- Homes England
- Knight Frank
- LaSalle L&G
- Macfarlanes
- Northwood Capital
- Places for People
- RBC Real Estate Capital Partners
- Real Estate Balance
- REVCAP
- Rockbourne
- Savills
- Strutt & Parker
- tdm recruitment
- TfL Commercial Development
- Wates
- Wells Fargo
- WIRE (Women in Real Estate)

“It was amazing. It was great being able to network. There were such a vast range of employers here and we were able to speak with everybody. We got loads of advice and tips on the applications, the roles offered, loads of support. It was incredible.”

Leya & Anna – MPhil PGR and Real Estate Finance 2022
CULS Membership

CULS membership is open to alumni and students of Land Economy, Real Estate Finance and Architecture as well as many other CU graduates of other fields who are now involved in the real estate industry. As at the end of the academic year in June, CULS had an active membership of almost 1,000 ranging in age from new undergraduates to centurions. The membership network continues to evolve with increasing numbers of international members joining the cohort living and working in the UK metropoli and regions. CULS is a truly global lifetime friendship network.

Through seminars, lectures, tours and dinners, CULS provides excellent learning, social and networking opportunities for members. In addition to some administrative costs of organising these events for members’ enjoyment, CULS also plays an important role in support of staff and students in the Land Economy and Architecture Departments of the University. For instance, CULS funds Tripos prizes, provides financial support for two fellowships and has been, and continues to be, available to provide financial support for relevant student Tripos dissertations.

The Committee appreciates the ongoing support for the Society from its loyal membership. Subscription revenue is perennially vital to the long-term health of the Society. The Committee has held subscription rates since November 2017:

- Full members working and/or living within 100 miles of London (Charing Cross) - £75 inc VAT
- Full members working and living over 100 miles of London (Charing Cross), optional reduced rate - £55 inc VAT
- Concessionary & International members and over-65’s - £20 inc VAT
- Current students and first year post-graduation - FREE

Subscriptions may be paid either by bank standing order or securely via the website www.culandsoc.com. All membership/subscription enquiries should be addressed to me or Ali Young at culandsoc@alibrinkley.co.uk. If you do move home or business, please take a moment to update your details on the website to stay in touch – a Society can only ever be as strong as its members!

After a period of virtual-only events, CULS is pleased to have been able to resume in-person events, fostering vital and vivacious direct membership interaction. Some events will continue to be offered online and/or hybrid.

The CULS is also extremely grateful to many corporate businesses for their continuing financial sponsorship and logistical support to the Society.

Upcoming CULS Events

Please book tickets online (www.culandsoc.com) or contact the Society Secretary, Ali Young (01638 507843, info@culandsoc.com):

WG Lunch – Wednesday, 18th January 2023 (12.30 – 2.30pm)
Katie Schmuecker, Principal Policy Advisor at the Joseph Rowntree Foundation
Subject: “The cost-of-living crisis”

WG Lunch – Thursday, 2nd February 2023 (12.30 – 2.30pm)
Professor Richard Betts MBE
Head of Climate Impacts Research, Met Office Hadley Centre, and University of Exeter
Subject: “The climate crisis and the urgency of damage limitation”

WG Lunch – Wednesday, 1st March, 2023 (12.30 – 2.30pm)
Dame Carolyn Fairbairn DBE, former Director-General, Confederation of British Industry
Subject tbc: “Can the West survive?”

CULS Annual Planning Update, 21st or 22nd March 2023 from 2pm onwards
C/o Dentons, One Fleet Place, London EC4M 7RA

WG Lunch – Wednesday, 29th March 2023 (12.30 – 2.30pm)
Ambassador Wegger Chr. Stammen, Ambassador to Norway, The Norwegian Embassy
Subject tbc: Norway’s SWF and its role in energy supplies to Europe / Norway’s view of the role of the EU/Nato alliance

WG Lecture – date to be confirmed
Gillian Tett, British author and journalist, Financial Times
Subject tbc: Political Power and the growing failure to understand other countries cultures

11th July 2023
AGM 4.30pm, Annual Dinner 7.30pm
AGM and Annual Dinner
C/o Magdalene College, Cambridge CB3 0AG

The Cambridge University Land Society would like to thank the following for their generous support in 2021–2022